Humboldt Bay Watershed Management Area

206 - Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Ranney Collectors 1 & 1A Lateral Replacement

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Technical and Scientific Documentation Table		
Technical and Scientific Document Name	**Document Description**	**Relevant page #**
GHD, "Draft Report for Collectors 1&1A, Dive Survey, Topographic Survey and Initial Assessment for New Lateral Installation", January 2013	A compilation of historic data on Collectors 1&1A as well as recent diver survey work and topographic survey work.	All
Layne, Ranney Collector Wells, "Ranney Collector No. 3 Maintenance Report New Lateral Installation", July 2012	Report on the installation of new laterals in Collector 3	All
Winzler & Kelly, "HBMWD Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Report", June 2008	Collector evaluation and model of surrounding aquifer as well as recommendation on how to proceed on collector rehabilitation projects	All
Collector Wells International, Inc., "Inspection Report Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3, 4", January 2007.	A detailed inspection report of Collectors 1&1A as well as Collectors 3 & 4 that includes a video survey of the laterals and their conditions.	All
Supporting Documents provided digitally via the BMS upload and by CD		
Aqua Video Engineering, "Inspection Report for Collector 1", September 1996.	An inspection report of Collector 1A assessing the surficial condition of the collector as well as the exposed metal surfaces.	All





Project Boundary

Limited Access Highway

Highway

Paper Size ANSI A

0 100 200

Feet

Map Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Horizontal Datum: North American 1983
Grid: NAD 1983 StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet

N

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District
Prop 84 Application

Job Number	8410321.01
Revision	1
Date	14 Feb 2013

Project Vicinity and Location Map

Figure 1

718 Third Street Eureka, CA 95501 T 707 443 8326 F 707 444 8330 E eureka@ghd.com W www.ghd.com

\\ghdnet\ghd\US\Eureka\Projects\01055 HBMWD\8410321 HBMWD Prop 84 Grant Applications\08-GIS\Maps\Figures\F1_Vicinity.mxd

© 2012. Whilst every care has been taken to prepare this map, GHD, ESRI, and County of Del Norte make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose and cannot accept liability and responsibility of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred by any party as a result of the map being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.

Data source: ESRI: Street Map USA, Bing Orthoimagery, National Forests, Rivers/2012; GHD: Project Boundary; County of Humboldt: Park Boundaries/2012. Created by:amshows



Layne
Ranney Collector Wells
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 1

PROJECT NUMBER	DATE	FILE NAME	SCALE
14606	06/08/2012	7303-01	1" = 2500'



SECTION
SCALE: N.T.S.



PLAN
SCALE: 1"=50'

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

6360 HUNTLEY ROAD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

PLAN AND SECTION VIEWS OF PUMPING STATION NO. 3
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
EUREKA, CALIFORNIA

FILE NAME: 14606-03	DATE: 6/13/12	FIGURE 2
PROJECT #: 14606	SCALE: 1"=50'	

Report for **Collectors 1 & 1A**

Dive Survey, Topographic Survey and Initial Assessment for New Lateral Installation

January 2013

Project #: 01055-11-009

Contents

1. Introduction 1
   1.1 Introduction 1
   1.2 Background 1
2. Background Data 3
   2.1 Background and Construction Data 3
      2.1.1 Collector 1 Background and Construction Data 3
      2.1.2 Collector 1A Background and Construction Data 4
      2.1.3 Collector 1A 1966 Aqua Video Inspection and Report 5
      2.1.4 Collector 1 2005 Flowserve Performance & Vibration Evaluation Report 6
      2.1.5 Collector 1 & 1A 2006 Collector Wells International Inspection Report 6
      2.1.6 2006 & 2008 Winzler & Kelly Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Reports 12
      2.1.7 Collector 3 Lateral Installations 14
3. Diving Survey 20
   3.1 2012 Diver Survey 20
4. Topographic Survey 1
   4.1 2012 Collector 1 and 1A Evaluation - Points West Survey Company 1
5. Conclusions & Recommendations 2
   5.1 Conclusions 2
   5.2 Recommendations 4

Table Index

Table 1 Collector Well Information Summary
Table 2 Collector Well Inspections Water Level & Pumping Rate Summary
Table 3 Collector Well 1A Lateral Condition Summary
Table 4 Collector Well 1A Laeral Information and Flow Analysis
Table 5 Collector Well 1 Lateral Condition Summary

Figure Index

Figure 1	HBMWD Location Map
Figure 2	Construction Details Collector No. 1
Figure 3	Single Line Diagram, Pumping Stations, Buildings and Related Facilities
Figure 4	Pumping Station No. 1 General Arrangement Plans and Elevations
Figure 5	Pumping Station No. 1 &2 Piping and Mech. Layout Plans and Elevations
Figure 6	Pumping Station No. 1 Electrical Layout Plans and Sections
Figure 7	All Pumping Stations Concrete Outline & Reinf. Plans, Sections and Details
Figure 8	All Pumping Stations Structural Steel Plans, Elevations and Details
Figure 9	Results of Elevation Tests
Figure 10	Collector 1 Manifold System
Figure 11	Proposed Ranney Collector No. 1A
Figure 12	Siphon Details Ranney Collector No. 1A
Figure 13-16	Model Results, various pumping scenarios
Figure 17	Ranney Collector 1 Evaluation Section Elevations
Figure 18	Ranney Collector 1 Evaluation Site Plan

Appendices

- *Report on Performance Tests, Ranney Collection System*, For Bechtel Corporation, Consulting Engineers, Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, May 31, 1962
- *April 15, 1963, Letter from R.C. Dedekam, Mitchell & Henderson to National Union Fire Insurance Company, Re: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Collector No. 1-Specification No. 3277-2.*
- *March 1, 1963, Letter from Federick C. Mikels, Ranney Method Western Corporation to Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Re: Collector No. 1 HBMWD, Spec. No. 3277-2.*
- *Interim Report on Turbidity Tests-Ranney Collector No. 1, Ranney Method Western, April 27th, 1964*
- *December 14, 1964 Letter from Federick C. Mikels, Ranney Method Western Corporation to Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Re: Turbidity Tests - Collector No. 1.*

- *Operation Manual Ranney Collector No. 1A, Ranney Method Western, March 17, 1966*
- *Inspection Report for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Collector #1 Rainey[sic] Collector, Inspected on September 10, 1996, Aqua Video Engineering*
- *Performance & Vib Evaluation, EC-JR07-05, Water Supply, Various Vertical Pumps (Domestic and Industrial Systems) for Humboldt Bay MWD, Essex Station, April 2005, Flowserve, Pump Division*
- *Inspection Report Collector Wells 1A, 1, 3 and 4, January 2007, Collector Wells International, Inc.*
- *Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Report, Winzler & Kelly, June 2008.*
- *Ranney Collector No. 3 Maintenance Report, New Lateral Installations, August 2012, Layne Christensen Company.*

1. Introduction

1.1 Introduction

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (District) has conducted a systematic approach to the assessment and planning for the refurbishing of the Ranney Collector Wells that provide the source groundwater to the District. The long term use, condition and maintenance of the existing Ranney Collectors are of significant importance to the District. Previous work completed has led to the conclusion that due to the potential cost to install a new collector, rehabilitation of the existing collectors makes the most sense economically for providing a continued water supply to the District's customers. The collectors are the heart of the District's potable water system and must be maintained and rehabilitated as they age so that they remain operational for another 40 or 50 years. The District has experienced a reasonable and useful life from the collectors and with good planning and maintenance should be able to operate the collectors for an extended time into the future. Installation of new laterals will be required to provide added operational life to the system and may provide additional capacity that would be beneficial. An extensive effort has been put forth to understand the physical condition of the Ranney Collectors and to plan for their replacement given their fifty years of life.

This report serves to gather background information and document the results of the latest efforts as they relates to the assessment of Collectors 1 and 1A, and the planning for the potential installation of new laterals in one or both of these collectors. This recent assessment included the collection of background and original construction data, including the construction and location of the siphon that connects these collectors, the topographic survey of the elevations of various points on the collectors, and the physical survey of the lateral locations in the collectors by MM Diving. The recommendations contained within this report are intended to provide the District with guidance on when and where to project new laterals within the system specifically with regards to Collectors 1 and 1A. This report is not intended to be the final assessment report for these Collectors, but merely to serve as a resource in the determination of the final approach and design for any proposed improvements.

1.2 Background

The work recently completed has included focused physical assessments of Collectors 1&1A to determine the locations of the existing laterals, to perform a topographic survey to tie down the elevations of the laterals, and background research to gather together existing data on the construction and previous assessment of these collectors. As discussed above, the long term use, condition and maintenance of the existing Ranney Collectors is of significant importance to the District. The collectors represent the foundation of the District's domestic water supply and must be maintained and rehabilitated so that they remain in use another 40 or 50 years.

Recent work related to the collector assessment and rehabilitation includes:

1. 1996 – Inspection Report for Collector 1, Aqua Video Engineering
2. 2002 – Video Inspection and Pump Test of Pump Station 2, Reynolds, Inc.
3. 2003 – Ranney Collector Rehabilitation Feasibility Report, Winzler & Kelly
4. 2005 – Pump Station 2 Cleaning and Rehabilitation, Maintenance Report, Collector Well Pumping Station No.2, Collector Wells International, Inc.
5. 2006 – Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Groundwater Study, Winzler & Kelly
6. 2006 - Pump Station 2 Evaluation Final Report, Winzler & Kelly
7. 2006 – Inspection Report Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3, 4, Collector Wells International, Inc.
8. 2008 – HBMWD Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Report, Winzler & Kelly
9. 2012 – HBMWD Ranney Collector 3 Lateral Installation Final Report, GHD and Reynolds, Inc.

Section 2 summarizes the findings of the above reports as well as the original construction drawings and reports from the installation of Collectors 1 and 1A. Section 3 presents the findings from the recent diver survey and video that was done for both Collectors 1 and 1A. Section 4 presents the topographic data collected for the collectors. Section 5 then outlines the conclusions and recommendations developed based on the background research as well the recent surveys.

2. Background Data

2.1 Background and Construction Data

The District has six collector wells (1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, and 5) located along the Mad River (see Figure 1). The District designates Collector Wells 1 through 5 as pumping stations (PS) and Collector 1 is also known as Pump Station 1 (PS1) but will be designated as Collector 1 throughout this report.

2.1.1 Collector 1 Background and Construction Data

Collector 1 was constructed by the Ranney Method Western Corporation in 1962 and is located on the south bank of the Mad River in Arcata, California. Figure 2 is the original Collector 1 Construction Details contained in the May 31, 1962 *Report on Performance Tests Ranney Collector System for Bechtel Corporation* (See Appendix A for a copy of the report), and Figures 3 through 8 are the original drawings issued for bid in the *Construction of Pumping Stations, Buildings and Related Facilities for Mad River Project,* Bechtel, December 1961.

The collector is constructed of a 13-foot inside by16-foot outside diameter reinforced concrete caisson that is sunk to a depth of 73.2 feet in the Mad River Bed. The caisson extends 27 to 23-feet above ground (2012 Survey Elevation at Valve of Deck of 51.4-feet and ground surface elevations that vary from 24.33-feet to 28.32-feet) and is completed with a pump house. Collector 1 has two 350 hp Worthington vertical turbine pumps (Model 24M-440, 2 stage) and two 200hp Byron-Jackson vertical turbine pumps (Model 20KXH, 3 stage) that are installed in the central caisson. Collector 1 has a series of ten lateral well screens that are projected horizontally from the caisson in two tiers. The B-tier laterals and A-tier laterals are positioned at elevations of 78 to 80 and 85 to 87 feet below the Valve Deck surface, respectively. The laterals vary in length from 12 to 93 feet and have a total length of 672 feet. The laterals are constructed of 12-inch outside diameter punch-slotted steel well screen. The slots are rectangular in shape and the slot size is 3/8-inch by 1-1/16-inch. The well screens had a calculated open area of 18.6% (Ranney Method Western Corporation, 1962) at the time of installation.

At the time of installation of Collector 1, high levels of turbidity were experienced in the collector in the fall and winter of 1962-63. The District requested corrections to the collector (See April 15, 1963 letter from Mitchell and Henderson to National Union Fire Insurance Company, Appendix A) and, as reported in the March 1, 1963 letter from Ranney Method Western Corporation to Chester Peterson, District Manager (see Appendix A), Ranney tried to redevelop the laterals. Although the March 1, 1963 letter suggested that the redevelopment was successful, the District again experienced high turbidity levels from this collector during the 1963-64 season.

As reported in a April 27th, 1964 *Interim Report on Turbidity Tests-Ranney Collector No. 1*, Ranney Method Western (see Appendix A), in January 1964 all laterals were sampled and the source of the turbid water was traced to laterals B-1, B-5, and B-6. The Report stated; “The source or sources of turbid-water

to these three laterals are not indicated by the present test data and are not definitely established at this time". It went further to state: "The outer end of lateral B-1, as shown on the elevation tests in Figure 2, is at or near the surface and therefore very susceptible to receiving unfiltered river water during high river flows and river bed scours. Such, however is not the case with laterals B-6 and B-5 which, as shown in the elevation rests in Figure 2, rise in elevation only a few feet." (Figure 2 from this report is reproduced at Figure 9 in this Report) The summary goes on to conjecture that it is possible that laterals B-5 and -6 are cross connected to B-1 or to some other geological formation, but that preventing flow to these laterals via a manifold system should correct the turbidity problem. The design for the proposed manifold system in provided in the April 27th, 1964 Interim Report contained in Appendix A. The proposed manifold system was installed in February 1964, but could not be tested due to the lack of high water periods in the Mad for the remainder of that hydraulic year.

In December 1964, Ranney Method Western agreed to install a second collector, Collector 1A, adjacent to Collector 1. In a letter from Fredrick Mikels dated December 14, 1964 to HBMWD, Re: Turbidity Testing-Collector No. 1 (See Appendix A), Ranney agrees to build Collector 1A with a capacity of 20MGD and connect it to Collector 1 via a siphon. The Dec. 1964 letter also presented turbidity tests collected for Collector 1 in November 1964. According to the data presented in the Dec. '64 letter, the manifold system was successful at reducing the turbidity in Collector 1, and that the source of turbidity was indeed laterals B-1, B-5, and B-6 and that the source of the turbidity for these three laterals was "most probably at or near the outer ends of the three laterals."

2.1.2 Collector 1A Background and Construction Data

The manifold system on Collector 1 did appear to address the turbidity issue, but Collector 1 did not produce the quantity of water required, and the extra pumping cost required to run the manifold system at periods of high turbidity lead the District to request Ranney Method Western of California Inc.to build a collector that met the original contract specifications. As stated in the Dec. '64 letter, Ranney agreed to construct Collector 1A. John Winzler stated that Ranney Method Western did the design and construction of Collector 1A with minimal input from Winzler & Kelly or the District. The *Operation Manual Ranney Collector No. 1A*, Ranney Method Western, March 17, 1966 is contained in Appendix A and it details the construction of the Collector 1A.

The details of the Collector are shown on Figure 11 of this report, which corresponds to Drawing No: JW-17-1A-1 or the 1966 Operation Manual. The collector is constructed of a 13-foot inside by18-foot outside diameter reinforced concrete caisson that extends from the ground surface to a depth of 78 feet. The concrete plug or seal is 7 feet in thickness. Collector 1A has a series of twelve lateral well screens that are projected horizontally from the caisson in two tiers. The B-tier laterals and A-tier laterals are positioned at elevations of 62 feet and 68 feet below ground surface, respectively. The twelve laterals are 63 feet in length and have a total screen length of 756 feet. The laterals are constructed of 10.75-inch outside diameter (10.02-inch ID) punch-slotted steel well screen. The slots are rectangular in shape and the slot size is 0.375-inch by 2-inch with 6 feet of slots in each seven foot section of screen pipe. The slots are on 6-inch centers in 54 rows staggered. The well was designed to provide a minimum firm yeld

of 20MGD computed on the basis of a maximum drawdown of 45 feet with a minimum river elevation of +25 Mean Sea Level (1966 Operation Manual).

Collector 1A is connected to Collector 1 via a siphon and is pumped using the existing pumping equipment in Collector 1. The details of the construction of the siphon are shown on Figure 12 of this report, which corresponds to Drawing No. JW-17-1A-2 or the 1966 Operations Manual. The horizontal section of the siphon consists of 30-inch concrete cylinder pipe and the two vertical legs of the siphon in the caissons consist of 30-inch O.D. by ¼-inch welded steel pipe having an AWWA coal tar enamel lining and an exterior coating of Intertol No. 49. The siphon was designed to operate with a total head loss of 2-feet when flowing at a rate of 20.0 MGD. A 4-inch vacuum pipe extends vertically from the high point of the sipon in Collector 1 to two vacuum pumps located on the valve deck of Collector 1. The vacuum pumps are identical and were designed so that either could exhaust all air accumulated in the siphon. The vacuum pumps were designed to be automatically controlled to provide a shut off should the water level in the caisson rise above Elevation +20 MSL or fall below Elevation -22 MSL. The vacuum pumps are Nash Hytor Vacuum Pumps, Size CL201 and details of the pumps are provided in the 1966 Operation Manual. It should be noted that the vacuum pumps have never been operated within the memory of anyone currently working at the District including Barry Van Sickle, who began working with the District in 19**.

Collector 1A is also vented in Collector 1 via an interconnecting 6-inch, schedule 20 steel pipe with coal tar enamel lining and coating. A 2-inch drain valve is located at the low point of the vent pipe in Collector 1. The design and elevation on this pipe are also shown on Figure 11.

2.1.3 Collector 1A 1966 Aqua Video Inspection and Report

In September 1966, Aqua Video did an inspection of Collector 1A (See *Inspection Report for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Collector #1[sic] Rainey[sic] Collector,* Inspected on September 10, 1996, Aqua Video Engineering.) Note that the title of the report is Collector 1, but the inspection was performed on Collector 1A. The inspection found the concrete to be in generally good condition with approximately two dozen spalled areas and two dozen 1-inch diameter corrosion cells observe at the exposed ends of form ties at the 30-foot level and a dozen 12-inch long hairline cracks near the tie holes and the siphon. They found the bottom of the caisson to be covered in debris, which the District subsequently removed.

The roof structure and access hatch were found to be structurally sound and in good condition. The interior ladder was found to be structurally sound but heavily encrusted with corrosion products below the water line.

The ends of the laterals, the valves and the hydraulic lines were all found to be heavily encrusted with corrosion, although it was thought that all valves still operated.

The coating on the siphon had failed and numerous 1 to 2-inch rust nodules were on the exterior of the siphon and the bell end. The coating on the inside of the siphon appeared to be in good shape as far as could be observed.

The 6-inch vent pipe appeared to be structurally sound and in good condition, with some coating failure and some surface corrosion.

The Report concluded that the concrete is in good condition with no corrective action required. It found all metal surfaces below waterline to be heavily encrusted with corrosion. The Report concluded that structure integrity of these features was not compromised, but should continue to be monitored.

The report also presented color pictures of all the features discussed above and of the first part of the "Interior of Typical Lateral Collector", (photographs #37 and #38). In the photographs, the inside of the laterals are highly encrusted with corrosion and it is hard to imagine that the corrosion is not reducing the available flow area and capacity of the laterals. This was one of the factors that lead the District to do further assessments of the Collector conditions and the development of options to extend the life of the collectors.

2.1.4 Collector 1 2005 Flowserve Performance & Vibration Evaluation Report

In April of 2005, Flowserve conducted an evaluation of all of the collector pumps as well as the pumps in the Pump Station 6, the Industrial Water Direction Diversion Facility. A copy of this report is contained in Appendix A. A Flowserve Pump Improvement Engineering Team performed testing on the District's pumps to establish the pumps' present condition to its original built performance. The collectors' flow meter was utilized for determination of flow. All pumps were tested in single pump operation. Pressure gauges were calibrated and mounted on pump discharge piping to obtain pressure, and recalibrated between pumps. Electrical readings were measured and recorded by District personnel. Vibration analysis was taken with CSI 2120 two channel vibration analyzers.

Pump 1.1 (Worthington 24M-440) was found to be operating 8.2% below the performance curve. Pump 1.2 (Worthington 24M-440) was found to be operating 7.0% below the performance curve. Pump 1.3 (Byron Jackson 20 KXH) was found to be operating 8.7% below the performance curve. Pump 1.4 (Byron Jackson 20 KXH) was found to be operating 6.4% below the performance curve. Vibration on all pumps was deemed to be acceptable. The report recommended rebuilding pumps 1.1 and 1.3 immediately and 1.2 and 1.4 at a later date. All pumps were refurbished by the District, but were not ever retested.

2.1.5 Collector 1 & 1A 2006 Collector Wells International Inspection Report

In October of 2006, Collector Wells International (CWI) conducted inspections of Collectors 1, 1A, 3 and 4. A copy of the full report is included in Appendix A, and the text in this section largely summarizes the findings of the report. Inspections were conducted by a professional diver and support crew experienced in collector well inspections including a CWI hydro geologist. Diving services were provided by MM Diving of Crescent City, California. The inspections were conducted from October 17 through October 20, 2006 and included the following tasks:

1. Placement of temporary screens over each of the operating pumps in each well and the siphon line in Collector 1A during the inspection for protection of the diver and equipment.

2. Video inspection of each caisson to determine an accurate count and condition of the control valves and laterals screens in each collector well.
3. Video inspection of each accessible lateral to observe the condition of the lateral well screen, presence and location of sand lines, degree of mineral precipitation on the screens, and accumulation of sand, silt and sediment in each lateral.
4. Measurement of the flow and temperature for each lateral under pumping conditions.
5. Video inspection of the siphon line between Collectors 1 and 1A.
6. Preparation of a report detailing procedures, findings and recommendations.

During the inspections, the hydrogeologist measured water levels in the collector well caissons and accessible adjacent monitoring wells using an electric water level meter. Water levels were obtained in the wells with the pumps turned on to reflect the normal operating conditions for the wells, and static water levels were obtained before the pumps were turned on when possible.

For the period during which the well inspections were conducted, the District provided CWI with caisson water level records for Collector Wells 1, 2, 3 and 4; river level records; and total production values from their Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) system. River level data were also obtained from the US Geological Survey (USGS) Mad River gage station number 11481000 that is located approximately 2000 feet downstream of Essex.

The hydrogeologist also inspected above-water conditions in the caisson and in the pump house where the pumps and motors are installed. The diver inspected the underwater portion of the well including such features as: the lateral control valves, caisson walls, the bottom of the caisson and the pump column and intake area. The diver also inspected the inside of the lateral screens in the section nearest the caisson in order to observe the amount and type of encrustation present, and to estimate the structural condition of the screens.

The diver assisted with measurements of the relative rate of flow and water temperature from each accessible lateral utilizing a specially-designed hand-held flow meter/temperature sensor. These measurements were used to determine the relative productivity of the individual laterals. During the flow measurements, the District operated only the collector being inspected. This allowed determination of the total pumping rate from the collector well at the time of the flow measurements.

A color video camera was inserted into each accessible lateral to visually inspect the lateral screen to its full accessible length. The camera used was a static camera system that was projected into the laterals using a length of flexible high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe. MM Diving provided the camera system. This camera was also used to inspect the siphon line between Collector Well 1A and Collector Well 1. DVD format copies of the videos taken during the inspections were provided with the report.

Water samples were collected from Collector Wells 1A, 3 and 4 and from the river, and these samples were submitted to a laboratory for chemical screening analysis of inorganic constituents, metals and volatile organic chemicals. In Collector Well 1A, the diver collected the water samples in the caisson.

Because none of the laterals are open in Collector 1 and the water in the well is derived from Collector 1A via the siphon line, no water samples were collected from this well.

Collector 1 Inspection Results

The inspection of Collector Well 1 was conducted on October 18, 2006. The text from the report is as follows:

A diagram showing the construction details for Collector Well 1 is presented in Appendix A [of the full Report contained in Appendix A of this report]; however, the number and orientation of the laterals observed during the inspection do not completely correspond to this diagram. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 10 feet above the caisson floor. However, there are six (6) laterals (counting a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe through the caisson wall) in the lower tier instead of the three shown on the drawing. There are seven (7) laterals in the upper tier as indicated on the construction diagram; however, the orientation of these laterals as described by the divers does not seem to conform to the orientation indicated on the construction diagram. A summary of the inspection observations in Collector Well 1 is presented in Table 5. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C [of the full Report]. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 1 are presented in Appendix E [of the full Report].

Visual inspection showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. The housekeeping in the pump house is generally very good. There is a large amount of debris on the floor of the caisson including scrap metal, wood, sand and gravel and chunks of concrete [subsequently removed]. There is what appears to be a 6-inch or 8-inch diameter stilling pipe [the vent pipe] just to the left of the access ladder. This pipe is corroded through in one spot and is just barely attached to the caisson wall. The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1 is located to the right of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is about 10 feet above the caisson floor.

All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged, capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. Consequently no video inspection of the insides of the laterals was conducted, their conditions were not ascertained, and no flow tests were performed. Numbering clockwise from the right of the ladder, in the lower tier, laterals A1 and A2 have no valves and are plugged with wood. Lateral A3 does not have a valve and is capped with a metal cap that has a pipe fitting in the center of the cap and another on top of the cap. There is a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe extending through the caisson wall that is identified as A4 in Table 4. This pipe is plugged with wood. Lateral A5 has two valves fitted together in parallel on the end of the flange, with the outer valve closed. The valve on lateral A6 is partially opened about 1 inch, and there was very strong flow of water from this lateral. In the upper tier, the valve on lateral B 1 is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral. The valves on laterals B2 through B5 are closed. The valve on lateral B6 is closed, but the diver indicated that there was a slight amount of flow leaking through the valve seal. The valve on lateral B7 is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it.

During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in the Collector Well 1 caisson, a 12-inch diameter vertical well located 104 feet west of the west side of Collector Well 1 and in monitoring well MW -1. These water levels and water levels provided by the District from their SCADA system for their river intake and Collector Well 1 and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the hydrographs in Figure 4 [of the full Report]. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. As indicated in Figure 4 and Table 2, the average pumping rate from Collector Well during the inspection was 5.6 MGD or about 3900 gpm with pump 1-2 running. After 1.7 hours of pumping during the inspection, the water level in Collector Well 1 decreased 12.0 feet below the static level. Because most of the lateral valves in Collector Well 1 are closed or the laterals are plugged, the majority of the water pumped from Collector Well 1 is obtained from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line, and consequently the specific capacity of Collector Well 1 could not be established during the inspection.

During the inspection of Collector Well 1, a video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Well 1 and Collector Well 1A was conducted. The diver was able to advance the camera up the siphon 170 feet from Collector Well 1 toward Collector Well 1A. At this point, the friction on the HDPE pipe prevented further advance of the camera. The measured distance from the closest side of the outside of the Collector Well 1 caisson to the closest side of the outside of the Collector Well 1A caisson is about 186 feet. As seen from the video camera, the inside of the siphon pipe has a relatively uniform thin coating of gray and reddish-colored deposits. The camera appeared to scrape this coating loose, so it is apparently relatively soft. There were no apparent obstructions in the siphon pipe or obvious problems observed. However, the end of the HDPE pipe on which the camera was attached flexed so that the camera pointed at a slight angle as it was advanced, and the full diameter of the pipe cannot be observed in the video.

Collector 1A Inspection Results

The inspection of Collector Well 1 A was conducted on October 17, 2006. The text from the report is as follows:

The measured depth from the top of the top slab to the caisson floor was 72.7 feet at the access hatch. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 8 feet above the caisson floor. There are six laterals in each tier. The laterals in both tiers are roughly equally spaced around the perimeter of the caisson with the upper tier staggered from the lower tier so that horizontal position of each upper tier lateral is about halfway between the horizontal positions of two of the lower tier laterals. Based on the distances that the diver was able to advance the video camera in the laterals, it appears that they are all about 61 to 62 feet in length. Each lateral is equipped with a valve and there is a valve stem on each valve that leads to the top of the caisson top slab.

A summary of the visual and video inspection observations in Collector Well 1A is presented in Table 3. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C [of the full Report]. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 1A are presented in Appendix D [of the full Report]. Visual inspection showed no apparent problems with the caisson or valves. There is about 6-inches to 1 foot of loose sand and gravel and probably some small chunks of concrete on the

caisson floor [subsequently removed]. The lower end of the ladder from the access hatch is loose from the caisson wall.

The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1 is located just to the left of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line consists of a 30-inch (approximate) diameter pipe. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is about 11 feet above the caisson floor.

Video inspection of the laterals showed that the interiors of the lateral screens are generally coated with black and reddish-colored bacterial and/or mineral deposits. These deposits appear to be less than 1/2-inch to more than 1-inch thick. In portions of the laterals the deposits are thick enough so that the screen slot openings are not visible. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of all of the laterals except the fourth lateral from the right of the ladder in the lower tier (designated as A4 in the tables). This lateral was partially blocked by what appeared to be sand and gravel at about 55 feet from the caisson wall. There were no obvious problems with the integrity of the other laterals in Collector Well 1A; however, the deposits coating the inside of the laterals prevented observation of the well screen material. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the any of the laterals in Collector Well 1A, nor were sand lines observed remaining in the laterals in Collector Wells 3 and 4. This is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations. During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in the Collector Well 1A caisson and the closest monitoring well MW-1. These water levels and water levels provided by the District from their SCADA system for their river intake and Collector Well and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the hydrographs in Figure 3 [of the full Report]. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. As indicated in Table 2, the average pumping rate from Collector Well 1 during the inspection was 6.3 million gallons per day (MGD) or about 4350 gallons per minute (gpm). Because most of the lateral valves in Collector Well 1 are closed or the laterals are plugged, the majority of the water pumped from Collector Well 1 is obtained from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line.

After 3.6 hours of pumping during the inspection, there was an observed drawdown in Collector Well 1A of 12.3 feet giving an observed specific capacity of approximately 350 gallons per minute per foot of drawdown (gpm/ft) assuming all of the water pumped was from Collector Well 1A. Lateral flow and temperature measurements conducted in Collector Well 1A are summarized in Table 4. The results indicate that the flow from the individual laterals ranges from a minimum of about 2% of the total flow to a maximum of about 16% of the total flow. The combined flow from the lower tier makes up about 58% of the total and the combined flow from the upper tier makes up about 42% of the total. The water temperature from the individual laterals ranged from about 61° to about 65° F.

Collector Wells Inspection Report Summary for Collectors 1 & 1A

The Collector Wells Report summary for Collectors 1 & 1A is as follows:

In general the above ground condition of the collector wells was found to be good, and the housekeeping practices in the pump houses to be very good.

Collector Well 1A was found to be generally in good condition. There are bacterial/mineral deposits on the lateral screens, but it appeared that all but one of the twelve laterals are open for their entire length. The apparent specific capacity of this well during the inspection was 350 gpm/ft. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the any of the laterals in Collector Well1A, nor were sand lines observed remaining in the laterals in Collector Wells 3 and 4. This is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations.

There were no apparent problems observed with the Collector Well 1 caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. There is a large amount of debris on the floor of the caisson including scrap metal, wood, sand and gravel and chunks of concrete [this has subsequently been removed by the District]. There is what appears to be a stilling pipe just to the left of the access ladder that is corroded through in one spot and is just barely attached to the caisson wall. All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged, capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. Consequently no video inspection of the insides of the laterals was conducted, and no flow measurements were conducted. In the lower tier of laterals in Collector Well 1, two of the laterals have no valves and are plugged with wood. One lateral does not have a valve and has a metal cap over the flange. One lateral has two valves fitted together on the end of the flange, the outer of which is closed. The valve on one lower tier lateral is partially opened about 1 inch, and there was very strong flow of water from this lateral. There is also a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe extending through the caisson wall that is plugged with wood. In the upper tier, the valve one lateral is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral; the valve on another lateral is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it; and the valve one lateral is closed, but the diver indicated that there was a slight amount of flow leaking through the valve seal. The valves on the other four upper tier laterals are closed and do not appear to be leaking.

During the inspection of Collector Well 1, a video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Well 1 and Collector Well 1A was conducted. The diver was able to advance the camera up the siphon 170 feet from Collector Well 1 toward Collector Well 1A. There were no apparent obstructions in the siphon pipe or obvious problems observed

Collector Wells Inspection Report Recommendations for Collectors 1 & 1A

The Collector Wells recommendation in the Report for Collectors 1 & 1A is as follows:

There does not appear to be any pressing maintenance requirements for Collector Well 1A. There are deposits in the lateral screens that could be removed by cleaning and redevelopment. However, the observed specific capacity of Collector Well 1A during the inspection was relatively high, and it is possible that redevelopment of the well would not significantly improve its performance.

If it is desired to continue to operate Collector Well 1 with the laterals closed, it might be advisable to more permanently seal the laterals. The wooden plugs in some of the lower tier laterals and the 24-inch diameter pipe are showing evidence of decay. For safety reasons, the corroded stilling pipe next to the

access ladder would need to be removed prior to any maintenance work in this well. It is advisable that the debris be cleared from the floor of the caisson also [as mentioned, the District has already removed the debris].

2.1.6 2006 & 2008 Winzler & Kelly Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Reports

In 2004, the District obtained a grant from the State Department of Water Resources, Local Groundwater Assistance Grant program to develop a groundwater model of the Essex reach of the Mad River to help the District in the management of the groundwater basin and to assist in the develop of recommendations on where to install additional laterals in the existing collectors to allow for their rehabilitation.

The 2006 Report focused on the groundwater system in the region of the four active Ranney Collectors (Collectors 1 through 4) but focused, due to available data, on Collectors 1, 1A, and 2. The activities of the 2006 study consisted of: collecting existing data (from construction plans, well logs, operational data, and previous studies), collecting new data (from the installation of four new monitoring wells and seismic refraction study), compiling the collected data into a site conceptual model, construction of a three dimensional computational model, numeric and parameter model calibration, and model application. As part of the 2006 Report effort, a number of geological borings and seismic refraction studies were completed in the vicinity of Collectors 1 and 1A. Figure 12, which corresponds to Figure 2 from the 2006 Report, is included in this report and shows the locations of the borings, monitoring wells, and seismic refraction studies that were conducted. The site conceptual model resulted in a three dimensional representation of the model domain depicting the confining layer and soil properties of the overlying hydro geologic units. The site conceptual model was used to construct the computational model. The computational model estimated the groundwater flow and head by solving the groundwater flow equations using MODFLOW-SURFACT, a MODFLOW based finite difference model. The model was then applied to seven operational pumping regimes, as listed in Table 4 of the 2006 Report, with the results shown in Appendix A of the Report. The model results closely matched observed drawdown from pumping tests at the four new monitoring wells between Collectors 1/1A and Collector 2. Observed drawdown at the Ranney collectors was generally less than what was predicted by the model.

The 2008 Groundwater Study: 1) updated and refine the previously developed groundwater model with additional data near Collectors 3 and 4 not included in the original groundwater modeling efforts; 2) completed a final evaluation to determine the potential yields from Collectors 3 and 4; and 3) provided a recommendation to the District regarding at which Collector the District should start the lateral replacement program under the broader Ranney Rehabilitation program being developed in the CIP. A copy of the 2008, *Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Report* is included in Appendix A, and this report includes a copy of the May 2006 report as an appendix.

The conclusions and recommendations from the 2008 Report identified Collector 3 as a suitable collector to investigate for lateral replacement due to the fact that:

1. The boring installed adjacent to Collector 3 indicated a favorable zone to install laterals approximately 10 feet above the existing laterals.

2. Technical data developed indicate the potential for additional flow from Collector 3 to meet additional demand.
3. The construction and installation of new laterals will require taking a collector out of service until completion of the construction. Collector 3 is land based and accessible all year long so construction can occur during winter months that do not coincide with summer peak demands. The District relies operationally on all four collectors to provide peak flows during the summer peak demand period.

The results also identified Collector 4 as suitable for lateral installation; however Collector 4 is located on the river bar, and work would be limited to summer time operations that could potentially coincide with peak summer time demands when all four collectors are required to meet the peak demands.

Recommendations from the Report were:

1. Begin planning efforts for lateral installation. From the results of the modeling efforts we have determined that Pump Station 3 has a potential yield of 10 MGD at a drawdown of 30 feet based on installation of 200 feet of additional lateral length as described in Section 6.1. Based on the results of the modeling efforts, boring data collected and physical location we recommend that planning for the installation of new laterals be completed during the 08/09 fiscal year for Pump Station 3. Planning efforts will include development of costs for installation of laterals, completion of CEQA and permitting requirements and development of specifications for installation. In addition, as a part of the cost analysis it would be prudent to analyze costs associated with full lateral replacement versus installation of only additional laterals with replacement laterals installed at a later date. Regardless of the ultimate capacity realized, the District will be installing laterals that have to be installed as replacement infrastructure so there will not be any wasted dollars spent. Subsequent to installation of new laterals in Pump Station 3 new data will be able to be collected and developed that will provide further information on the capability of the system and sustained yield that will help direct the next phase of lateral replacement.
2. Continue to develop additional information for lateral replacement. The installation of new laterals is a complex issue that will include additional analysis of the Districts infrastructure such as pump capacity, electrical capacity at individual collectors, pipeline condition and capacity and overall collector condition. Based on the results from the modeling efforts we have determined that Pump Station 4 has a potential yield of 8 MGD at a drawdown of 16.25 feet. As reported the results indicate that there is potential for additional yield at Pump Station 4. However due to an increase in velocities we have concern that there is potential for increased turbidity at higher flow rates at all collectors. The Maintenance Report Collector Well Pump Station No. 2 Report, Collector Wells International, Inc., October 2005 summarizes as it relates to Pump Station 2 that it is recommended to install additional and replacement laterals in order to pump at higher rates and to maximize well efficiency. Minimizing the screen entrance and approach velocities would help ensure that water velocity in the aquifer is sufficiently low to prevent movement of particulate matter and reduce the potential for increased turbidity. This concept is applicable to all work related to the collectors and should be considered when installing new laterals. We recommend

investigating the possibility of variable speed pumping after installation of new laterals to increase the potential for increased sustained yield while minimizing the chance of increased turbidity due to an increase in yield. By installing variable speed drives the pump station output can be managed to maintain the highest level of sustained pumping while minimizing drawdown and turbidity. These issues should be studied.

3. Continue to investigate the system capacity at a broad level. We recommend to investigate the potential for increased yield in the system due to installation of new laterals with the developed groundwater model and to analyze the feasibility of generating additional capacity by a pump test of Pump Stations 1 and 1A. Pump Station 1A is also a land based collector and could be retrofitted to include additional laterals during winter months. In addition, Pump Station 1 has several closed laterals that could potentially provide additional summer time capacity. However, this option should be studied closely to determine effects of opening the laterals on the system groundwater classification before proceeding. As the District proceeds with lateral replacement new information will be developed that will help planning efforts and data will be developed indicating the true capacity of the collectors. Meeting future demands is a complex issue that could include investigation of alternate methods to meet increased demands such as storage and surface water treatment in addition to lateral replacement and installation of additional laterals.

The 2006 Report ran various pumping scenarios and modeled the flows and presented the output in color flooded plan view maps. The model can report the hydraulic head at each of the computational nodes in the model. While the head at any specified location may be evaluated, it is easiest to view these results in a color flooded plan view map. The groundwater heads are shown in color flood and with contour lines of light blue. The warmer orange color flood indicates higher heads and the cooler greens indicate lower heads. Results like this can be viewed for each of the seven layers of the model. The interactions between the Ranney Wells may also be viewed by plotting the flood plots with vectors indicating the speed and direction of groundwater flow. The velocity vectors clearly depict the regions that are impacted by the pumping at the various pump stations. Figures 13, 14, and 15 reproduce modeled plan views originally presented in the 2006 report. Figure 13 depicts the model results for layers 5 and 6 (just above the existing laterals) for the scenario where Collector 1/1A is pumping at 6 MGD. Figure 14 depicts layers 5 and 6 in the modeled scenario where Collector 1/1A, 2, and 4 are all pumping at 4.8 MGD, and Figure 15 depicts layers 5 and 6 in the modeled scenario where Collector 1/1A, 2, 3, and 4 and all pumping at 5 MGD. All of these figures indicate an area downstream of Collector 1A that is a warm orange, which indicates that it is a high head area that is potentially available for additional laterals.

2.1.7 Collector 3 Lateral Installations

Based on the results and recommendations from the 2008 Groundwater Study, the District chose to move forward with the installation of new laterals in Collector 3, for the reasons stated in the report. Plans and specifications were prepared for the lateral installation, and during the period from December 2011 through May 2012, the Layne Christensen Company (d/b/a Ranney Collector Wells) was contracted by

the District to install six new ports in the Collector 3 caisson wall, install six (6) new laterals and perform pre- and post-maintenance performance testing of the well.

Portal assemblies were installed in circular openings cut in the caisson wall at the selected locations and bonded to the caisson by grouting. Then projection equipment, pipe and tools were lowered into the well and set up. After installation of the portal assemblies, the laterals were constructed by initially projecting 16-inch diameter steel pipe to the desired length and sampling the aquifer materials as the pipe was projected. Prior to installation of the well screens, the vertical orientation of the projection pipe was determined. The vertical orientation of the projection pipe was determined using a Reflex EZ-DIP Electronic Inclinometer. In addition to the inclinometer measurements, a “Dutch level”, consisting of sufficient small diameter plastic pipe to reach the end of the projection pipe and a manometer tube, was utilized to determine if the far end of the projection pipe was above the centerline at the caisson end. Following selection of the screen slot size distribution based upon sampling, a 12-inch ID diameter stainless steel (type 304) screen assemblage was installed within the projection pipe and the 16-inch pipe hydraulically extracted from the aquifer, exposing the screened lateral to the aquifer. The screen slot sizes were varied depending on the coarseness of the material encountered, which was based upon the samples collected during the projection of the drive pipe. Sieve analyses of samples collected during the drive pipe projection are included in the Layne, *Ranney Collector No. 3 Maintenance Report, New Lateral Installations*, August 2012, a copy of which is included in Appendix A.

The screens were installed using 10-foot long sections, with each section having 9.5 feet of its length screened. In addition to the screen, each lateral was installed with a 5-foot long section of blank pipe extending from the caisson wall. Each lateral is completed with a 12-inch gate valve in the caisson. The initial plan called for the installation of four (4) new laterals each having 5-foot blanks and 160 feet of screen for a total of 660 feet of new lateral. Laterals 1 and 3 were planned to be installed 5.75 feet above the existing A-tier laterals (as a new C-tier) and Laterals 2 and 4 were planned to be installed 16.75 feet above the A-tier laterals (as a new D-tier). Laterals 1 and 3 were installed first. Lateral 1 was projected to refusal at 115 feet and Lateral 3 was projected to refusal at 75 feet from the inside caisson wall. Because neither lateral reached the target length, it was decided to install two additional C-tier laterals designated as Laterals 5 and 6. Both of these laterals were successfully projected to lengths of 155 feet. Once Laterals 5 and 6 were completed, the temporary work platform was raised to install the D-tier laterals. Lateral 2 was projected to a length of 105 feet, and Lateral 4 was projected to a length of 85 feet so that the total installed length of the new laterals would be 690 feet or 30 feet more than the original specification of 660 feet.

The total open area of the new screen installed in the collector well, adjusting for couplings and blank sections, is 1,063.9 square feet, which has a mechanical capacity of 7,960 gpm (11.5 MGD) at an entrance velocity of 1 foot per minute (ft/min) assuming no blockage of the screen slots. The original laterals have an open area of 18.6% (Ranney Method Western of California, 1962) so the total open area of the original laterals is approximately 250 square feet assuming no blockage of these laterals.

Following installation of all laterals, each lateral was fully developed using the BoreBlast II® system. To determine the adequacy of development, centrifugal sand-separating device manufactured by the Roscoe Moss Company was used to measure sand production. The standard for sand production from the completed collector was specified to be less than 2 parts per million (ppm). After the development of the new laterals was completed, all sediment remaining on the floor of the caisson was removed. Also, the valve actuator lines on the original laterals were cut off and removed from the caisson. The caisson walls were cleaned and washed with a chlorine solution. Once the walls were cleaned, the caisson was re-watered and additional calcium hypochlorite was added and the resulting chlorine solution was allowed to remain in the caisson. Divers entered the well to open the valves on the new laterals, remove the blind flanges and reinstall the valves on the old laterals. The District reinstalled its well pumps, and the discharge line from the well pumps was directed to the temporary percolation ponds.

The specifications called for each new lateral to be installed horizontally in a straight line throughout its full length with the maximum allowable deviation from horizontal being two lateral projection pipe diameters over the entire projected length of the lateral. As the diameter of the projection pipe was 16 inches, the allowable deviation from horizontal is 32 inches. The inclinometer measurements were conducted in the projection pipe prior to installation of the lateral screens. In addition to the inclinometer measurements, a “Dutch level” was utilized to determine if the far end of the projection pipe was above the centerline of the projection pipe at the caisson end. The inclinometer measurements indicated that all of the laterals were within the tolerance for vertical alignment except for Laterals 4 and 5. The inclinometer readings for Lateral 5 indicated that it deviated upward by 36.5 inches, 2.5 inches out of tolerance. The Dutch level measurements indicated an upward deviation in Lateral 5 of only 32 inches. In Lateral 4, the inclinometer measurements indicated an upward deviation of 46 inches, 14 inches out of tolerance. However, the Dutch level measurements in Lateral 4 indicated an upward deviation of only 16 inches, well within tolerance. On the other laterals the Dutch level and the inclinometer measurements agreed more closely. In Lateral 4, the superintendent was able to see the back of the digging head from the caisson and the sand line unscrewed from the head without difficulty. Both of these are indications that the projection pipe had not deflected significantly.

The post-maintenance performance testing consisted of a multiple-rate step test and a 24-hour pumping test. The testing procedures generally followed those utilized for the pre-maintenance testing. During post-maintenance testing, water levels were monitored in the collector well caisson, and in the adjacent observation wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-7. Mad River level data during the testing period were provided by the District from their telemetry system for their gage at the intake at the water treatment plant (Pumping Station 6).

For the post-maintenance multiple-rate step test the discharge water was conveyed to the percolation ponds and the pumping rate was determined using an in-line flow meter on the temporary discharge line. The multiple-rate step pumping test was conducted with four steps with lengths of 1 hour each. The average pumping rates for the steps were 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm.

For the 24-hour pumping test, the discharge was conveyed to the water system and the pumping rate was controlled by the pumps in operation and the system line pressures. Following the multiple-rate step test,

the collector well was allowed to recover overnight. Prior to the start of the 24-hour pumping test, a diver entered the well to put screen baskets over the pump intakes. The 24-hour pumping test was started with an initial pumping rate of approximately 3,700 gpm. After the well had been pumping for approximately one hour, a diver entered the well to conduct lateral flow analyses and measure the water temperature from each of the laterals. The diver also obtained water samples from the laterals. The well pumps were off for approximately one half hour for the divers to remove the screen baskets from the pump intakes. For a period of about two and one half hours both well pumps were operated for a combined pumping rate of about 5,600 gpm. For the remainder of the pumping period, the well was pumped with one pump at a rate of about 3,500 gpm.

After the end of each 1-hour step at pumping at rates of 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm the observed drawdown values in Ranney Collector No. 3 for the post-maintenance step test were 7.8, 12.9, 18.3 and 23.8 feet, respectively. This gives observed post-maintenance specific capacity values of 392, 362, 329 and 312 gpm/ft. These values are 40% to 50% greater than the specific capacity values observed during the pre-maintenance step test at similar pumping rates. The observed drawdown at the end of step 3 in observation well MW-1 was 12.9 feet, and the observed drawdown in MW-7 was 9.9 feet. The drawdown differential values at the end of step 3 were 1.0 ft/1000 gpm for MW-1 and 1.4 ft/1000 gpm for MW-7. These values represent decreases of about 50% from the pre-maintenance drawdown differential values at similar pumping rates.

Calculated yield estimates indicate that Ranney Collector No. 3 should be capable of producing up to 8.5 MGD under the assumed low river conditions and up to 12.5 MGD under the assumed high river conditions. The actual yields from the collector well will depend on how well the actual conditions match the assumed conditions and will vary with changes in river level and water temperatures, and the District is in the process of collecting such data.

The Collector 3 Project was largely a success; however there were several items that were identified as areas of improvement including:

1. Project Datum. The locations and elevations of the laterals, the pumps, the surrounding monitoring well, etc. at Collector 3 were never surveyed in and confirmed that they were on the same datum prior to the performance of the work. This should be done at the start on any of the next projects.

2. Percolation Pond. The original percolation rate and pond was thought to be of sufficient size to handle construction and development water. It was not. Another disposal option needs to be established, along with a back-up plan. Whether this is a percolation pond on the river bar, or filtered discharge into the river, it needs to be addressed.

3. The exact locations of existing laterals, pump bottoms, and construction joints needs to be well established prior to locating new proposed laterals to determine whether the legs of the projection jacks can be aligned properly in the caisson.

4. Discussions with the Contractor need to be undertaken on where their jacks land on the opposite wall of where they are pushing and whether any other “new foot” or some other means of straddling an existing or new lateral can be come up with.

5. Need a firm schedule from the contractor upfront including showing when the crews are going to be taking breaks, as this resulted in the overall schedule to be pushed out.

6. Site safety. The site control for PS-3 was already there and fairly good. We did not have a spec for minimum security fencing. Future collectors will have much greater exposure to the public. We need to consider fencing for the pond and construction areas. We should also require that all fueled equipment be stored in a locked area out of the river area.

7. Need to discuss whether an “Alternate Lateral Cost per unit foot” be established in the original bid documents, or whether to negotiate that after the contractor is on-site like was done in the Collector 3 case. We got a good rate, but we may want to know that rate up front if we have two bidders.

8. Need “Port Installation Cost” as a separate line item on the bid.

9. We should consider add the removal of extra items in the caisson to the bid schedule if we know that there are things we want taken out of a specific caisson that we are working on. When the caisson is dewatered is the ideal time to remove obsolete items.

10. In caissons that have more than two pumps we should consider that we may need to completely remove some of the pumps to make room for the construction equipment.

11. Finished Floors in the Caisson. Ranney bid the job and intended to do the lower laterals from the floor of the caisson. All of our caissons have rough finished floors. In future jobs we should include a floor installation as a separate bid item or make it clear that it will have to be included in the base bid.

Specification Comments

12. Construction hours are listed as 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. daily, except for the Constant Rate Tests but we told them to keep it to 8:00 am - 5:00 pm because of concern for neighbors. We should consider adjusting these hours.

13. The specs call for the prevention of surface erosion but we did not specify what to do about stormwater running on to the site. I don't think this will be an issue at other locations but we did have quite a bit of turbid water running on to the site that we then had to control.

14. Site and area cleanup. Specs are loose on how we would like the site to be cleaned up or what standards we require, it just says as required and approved by the Engineer. The contractors did a good job and there was not a problem but they didn't know what we expected as "proper" and they commented that it was quite ambiguous and open to interpretation. If we had a different contractor things could have been different.

15. Need submittal table in the submittal section.

16. Need a grout spec in the specifications.

17. Need a better spec on how to handle the sand tests. There was confusion on how the Contractor was going to do this and on reporting requirements.

18. Need to require a confined space entry plan in the specs.

19. Need to be more specific about what we expect for well head protection with regards to fueling and any other chemicals. We did specify an Env Protection Plan with water pollution plan but we need to be more explicit in what we are asking for. We should add a requirement for secondary containment for any equipment operating in the river/wellhead area. Secondary containment should be at a minimum of 1.5 times the volume of any liquid contaminant on site.

20. Need to clean up the disinfection spec on how exactly they are going to do it, what happens with the waste water, how they dechlorinate, what they can use to disinfect.

21. Need to establish in the specs that if they chose to measure deflection at the end of the installation and then move on with construction prior to data being processed and approved, they need to cover the cost of the lateral that is out of spec. (we got bad inclinometer data on one lateral and the screen was installed prior to processing and approving that data).

22. Need to add what to do with the anchor bolts upon completion (leave in, burn off, seal holes with grout).

23. Need to establish better specifications on what “level” the bore blast or development tool is used at adjacent to existing laterals.

24. Need to establish whether we want to have existing laterals re-developed or videoed before and after. We may want to require that a sand line be inserted into old laterals to clean them out prior to opening the closed laterals. The performance test requires high pumping rates that could mobilize sediments, temporally increasing turbidity. By cleaning the old laterals prior to the test we will minimize these impacts.

25. We should possibly require Contractor add time to the schedule for the District to conduct MPA tests.

26. Need to have baskets for the pumps prior to diving.

27. Have contractor provide tags for all the new valves.

28. Clean up specs about what to do with gravel and sand spoils from the lateral installation. It is good gravel, and we should make it clear that the District will utilize the available gravel.

29. Add District running pump tests and capturing SCADA data prior to and after installation.

30. Add to the specs that the Contractor shall anticipate weekly progress meetings with Owner and Engineer.

31. Add grounding plan for dewatering pump or any electrical equipment in the caisson.

3. Diving Survey

3.1 2012 Diver Survey

A dive survey was conducted in June 2012 by MM Diving of Crescent City, California in coordination with GHD to determine the depths of pumps, siphons and laterals in Collectors 1 and 1A. Inspections were conducted by a professional diver and support crew experienced in collector well inspections including a GHD hydro geologist. This survey also identified the radial placement of each of the laterals in relation to one another. The text in this section largely summarizes the findings of the dive survey.

The inspections were conducted on June 12th, 2012 and included the following tasks:

A. Video inspection of each caisson to determine an accurate count and condition of the control valves and laterals in Collectors 1 and 1A.

B. Elevation measurements for each lateral, pump, and siphon in Collectors 1 and 1A.

C. Radial measurements of each lateral in Collectors 1 and 1A.

The diver inspected the underwater portion of the well including such features as: the lateral control valves, caisson walls, siphon, the bottom of the caisson and the pump column and intake area. The diver also inspected the inside of the laterals in the section nearest the caisson in order to determine if the lateral's valve were open, closed or capped off. DVD format copies of the videos taken during the inspections are provided with this report.

Collector 1 Inspection Results

The inspection of Collector Well 1 was conducted on June 12, 2012. There are two tiers of laterals, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, the centerline of the upper tier about 10 feet above the caisson floor, four pumps and a siphon in the well. This survey showed that the pumps in Collector 1 are at elevations between 77 and 85 feet, B-tier laterals at elevations between 78-83 feet, and A-tier laterals between the elevations of 85 and 87 feet. Elevations of the pumps and laterals in Collector 1 are shown in Figure 18. A diagram showing the construction details for Collector Well 1 is presented in Figure 2. However, there are six (6) laterals (counting a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe through the caisson wall) in the lower tier (A-tier) instead of the three shown on the 1964 drawing. A summary of the elevations found during the inspection in Collector Well 1 are presented in Tables XX-XX. A summary of the radial distances between laterals found during the inspection is presented in Table XX.

Table 1: Elevation data for laterals at Collector 1 from June 2012 dive survey.

Survey Order	Lateral Number	Elevation (ft.)
B-Laterals		

1	B-3	78.0
2	B-4	78.9
3	B-7	79.4
4	B-5	80.5
5	B-6	79.7
6	B-1	82.7
7	B-2	78.8
A-Laterals		
1	A-Flanged	87.9
2	A-Flanged	85.5
3	A-6 Cap	87.5
4	A-Plate	86.9
5	A-3 Double Valve	86.0
6	A- 4 Valve	86.2

Table 2: Elevation data for siphon and pumps at Collector 1 from June 2012 dive survey.

Survey Order	ID	Elevation (ft.)
Pumps		
1	1-1	79.2
2	1-2	77.8
3	1-3	84.9
4	1-4	78.8
Siphon		
1	Top at 90 Degree	50.0
2	Bottom of Bell	73.5

Table 3: Radial distances measured during June 2012 dive survey for Collector 1.

Survey Order	Lateral Number	Distance From Previous Measurement (ft.)
B-Laterals		
1	B-3 (Measured from Ladder)	0.83

2	B-4	6.00
3	B-7	3.00
4	B-5	2.58
5	B-6	4.83
6	B-1	5.83
7	B-2	4.83
8	to Ladder	3.50
	Ladder Width	1.54
	Total Circumference	*32.96*
A-Laterals		
1	A-Flanged (Measured from Ladder)	4.17
2	A-Flanged	6.58
3	A-6 Cap	6.92
4	A-Plate	6.33
5	A-3 Double Valve	6.17
6	A-4 Valve	5.67
	Ladder Width	1.54
	Total Circumference	*37.37*

All of the laterals in the A-tier are capped, flanged, or covered by a plate. There are seven (7) laterals in the upper tier (B-tier) as indicated on the construction diagram. A 2007 survey report conducted on Collector 1 by Collector Wells International stated that the number and orientation of the laterals observed during the 2006 inspection do not completely correspond to this diagram. During the 2012 survey, the number and orientation of the B-tier laterals was recorded during both elevation and during radial measurements. There was some confusion regarding the orientation of laterals during the radial measurements. The confusion was namely in regard to the placement of laterals B-1 and B-6. These laterals were documented to be in the opposite order when compared to elevation measurements. This confusion was attributed to miscommunication between the diver and the staff member collecting data. It is believed that laterals B-1 and B-6 are oriented as shown in the original construction drawing (Figure 2).

The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1 is located to the right of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow, at approximately 50 feet in elevation, where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is approximately 15 feet above the caisson floor, which was measured at 89 feet 3.5 inches. The diver observed that the bottom of the bell of the siphon was corroding and at the time of inspection was approximately 65-70% intact. Visual inspection showed some corrosion of other pipes, flanges and valves. Additionally, there was approximately 4-5 inches of sediment at the bottom of the well including small rocks/gravel and some sand. It was also observed that there was contact between the siphon bell, the valve flange, and the pump at lateral B-4. All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged,

capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. In the upper tier, the valve on lateral B-1 is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral. The valves on laterals B-2 through B-7 are closed, with the exception of B-3 which was slightly open.

The caisson diameter of Collector 1 is approximately 13 feet, which results in a total circumference of 40 feet 10 inches. The survey data provided a total circumference between 33 and 37 feet. These values are based on radial measurements of the A-tier and B-tier laterals. It was noticed while reviewing the dive video, that during radial measurements of the B-tier laterals measurements were taken from center line to center line of each lateral. This was done from the face of the cap/flange of the lateral rather than along the wall of the caisson. Measurements from the A-tier were taken more carefully along the wall of the caisson. The total circumference of the caisson from these measurements more closely matches that based on the diameter. The difference of approximately 7 feet in the B-tier measurements may be accounted for by more accurate radial measurements, ensuring that the tape is in contact with the wall of the caisson.

Collector 1A Inspection Results

The inspection of Collector Well 1 A was conducted on June 12, 2012. The measured depth from the top of the top slab to the caisson floor was 62.5 feet at the center line. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 2 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 8 feet above the caisson floor. There are six laterals in each tier. The laterals in both tiers are roughly equally spaced around the perimeter of the caisson with the upper tier staggered from the lower tier so that horizontal position of each upper tier lateral is about halfway between the horizontal positions of two of the lower tier laterals. Elevation data found from the survey at Collector Well 1A is presented in Table XX. The radial distance between laterals in each tier is presented in Table XX. Based on construction drawings, all laterals are approximately 63 feet in length. No inspection of the lateral length was conducted during the 2012 survey. Each lateral is equipped with a valve and there is a valve stem on each valve that leads to the top of the caisson top slab.

Table 4: Elevation data for Collector 1A from June 2012 dive survey.

Survey Order	Lateral Number	Elevation (ft.)
B-Laterals		
1	B-1	65.2
2	B-2	65.4
3	B-3	65.6
4	B-4	65.4
5	B-5	65.3
6	B-6	68.0
A-Laterals		

1	A-1	70.8
2	A-2	71.4
3	A-3	71.7
4	A-4	71.6
5	A-5	71.3
6	A-6	71.3

Table 5: Radial distances measured during June 2012 dive survey for Collector 1A.

Survey Order	Lateral Number	Distance From Previous Measurement (ft.)
B-Laterals		
1	B-1	3.8
2	B-2	7.00
3	B-3	6.42
4	B-4	6.33
5	B-5	6.75
6	B-6	6.58
7	to ladder	1.83
8	ladder	1.54
	Total Circumference	*40.26*
A-Laterals		
1	A-1	1.00
2	A-2	7.17
3	A-3	6.75
4	A-4	6.75
5	A-5	6.58
6	A-6	6.58
7	to ladder	4.25
8	ladder	1.54
	Total Circumference	*40.63*

The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1A is located just to the left of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line consists of a 30-inch (approximate) diameter pipe. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow, at an elevation of approximately 42.5 feet, where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is about 11 feet above the

caisson floor. The caisson floor was measured at an elevation of 73 feet 3 inches below the top of the caisson. All of the A-tier and B-tier laterals in Collector 1A were fully open. The B-tier laterals are all flanged. There are no pumps associated with Collector 1A.

4. Topographic Survey

4.1 2012 Collector 1 and 1A Evaluation - Points West Survey Company

Points West Survey Company (Points West) conducted a topographic survey of Collectors 1, 1A and the adjacent valve vault in June 2012 to identify the elevations of various points associated with the collector wells. At this time Points West also identified what was the current “plant datum”, originally used to define elevations at the water treatment plant (WTP), based on a previous GHD (W&K) project (job no. 67105548.011). Points West based their elevations on NAVD 88 datum via GPS ties to the NGS Benchmark at eh Guintolli Lane/HWY 299 overcrossing (PID# LV0608). The “plant datum” was a railroad spike in the upper corner of the parking lot, which was located and new elevation shot at 58.445 feet. A difference of 0.593 feet was observed between the historical “plant datum” and the NAVD 88 datum, therefore 0.593 feet can be added to the historic elevations to compare to the new survey data.

Elevations were determined for the top of the deck, lower valve deck, flange above lower deck and the ground surface for Collector 1. Ground surface elevations at the base of Collector 1 varied from 24.33’ on the east side to 28.32’ on the east side. The elevation at the top of Collector 1A as well as ground surface elevation of the collector was also determined by the survey. Table XX summarizes the points and elevations found by the survey. Figure XX also shows these elevations on a diagram of the collectors, along with approximate elevations of the laterals of Collector 1.

Table 6: Points West Survey Data (June, 2012)

Survey Point	Elevation (ft.)
Collector 1:	
Top of Deck	61.8
Lower Valve Deck	51.4
Flange Above Valve Deck	52
Ground Surface	
West side (in water)	28.32
South Side	26.09
East Side (in water)	24.33
Collector 1A:	
Top of Collector/Flight Deck	43.19
Ground Surface	
North Side	36.67
South Side	38.64

5. Conclusions & Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

This Report summarized the construction and background data available for Collectors 1 & 1A and presents recent diving and survey data for these Collectors. This data was used to help assess the viability of the collectors for the installation of new laterals and to help determine the next steps that are required to make a decision on whether to proceed with the rehabilitation of these collectors by new lateral installations.

Collector 1 was installed in 1961 and there were problems with turbid water in the collector during high water events. The source of the turbid water was traced to Laterals B1, B5, and B6. After several attempts to address the situation with grouting sections of laterals closed and using a manifold system, Ranney Method Western agreed to install a new collector and constructed Collector 1A. Collector 1A is connected to Collector 1 via a 30-inch diameter concrete pipe “siphon” as well as a 6-inch diameter steel “vent” pipe. The two 350hp and two 200hp vertical turbine pumps in Collector 1 draw the water in from Collector 1A via the siphon and discharge it to the domestic water system piping.

Collector 1A has been a good producer over the years, however the laterals are now 50 years old and consideration need to be given to upgrading the collectors as a whole to help ensure that they operate well for the next 50 years.

An assessment study performed by Collector Wells International in 2006 found the above ground condition of both collector wells to be good. The measured depth from the top of the top slab to the caisson floor is Collector 1A was 72.7 feet at the access hatch. There are two tiers of laterals in Collector 1A, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 8 feet above the caisson floor. There are six laterals in each tier. The laterals in both tiers are roughly equally spaced around the perimeter of the caisson with the upper tier staggered from the lower tier so that horizontal position of each upper tier lateral is about halfway between the horizontal positions of two of the lower tier laterals. They are all about 61 to 62 feet in length. Each lateral is equipped with a valve and there is a valve stem on each valve that leads to the top of the caisson top slab. During the 2006 inspection, Collector Well 1A was found to be generally in good condition. There are bacterial/mineral deposits on the lateral screens, but it appeared that all but one of the twelve laterals (Lateral A4) are open for their entire length. The apparent specific capacity of this well during the inspection was 350 gpm/ft. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the any of the laterals in Collector Well1A.

There were no apparent problems observed with the Collector Well 1 caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns during the 2006 inspection. The 6-inch vent pipe just to the left of the access ladder is corroded through in one spot and is just barely attached to the caisson wall. All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged, capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. Consequently no video inspection of the insides of the laterals was conducted, and no flow measurements were conducted. In the lower tier of laterals in Collector Well 1, two of the laterals have no valves and are plugged with wood. One lateral does not have a valve and has a metal cap over the flange. One lateral has two valves fitted together on the end of the flange, the outer of which is closed. The valve on one lower tier lateral is partially opened about 1 inch, and there was very strong flow of water from this lateral. There is also a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe extending through the caisson wall that is plugged with wood. In the upper tier, the valve on one lateral is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral; the valve on another lateral is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it; and the valve one lateral is closed, but the diver indicated that there was a slight amount of flow leaking through the valve seal. The valves on the other four upper tier laterals are closed and do not appear to be leaking.

During the inspection of Collector Well 1, a video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Well 1 and Collector Well 1A was conducted. The diver was able to advance the camera up the siphon 170 feet from Collector Well 1 toward Collector Well 1A. There were no apparent obstructions in the siphon pipe or obvious problems observed.

Table 1 through 5 of the Collector Wells Report are included in the Table section and summarize the findings for Collectors 1 & 1A.

One of the issues that was encountered during the installation of the new laterals in Collector 3, was that the exact elevation of the valve deck, ground surface, floor elevations, etc. were not on the same datum. This issue was addressed by having PointsWest surveying establish a control point and elevations for the valve decks, ground surface, etc. for both Collectors 1 & 1A.

Another issue encountered during the Collector 3 project, was knowing the exact layout and dimensions of lateral and ports in the collectors, to determine whether the lateral projection equipment would fit in various locations and orientations. The clear distance between the legs of the jacks is ** and approximately ** feet is required above the existing laterals to install any new laterals. These dimensions would require any new laterals installed in Collector 1A to be installed approximately ** feet above the existing tier B laterals.

The groundwater modeling results from the 2008 Groundwater Modeling Study prepared by Winzler & Kelly, indicate that there is a zone of untapped water located downstream of Collector 1. Any groundwater that is past Collector 1A is also no longer available for utilization by the District. Any utilization of this water would a “new” source of water that is not available to any other collector. This “untapped zone” near Collector 1 may be a viable source for the installation of new laterals to serve as replacements for the existing laterals in Collector 1A.

Collector 1 also has other reasons that it would make a good candidate for the next collector to rehabilitate. Collector 1 has the greatest flexibility in pumping rates of any of the existing collectors. The

two 350 and two 200hp pumps allow the District to vary the flow as needed to meet demand. Collectors 2 and 4 currently only have two 350hp pumps each. Collector 3 also currently only has two 350hp pumps, which will likely be replaced soon, and a new 200 or 250hp pump may be installed at Collector 3, but currently Collector 1 only has the pumping flexibility required by the District. Collector 1 also has a direct connection to the 12kV line. All other collectors come off of separate lines and if the 12kV line is cut to anyone of these, the other collectors could go out as well. Collector 1 also has one of the 200hp pumps completely independent of the SCADA system, so if the SCADA system goes out for any reason, this pump can be operated by hand. Collector 1 also is the closest to the Essex facility, TRF, domestic reservoir, etc. so pumping power associated with this collector is the lowest.

Concerns with utilizing Collector 1 is that there were three of the existing laterals (B1, B5 & B6) that were sources of turbidity during high water events, and there is a possibility that these laterals could still provide a short circuit for turbid water to enter any new laterals. As indicated in Table 5 of the Collector Wells Report, two of these laterals, B1 and B6, have their valves currently partially open, and some flow is coming from these laterals currently into Collector1. Lateral A6 was also indicated to have the valve open about 1-inch, with “very strong flow from this lateral”. This may indicate that no short circuiting of turbid water would occur; however, a fully opened lateral would be a stronger source for potentially drawing turbid water from these laterals.

Prior to the installation of any new laterals in Collector 1, it needs to be determined whether the siphon really acts as siphon or not. The existing vacuum pumps have never been run within the memory of the current staff at the District, and it is unknown whether they would run. This strongly suggests, as does the review of water elevation data (see Table 2 for example) that the “siphon” does not act as a siphon, but merely transfers the water from Collector 1A to Collector 1 via hydrostatic head. If new laterals are to be installed, it would be desirable to remove the bell end and down tube on the Collector 1 side to facilitate the installation.

5.2 Recommendations

This report is not intended to be the final assessment or design report for the rehabilitation of Collectors 1 & 1A, but to serve as a resource and guide for the determination of what the next steps should be with regards to the refurbishment of the Districts collectors. To that end, the following recommendations are offered:

1. Collector 1 should be further evaluated for the installation of new laterals to replace the existing laterals in Collectors 1A.
2. New laterals should be oriented on the downstream side, away from Collector 1A to prevent interference with Collector 1A and access currently unutilized water.
3. The existing Collector 1 laterals B3, B4 and/or B7 should be assessed for potential reuse by installing a new stainless steel gate valve on one or more of these laterals and checking whether turbid water flows through any of them. These laterals were all determine to not be the source of the previous turbid water and if they end do end up

contributing turbid water, this may suggest that laterals B1, B5 or B6 are short circuiting turbid water to the caisson. The use of these laterals would not necessarily preclude the need to install additional new laterals, as the condition of these laterals is unknown, but likely degraded.

4. Bedrock mapping should be performed around Collector 1 to accurately identify bedrock outcroppings. If the Department of Water Resources Local Groundwater Assistance Grant is obtained, this will allow for the installation of additional borings and geotransects to map the bedrock in the vicinity of Collector 1. If this grant is not obtained, a reduced scope should be developed but this work should still be performed to obtain as much bedrock data as possible to allow for the locating of new laterals to avoid bedrock.
5. New stainless steel valves should be installed on all of the Collector 1A laterals, at some time in the future and should be added to the Capitol Improvement Plan.
6. The existing laterals that are not going to be reused in Collector 1 should be sealed with new stainless steel blind flanges.
7. Historic SCADA data showing water levels in Collectors 1 & 1A should be reviewed to determine if the water level in Collector 1 ever extends below the top of the drop leg of the siphon in Collector 1. It does not appear that this acts as a true siphon, but that the hydraulic head is pushing water through this pipe; however, if the drop leg of the siphon pipe in Collector 1 is cut off to allow for easier installation of laterals in Collector 1 and easier relining of the siphon pipe, and the water in Collector 1 drops below the bend point, air could be introduced into the siphon and prevent or reduce flow through this pipe.
8. Design plans should be prepared for the installation of approximately 4 to 6 new laterals in Collector 1.
9. Design plans should be prepared for the lining of the siphon.
10. The disposal of development water needs to be fully evaluated and resolved prior to the installation of any new laterals and options such as discharge to the Industrial System, percolation on the river bar or disposal to the river fully evaluated.
11. The updates to the contract specifications contained in the final Collector 3 new lateral installation report and discussed in Section 3 should be made.
12. The end of the 6-inch vent pipe should be removed from Collector 1 where it is failing as part of the new lateral installation project.

Document Status

Rev #	Author/Editor	Approved for Issue	
		Name	**Signature/Stamp**
1	Patrick Kaspari, PE	, PE	

Appendix A

Previous Collector 1 & 1A Reports

This Appendix contains:

- *Report on Performance Tests, Ranney Collection System*, For Bechtel Corporation, Consulting Engineers, Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, May 31, 1962
- April 15, 1963, Letter from R.C. Dedekam, Mitchell & Henderson to National Union Fire Insurance Company, Re: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Collector No. 1-Specification No. 3277-2.
- March 1, 1963, Letter from Federick C. Mikels, Ranney Method Western Corporation to Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Re: Collector No. 1 HBMWD, Spec. No. 3277-2.
- *Interim Report on Turbidity Tests-Ranney Collector No. 1, Ranney Method Western*, April 27th, 1964
- December 14, 1964 Letter from Federick C. Mikels, Ranney Method Western Corporation to Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Re: Turbidity Tests - Collector No. 1.
- *Operation Manual Ranney Collector No. 1A*, Ranney Method Western, March 17, 1966
- *Inspection Report for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Collector #1 Rainey[sic] Collector,* Inspected on September 10, 1996, Aqua Video Engineering
- *Performance & Vib Evaluation, EC-JR07-05, Water Supply, Various Vertical Pumps (Domestic and Industrial Systems)* for Humboldt Bay MWD, Essex Station, April 2005, Flowserve, Pump Division
- *Inspection Report Collector Wells 1A, 1, 3 and 4,* January 2007, Collector Wells International, Inc.
- *Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Ranney Collector Final Evaluation Report*, Winzler & Kelly, June 2008.
- *Ranney Collector No. 3 Maintenance Report, New Lateral Installations,* August 2012*,* Layne Christensen Company.

GHD Inc.

718 Third Street
Eureka, CA 95501 USA
T: 1 707 443 8326 **F:** 707 444 8330 **E:** eureka@ghd.com

© GHD Inc. 2012



HBMWD LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1

WINZLER & KELLY

P:\2005 & Beyond\01055 Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District\01055-109 RanneyCollectors-FinalEvaluation\CAD 01055-07-003\Fig1.dwg



Ranney Method Western of California, Inc.

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
Collector No. 1

Results of Elevation Tests

April 15, 1964 | Figure 2

FIGURE 9

EUGENE DIETZGEN CO.
MADE IN U.S.A.
NO. 340D-20 DIETZGEN GRAPH PAPER
20 X 20 PER INCH



PLAN
4" = 1'-0



TAKEOFF TOWER
(3 - Towers Req'd As Shown)
(Galvanized)



HOIST FRAME
(3 - Complete Hoist Frame Assemblies Including Trolleys Req'd - See Plan)
(Shop and Field Painted)



ELEVATION
4" = 1'-0



SECTION A



DETAIL 1



SECTION B

FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS
ONLY
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION

NOTES
All bolts to be 3/4" ø Mach. Bolts except as noted.

No.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	SUPR. APPR.	ENGR	CHIEF ENGR.	CLIENT
△								
△								
△	11/16	ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	MW	A.J.				

SCALE 3/4" = 1'-0 & As Noted DESIGNED A. JETIERSKI DRAWN N. Noguchi

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER J. GEORGE THON NO. 8307 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

H. B. M. W. D.
APPROVED DATE
PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT

ALL PUMPING STATIONS
STRUCTURAL STEEL
PLAN, ELEVATIONS & DETAILS

BECHTEL | JOB No. 3277 | DRAWING No. 3D-15-211 | REV. 0

FIGURE 8


SECTION (A)
½" = 1'-0
Handrail & Inserts See Dwg. 3D-15-221
℄ Takeoff Tower See Plan
4-1¼ x 4'-0" 6" Bend Anch. Bolts Ea. Col. See Plan
2-#3 4-#3 Dowels TYP.
℄ Hoist Frame Col. (3) & Takeoff Tower (See Right)
4-1" x 3'-0" 6" Bend Anch. Bolts Ea. Col. TYP. See Plan
Transformer Deck
8" Proj. See Opg. for Takeoff Tower Anch.
#7@9 E.W. T&B
#6@12 E.W. E.F.
#6@12 E.W. & As Shown
℄ Tram Anch
Box Only where no pump is to be installed.
℄ Hoist Frame Col.
4-1 x 2'-3 6" Bend Anch. Bolts Ea. Col. TYP. See Plan
Motor Floor
Stop #11 See Sect. D
#7@9" E.W. Except As Noted
U-hook
Special Reinf. #11@3 Cont.
#6@12 E.W. E.F.
For Reinf. In Top Layer See Plan
Valve Floor
℄ Caisson
13'-0
Caisson By Others
Pumping Station No. 1 Only - See Piping Dwgs
1½ Wall All Around
13-#10@12 Stop @ Clr. As Shown
4-#7@6" P5 #1 only
Stations No. 1 & No. 2 Only
Slope floor toward drain 1/8" per ft.
4-#7@6"
F.D.
36"ø Hatch
Curb 9" High x 8" wide
#10@12 Continue to Opposite Side
G VALVE FLOOR PLAN
(TOP LAYER)
(Temporary piping.) blockout.
℄ Caisson
Hoist Frame Col.
Under Slab See Sect.
Slope floor toward drain 1/8" per ft.
℄ Takeoff Tower
℄ Bus Open. See Elect. Dwg. For Location
12" High x 8" Curb
TRANSFORMER DECK PLAN
NOTE Pumping Stations No. 1 & 2 as shown. Station No. 4 Opposite Hand About ℄
Opng P5 #1 only.
4-#7@6" P5 Each Way #1 only
Stations No. 1 & No. 2 Only
4-#7@6"
SECTION (B)
(BOTTOM LAYER)
LADDER OPENING
Sta. 2&4
30"ø Sta. #1 only.
Sta. #2 only.
U hooks.
Drain line F.D. 2"ø Pipe
4-10'-0" x 10" Deep Pockets See Elect. Dwgs.
MK. HI Curb 8"x8"
U hk.
℄ Hoist Frame Col.
Approx. location of U hooks under floor.
MOTOR FLOOR PLAN
NOTE: Pumping Stations No. 1 & No. 2 as shown. Doors and Ladder Opening for Station No. 4 opposite hand.
Note: Omit Typ. Additional Reinf. At Bot. Where Special Reinf. Are Shown
Typ. Floor Reinf. See Section Above
OPEN'G
2'-6" TYP.
#10 With Each Layer of Reinf. Bar
#7 With Each Layer of Reinf. (4 Sides)
#7 x 4'-0 With Each Layer of Reinf.
Note: Reinf. In Valve Floor is Layed Diag. to ℄s Lay Addition Reinf. Parallel With ℄s See Plan
TYP. ADDITIONAL REINF. AT OPENINGS
½" = 1'-0
Valve Floor
For Reinf. See Valve Fl. Plan
SECTION (C)
½" = 1'-0
Motor Fl.
SECTION (E)
½" = 1'-0
℄ Openings
Box only where no pump is to be installed See Plan for Size See Dwg. 3D-15-223 for detail of box.
Motor Fl.
Special Reinf. #11 See Sect.
SECTION (D)
½" = 1'-0
Const. Jt.
#6@12" e.w.
SECTION (F)
Const. Jt.
SECTION (G)
Scales
NOTE - Structure for pumping station No. 3, if required, to be as shown for No. 4
FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS ONLY
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION
ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS
SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0 & As Noted
DESIGNED
DRAWN N. Noguchi
BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT
ALL PUMPING STATIONS
CONCRETE OUTLINE & REINFORCING PLANS, SECTIONS & DETAILS
H. B. M. W. D.
APPROVED
DATE
PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS
BECHTEL
JOB No. 3277
DRAWING No. 3D-15-201
REV. 0

Figure 7


PLAN A-A
#4/0 CABLE TO TRAM SUPPORT
#4 CABLE TO HANDRAIL
COLLECTOR
(A)-150W. CLUSTER TYPE FIXTURE WITH ADJUSTABLE HOUSINGS
300W.
PLAN B-B
#4 CABLE TO HANDRAIL
HATCH
3/4" COND. TO LTG. PANEL
1" CONDUIT TO EACH MOTOR FOR CONTROL
OPEN HATCH TO LADDER
480V. 3PHASE OUTLET
3/4" EXPOSED CONDUIT IN VALVE ROOM
200W IN VALVE ROOM (3 REQ'D)
#4/0 CABLE
120V. WALL OUTLET IN VALVE ROOM
1" DIA. CONDUIT CLAMPED TO EACH SIDE OF PIPE SUPPORT CONTAINING 1-300MCM CABLE
℄ COLLECTOR
300MCM CABLE EMBEDDED IN CONCRETE
CONDUIT TO BE ANCHORED IN CONCRETE AND PLUGGED BEFORE CONCRETE PLACEMENT
FOR CORRECT LOCATION OF PIPING SEE MECHANICAL DRAWING
(6)-3/4"x10'-0" GROUND RODS DRIVEN INTO GROUND AT LOWEST POINT OF PIPELINE EXCAVATION. RODS TO BE SPACED APART A MINIMUM OF 6'-0".
MAIN GROUND BUS DETAIL
(TYPICAL FOR ALL PUMP STATIONS).
SCALE - 3/16"=1'-0"
LEGEND
120V. WALL OUTLET
SINGLE POLE TOGGLE SWITCH
JUNCTION BOX.
CEILING MOUNTED FIXTURE
LIGHTING STANDARD
FLOODLIGHT
EXPOSED OR SURFACE MOUNTED CONDUIT
EMBEDDED CONDUIT
GROUND CABLE
GROUND CONNECTION
480 V. WALL OUTLET
NOTES
1. FOR LIGHTING FIXTURE SCHEDULE REFER TO DRAWING 3D-12-401
2. ALL LIGHTING CONDUITS SHALL BE 3/4" UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
3. ALL CONDUITS SHOWN SHALL BE INSTALLED COMPLETE TO THE RESPECTIVE MOTOR, DEVICE OR FIXTURE AS NOTED ON THE DRAWING.
REFERENCE DRAWINGS | DWG. NO.
SINGLE LINE DIAGRAM | 3D-01-401
PLAN & PROFILE | 3D-51-101
SECTION C-C
3/4" CONDUIT
3/4" CONDUIT TO LIGHTING PANEL
3/4" CONDUIT TO LIGHTING PANEL
#4/0 CABLE
300MCM CABLE
FLEXIBLE SEALTITE CONDUIT
480V. 3PHASE WALL OUTLET 5'-6" ABOVE FLOOR FOR FUTURE CRANE MOTOR
SWITCH
120V. WALL OUTLET
HEATER
PIPE SLEEVES THRU FLOOR
CONDULET
10"x10"x10" POCKET IN FLOOR UNDER EACH COMBINATION STARTER FOR CONTROL CABLES
TO OUTLET ON NORTH WALL OF VALVE ROOM
TO LTG. CABINET
SWITCH FOR LIGHTS IN COLLECTOR RM.
BENJAMIN TYPE M JUNCTION VAPOLET CAT. NO. 6701 C-1/2
SHALDA 456 VAPORTIGHT LIGHTS FOR MOGUL PRONG PAR 56 LAMPS, MOUNTED ON THE CENTERLINE OF CAISSON
CROUSE-HINDS TYPE DS PLUG RECEPTACLE FOR FS CONDULET CAT. NO. DS 82, 2 WIRE, 3 POLE AND TYPE WP WATERTIGHT PLUGS THREE POLE
SECTION D-D
12,000VOLT DISTRIBUTION LINE
LIGHTNING ARRESTERS
HIGH VOLTAGE POWER FUSES EQUIPMENT Nos. P-3A, P-3B, P-3C
MESSENGER SUPPORTED AERIAL CONTROL CABLE
0-2 FITTING
L-M MESSENGER DEAD END
LOW VOLTAGE TERMINAL CABINET
TRANSFORMER EQUIPMENT NO. P-1A
2000 A. WEATHER TITE BUS DUCT
WEATHER-TITE SEAL OFF SLEEVE THRU FLOOR
1" CONDUIT TO RELAY CABINET
#4/0 CABLE
3/4" CONDUIT
2000A BUS DUCT
2000A CABLE TAP BOX
3 1/2" CONDUIT
3" CONDUIT
COMBINATION STARTER EQUIPMENT NO. P-43A.
COMBINATION STARTER EQUIPMENT NO. P-42A
COMBINATION STARTER EQUIPMENT NO. P-41A
COMBINATION STARTER EQUIPMENT NO. P-41B
AIR CIRCUIT BREAKER EQUIPMENT NO. P-31A
300MCM CABLE
CONTROL RM. LIGHT SW.
#4 CABLE
GROUNDING BUSHING
3-750MCM-RHRW CABLE IN 3 1/2" CONDUIT FOR 350HP MOTORS
3-350MCM-RHRW CABLE IN 3" CONDUIT FOR 200HP MOTORS
3-300MCM-RHRW CABLES IN 2 1/2" CONDUIT
3 1/2" SLEEVES FOR 2 1/2" CONDUITS
6" SLEEVES FOR 3" CONDUITS
5" SLEEVES FOR 3 1/2" CONDUIT THRU FLOOR
SECTION E-E
3/4" CONDUIT TO FLOODLIGHTING
1" CONDUIT TO TRANSFORMER LIGHTING
1" CONDUIT TO OVERHEAD CONTROL LINE
FUSE PROTECTED CABLE TERMINALS
LIGHTING TRANS.
LIGHTING PANEL
TELESTEP RECEIVERS
AIR CIRCUIT BREAKERS
VALVE RM. LIGHT SW.
3/4" CONDUIT TO CRANE OUTLET
1/2" CONDUIT
THERMOSTAT
1KW. 240V. HEATER
FLOODLIGHT SW.
TRANSFER LIGHT SW.
120V. WALL OUTLET
3/4" CONDUIT TO HEATER JUNCTION BOX
1" CONDUIT
RECPT.
TO FLOAT SW.
CONDUIT TO PUMP SYSTEM
CONDUIT TO VAPORTIGHT LIGHTS
WEATHERPROOF LIGHTING FIXTURES MOUNTED ON VAPOR TIGHT BOXES
120V. WEATHERPROOF WALL OUTLET (1 EACH SIDE OF VALVE ROOM)
300MCM CABLE WITH #4 RISERS TO VALVES AND PIPING
VALVE ROOM
FOR CONTINUATION SEE GROUNDING DETAIL ABOVE
SIZE A
REVISIONS
ADDED LIGHTING SWITCH DETAILS
ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS
SCALE 3/8"=1'-0"
DESIGNED N.Y.
DRAWN N.Y.
BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT
PUMPING STATION NO. 1
ELECTRICAL LAYOUT
PLANS & SECTIONS
REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER
I. GEORGE THOM
NO. 8337
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
H. B. M. W. D.
APPROVED
DATE
PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS ONLY
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION
JOB No. 3277
DRAWING No. 3D-11-401
REV. 1

FIGURE 6



SECTION A-A





DETAIL Nº1

NOTE A. HANDLES TO BE 5/8" x 1 1/2" R.T.
B. STAMP "BLIND" & "SPACER" IN
1/4" HIGH LETTERS ON HANDLES



SECTION B-B



PUMPING STATION Nº1
ELEVATION DOWNSTREAM SIDE



PUMPING STATION #2
ELEVATION- DOWNSTREAM SIDE
(PUMP STATION No. 1 SIMILAR)



VALVE FLOOR
PUMPING STATION Nº1



CONTROL SYSTEM PUMPING UNIT
ELEVATION



VALVE FLOOR
PUMPING STATION Nº2

2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 FT
3/8 IN = 1 FT

FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS
ONLY
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION

NOTES

1- FOR SMALL PIPING ARRANGEMENT OF CONTROL LINES TO LATERAL GATE VALVE OPERAT. CYL. SEE DWG # 3D-15-301
2- FOR TANK DETAIL SEE DWG. #3D-15-302
3- PIPE SUPPORTS TO BE SIMILAR TO GRINNEL PIPE STANCHION SADDLE FIG. N:259, SPACED AS REQUIRED

No.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APPR.	ENGR	CHIEF ENGR	CLIENT
A								
A								
A	7/16	ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	J.T.	CFM				

SCALE — DESIGNED J.M.BAULT DRAWN

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER J. GEORGE THUM No. 8397 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

H. B. M. W. D.
APPROVED DATE
PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT

PUMPING STATIONS NO.1 & NO.2
PIPING AND MECHANICAL LAYOUT
PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

BECHTEL

JOB No.	DRAWING No.	REV.
3277	3D-11-301	0

FIGURE 5



DOWNSTREAM ELEVATION





SIDE ELEVATION



TRANSFORMER DECK PLAN



MOTOR FLOOR PLAN



VALVE FLOOR PLAN



CAISSON PLAN ABOVE VALVES AND COLLECTOR LATERALS

2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 FT

1/4" = 1 FT

FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS
ONLY
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION

REFRENCE DRAWINGS

3D-11-301 - Pumping Stations Nos. 1 & 2 - Piping & Mechanical Layout - Plans & Elevations
3D-11-401 - Pumping Station No. 1 - Electrical Layout - Plans & Sections
3D-15-201 - All Pumping Stations - Concrete Outline & Reinforcing - Plans, Sections & Details
3D-15-211 - All Pumping Stations - Structural Steel - Plan, Elevations & Details
3D-15-221 - All Pumping Stations - Miscellaneous Metalwork - Plans, Sections & Details - 1 of 3
3D-15-222 - " " " " " " " - 2 of 3
3D-15-231 - Pumping Stations 1, 2 & 4 - Access Cableway - Elevations, Sections & Details
3D-15-301 - All Pumping Stations - Schematic Diagram of Collector Lateral Control Piping System
3D-15-302 - All Pumping Stations - Requirements for Tank - Collector Lateral Control Piping System
3D-15-223 - All Pumping Stations - Miscellaneous Metalwork - Details - 3 of 3

FIGURE 4

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER J. GEORGE THON NO. 8397 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	DFTG SUPV	DSGN	DSGN SUPV	CLIENT
1	7/16	ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	J. WIBANKS					

H. B. M. W. D.
APPROVED | DATE
PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

DESIGNED
DRAWN
SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"

BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT

PUMPING STATION NO. 1
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
PLANS & ELEVATIONS

BECHTEL | JOB No. 3277 | DRAWING No. 3D-11-101 | REV. 0



FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS ONLY

NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION

No.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APPR. ENGR.	APPR. MGR.	APPR. CLIENT
3	12/66	CHANGED MOTOR CABLE SIZE	D.T.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
2	7/66	ISSUED FOR PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	N.Y.	M.L.A.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER J. GEORGE THON NO. 8397 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

H. B. M. W. D.

APPROVED	DATE

PRESIDENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

DESIGNED N.Y.
DRAWN N.Y.
SCALE NONE

BECHTEL CORPORATION
SAN FRANCISCO

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
MAD RIVER PROJECT

SINGLE LINE DIAGRAM
PUMPING STATIONS, BUILDINGS AND RELATED FACILITIES

BECHTEL

JOB No.	DRAWING No.	REV.
3277	3D-01-401	1

FIGURE 3



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM

(Scale: 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 672 feet (Effective = 604 feet)

—— Tier B

- - - - Tier A

Revised 4/15/64

Revised 12/21/62

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	+46.00
Ground surface	+27.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	−29.0
℄ of laterals - Tier A	−36.9
Top of plug	−39.9
Cutting shoe	−46.2

RANNEY METHOD WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.

SACRAMENTO, CALIF.

BECHTEL CORPORATION

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

COLLECTOR NO. 1

DRAWN J.S.	APPROVED [illegible]
DATE 5/22/62	FIGURE JW-17-02

Figure 2



A- 47. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 48. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 41. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 42. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 7 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 33. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 34. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 5. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 6. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



CROSS SECTION

1" = 10'-0"



PIPE CLAMP DETAIL

1 1/2" = 1'-0"



COLLECTOR NO. I
CONNECTION DETAIL

1/4" = 1'-0"



COLLECTOR NO. IA
CONNECTION DETAIL

1/4" = 1'-0"



PLAN



ELEVATION

PIPE RISER SUPPORT DETAIL

1/2" = 1'-0"



VACUUM PUMP PIPING DETAIL

N.T.S.

SYM	LOC	REVISION	BY	DATE
		"AS BUILT"	NK	3/15/66

RANNEY METHOD WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
KENNEWICK, WASH.

PROJECT FOR
WINZLER & KELLY, CONS. ENGRS.
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

SIPHON DETAILS
RANNEY COLLECTOR NO. IA

DRAWN: N.K.	APPROVED:
CHECKED:	SCALE: AS SHOWN
DATE: 3/15/66	DRAWING NO: JW-17-1A-2

FIGURE 12



SECTION A-A
SCALE: 1" = 4'-0"



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM
SCALE: 1" = 40'

TOTAL LENGTH OF LATERALS TO BE NOT LESS THAN 756 FEET. ACTUAL LENGTH, LOCATION, DIRECTION, NUMBER AND ELEVATION OF LATERALS TO BE DETERMINED BY FIELD CONDITIONS.



LOCATION MAP
(SCALE 1" = 20')



VERTICAL SECTION THRU CAISSON
SCALE: 1" = 4'-0"





LOGS OF TESTS WELLS



ENLARGED SECTION SHOWING SCREEN PIPE AND ACCESSORIES
SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

REQ'D	SIZE	LENGTH	MK	A	B	C	D	G	H	R
28	NO. 8	19'-2"	1	4'-0"	1'-0"	0'-10"	0'-7 3/4"	13'-4"	0'-6 5/8"	0'-1"
28	NO. 8	13'-2"	2	12'-0"	1'-2"					
324	NO. 8	18'-0"	3	12'-5 1/2"					4'-2 1/2"	6'-8 1/2"
333	NO. 8	18'-6"	4	14'-5 1/2"					4'-10"	7'-10"
168	NO. 6	11'-4"	5	1'-2"	4'-6"	4'-6"	1'-2"			
336	NO. 6	13'-6"	9	13'-6"						

DETAIL



TOTAL VOLUME CONCRETE: 230 CU. YDS.
TOTAL WEIGHT RE-STEEL: 28,000 LBS.

SYM	LOC	REVISION	BY	DATE
		MOVED CAISSON LOCATION 12 FEET SOUTH (LANDWARD)	FCM	6/11/65
		"AS BUILT"	NK	3/15/66

SPECIFICATION NO. 3277-2

WATER COLLECTION SYSTEM

ENGINEER'S PRINT NO. _____

RANNEY METHOD WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
KENNEWICK, WN.

PROJECT FOR
WINZLER & KELLY, CONS. ENGRS.
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

PROPOSED
RANNEY COLLECTOR NO. 1A

DRAWN BY: F.C.M.	CHECKED BY:
SCALE: AS SHOWN	APPROVED BY: F.C.M.
DATE: 5/17/65	DRAWING NO: JW-17-1A-1

FIGURE 11



PLAN AT ELEVATION -28

SCALE
1 inch = 2 feet



VERTICAL SECTION AT LATERAL B-5



VERTICAL SECTION AT LATERAL B-6



VERTICAL SECTION AT PUMP CONNECTION

NOTE:
Manifold fabricated from $12\frac{3}{4}$"O.D. standard steel pipe except where noted.

Ranney Method Western of California, Inc.	
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Collector No. 1	
Manifold System	
April 15, 1964	Figure 3

FIGURE 10

Ranney Collector No. 3
Maintenance Report
New Lateral Installations

Prepared for:

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Prepared By:

Layne®
Ranney Collector Wells

Columbus, Ohio
August 9, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0 Introduction 1
  1.1 Background 1
  1.2 Limitations 2

2.0 Maintenance and Testing Procedures 3

3.0 Results 8
  3.1 New Lateral Installation 8
  3.2 Pre-Maintenance Performance Testing Results 9
  3.3 Post-Maintenance Performance Testing Results 10
  3.4 Sand Content Testing 14

4.0 Collector Well Yield Projections 15

5.0 Summary and Recommendations 19

6.0 References 21

List of Figures (Rear of Report)

Figure 1 – Location Map

Figure 2 – Plan and Section View – Ranney Collector No. 3

Figure 3 – Pre-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Hydrographs

Figure 4 – Pre-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Semi-Log Time versus Drawdown Plots

Figure 5 – Post-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Hydrographs

Figure 6 – Post-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Semi-Log Time versus Drawdown Plots

Figure 7 – Post-Maintenance 24-hour Pumping Test Hydrographs

Figure 8 – Ranney Collector No. 3 Yield Projections Pre-Maintenance Conditions

Figure 9 – Ranney Collector No. 3 Yield Projections Post-Maintenance Conditions

List of Tables (Rear of Report)

Table 1 – Collector Well As-Built Design Summary

Table 2 – New Lateral Screen Slot Sizes and Placement

Table 3 – Pre-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Summary

Table 4 – Pre-Maintenance Lateral Flow Analysis

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Table 5 – Post-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Summary

Table 6 – Post-Maintenance Lateral Flow Analysis

Table 7 – Sand Content Testing – New Laterals

List of Appendices (Rear of Report)

Appendix A – Lateral Sieve Analyses

Appendix B – Pre-Maintenance Testing Data

Appendix C – Post-Maintenance Testing Data

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Layne Christensen Company (d/b/a Ranney Collector Wells), was contracted by the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (District) to perform maintenance on the District's Ranney Collector No. 3. The maintenance activities, as completed, included installation of new ports in the caisson wall, installation of six (6) new laterals and pre and post maintenance performance testing of the well. The rehabilitation of the collector well was accomplished during the period from December 2011 through May 2012. Procedures and results for the maintenance activities and testing are included in this report along with recommendations regarding future collector well operations.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The District has six (6) collector wells (1, 1A, 2, 3, 4 and 5) located along the Mad River in Arcata, California (Figure 1). Construction of Ranney Collectors 1, 2, 3 and 4 was begun in 1961 and performance testing was conducted in 1962. Ranney Collector No. 5 is not currently in service.

Each of the District's Ranney Collectors consists of a reinforced concrete caisson with an inner diameter of 13 feet and an outer diameter of 16 feet (Ranney Method Western of California, 1962). The collector wells vary in the numbers and lengths of laterals installed. The laterals in the collector wells were constructed with 12-inch OD, punch-slotted steel well screen with 3/8-inch by 1-1/16-inch rectangular slots.

Ranney Collector No. 3 is located about 3,600 feet east southeast of the water treatment plant on the north side of the Mad River. There are two pumps installed in the collector well, designated 3-1 and 3-2. Ranney Collector No. 3 was originally constructed with five (5) laterals with lengths varying from 64 to 110 feet. Four of the laterals (A-2, A-3, A-4 and A-5) have a centerline elevation at -27.9 feet (A-tier), and one of the laterals (B-2) has a centerline elevation at -26.9 feet (B-tier). The top of the caisson is at an elevation of 51 feet and the floor of the caisson is at an elevation of about -30.9 feet (the floor has an irregular surface) giving a depth of about 81.9 feet from the top of the caisson.

In April 2006, a test boring was drilled adjacent to Collector Well 3 and a short-term pumping test was conducted in this boring. This work was directed by Winzler & Kelly Consulting Engineers of Eureka, California to evaluate the feasibility of the installation of additional laterals in Ranney Collector No. 3. Ranney (d.b.a Collector Wells International, Inc.) performed an inspection of Ranney Collector No. 3 in October 2006 (CWI, 2007). As part of the inspection report an evaluation of the potential yield that could be obtained if five (5) new laterals were installed in Ranney Collector No. 3 at an elevation of -16.0 feet with each new lateral 150 feet in length. It was calculated that Ranney Collector No. 3 could have a sustained yield of up to 8,100 gpm (11.6 MGD) assuming that all of the production would come from the new laterals and neglecting additional potential yield from the existing laterals. It was noted that actual yields would depend on how well the aquifer conditions match the assumed conditions, and would vary with changes in river level and ground water temperature. Also, it was noted that it might be difficult to project the laterals to an average length of 150 feet due to the aquifer characteristics (CWI, 2007).

1.2 LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District for the specific application to the Ranney Collector No. 3 as specified in the report. Conclusions reached in this report are based upon the objective data available at the time of forming our opinions and the accuracy of the report depends upon the accuracy of these data. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by the methods generally recognized to constitute accepted standard practices for groundwater investigations at the time of rendering and the conclusions reached therein to represent our opinions. Ranney cannot be responsible for actual conditions proved to be materially at variance with the data collected or supplied to us, upon which our opinions are based.

2.0 MAINTENANCE AND TESTING PROCEDURES

The collector well maintenance was conducted by Ranney from December 2011 through May 2012. Work accomplished included the following:

- Task 1: Mobilization and set up.
- Task 2: Conduct pre-maintenance performance testing.
- Task 3: Install and develop new laterals.
- Task 4: Conduct post-maintenance performance testing.
- Task 5: Site clean-up and demobilization.
- Task 6: Document new lateral installation and testing results in a report.

TASK 1 – MOBILIZATION/SET-UP

Equipment and personnel were mobilized to the site and the site was secured for work on December 1, 2011. The District had a temporary percolation pond installed at the site to the northwest of the collector well to handle water and sediment discharged from the collector well during the testing and maintenance activities. A temporary discharge line was installed so that the existing well pump could be used for the pre-maintenance pumping test with the discharge directed to the percolation pond. Following the pre-maintenance performance testing, an access port was cored into the side of the caisson to facilitate movement of equipment and materials in and out of the caisson. District personnel removed the well pumps. Then the existing valves were removed by divers and blind flanges were placed over the lateral ports to allow sufficient room for the lateral projection equipment. Ranney then installed a temporary construction pump to dewater the caisson and remove materials during the lateral projection process. Once the well was dewatered, a temporary work platform was installed and preparations to start installation of new laterals were completed.

TASK 2 – PRE-MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE TESTING

The pre-maintenance pumping test was conducted utilizing one of the existing well pumps. Because the discharged water was directed to the temporary percolation pond, the amount and duration of the pumping was limited by the capacity of the pond. Because of this, the pre-maintenance performance testing was limited to a multiple-rate step pumping test conducted with three steps with lengths of 1 hour, 1.5 hours and 1 hour, respectively. The average pumping rates for the steps were 3,000, 4,470, and 6,000 gpm. During the second step a diver entered the well to conduct lateral flow analyses and measure the water temperature from each of the

existing laterals. The second step was extended to allow time for the lateral flow and temperature measurements. At the end of the third step the percolation pond was filled nearly to its maximum capacity and pumping was ended.

A hydrogeologist experienced in testing radial collector wells supervised the pre-maintenance and post-maintenance testing and collected water level and pumping rate data. During the testing, water levels were monitored in the collector well caisson, and in the adjacent observation wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-7. MW-1 is located approximately 190 feet east of Ranney Collector No. 3 and is reportedly screened from 8 to 108 feet below ground surface. MW-7 is located approximately 220 feet west of Ranney Collector No. 3 and is reportedly screened from 55 to 75 feet below ground surface. MW-2 is located approximately 21 feet west of Ranney Collector Well No. 3 and is reportedly screened from 10 to 30 feet below ground surface. Water levels were monitored using pressure transducers equipped with digital data loggers. Also manual water level measurements were made to calibrate the transducers and confirm that they were functioning properly. All measurements of water level and drawdown were made within 0.01 foot. Pumping rates were measured using an in-line Water Specialties Model ML20-D digital flow meter manufactured by McCrometer, Inc. Mad River level data during the testing period were provided by the District from their telemetry system for their gage at the intake at the water treatment plant (Pumping Station 6).

TASK 3 – NEW LATERAL INSTALLATION

The procedures for the lateral installations were as follows:

Following set up, portal assemblies were installed in circular openings cut in the caisson wall at the selected locations and bonded to the caisson by grouting. Then projection equipment, pipe and tools were lowered into the well and set up. After installation of the portal assemblies, the laterals were constructed by initially projecting 16-inch diameter pipe to the desired length and sampling the aquifer materials as the pipe was projected. Prior to installation of the well screens, the vertical orientation of the projection pipe was determined. The vertical orientation of the projection pipe was determined using a Reflex EZ-DIP Electronic Inclinometer. In addition to the inclinometer measurements, a “Dutch level”, consisting of sufficient small diameter plastic pipe to reach the end of the projection pipe and a manometer tube, was utilized to determine if

the far end of the projection pipe was above the centerline at the caisson end. Following selection of the screen slot size distribution based upon sampling, the 12-inch ID diameter stainless steel (type 304) screen assemblage was installed within the projection pipe and the 16-inch pipe hydraulically extracted from the aquifer, exposing the screened lateral to the aquifer. The screen slot sizes were varied depending on the coarseness of the material encountered, which was based upon the samples collected during the projection of the drive pipe. Sieve analyses of samples collected during the drive pipe projection are included in Appendix A. Lateral screen slot sizes were approved by the District prior to installation. The screens were installed using 10-foot long sections, with each section having 9.5 feet of its length screened. In addition to the screen, each lateral was installed with a 5-foot long section of blank pipe extending from the caisson wall. Each lateral is completed with a 12-inch gate valve in the caisson.

Following installation of all laterals, each lateral was fully developed using the BoreBlast II® system. This system provides a high energy pulse to screens and was selected to ensure that development energy penetrated the formation. The BoreBlast II® system uses pressure-pulse technology, delivered by gaseous nitrogen driven Air Impulse Generator (AIG), to agitate and break up bridging in order to develop coarse grained zones around the lateral screens. The high pressure AIG creates a high intensity pressure pulse and associated high frequency acoustic waves that break up and remove fines within the well screen. The system is piston-actuated and discharges automatically delivering pressure pulses of up to 450 psi. The ports on the AIG were angled at 90º to provide pulses to effectively surge out through the screen and allow for strong liquid return, pulling debris from the aquifer into the well.

The AIG was hydraulically advanced through each lateral at a controlled rate. The AIG with angled ports was attached to a “centralizing” sled fabricated to center the AIG in the lateral screen. The sled was advanced using the four-inch diameter sand line, which was also used to flush water and entrained sediments from the well screen. As the development proceeded, water samples were caught from the sand line and measured in an Imhoff Cone to evaluate the quantity of entrained sediment and sand. When no further improvement could be made, the tool was advanced. Sediment removed during the lateral installation and development process was

conveyed to the percolation pond for disposal. To determine the adequacy of development, centrifugal sand-separating device manufactured by the Roscoe Moss Company was used to measure sand production. The standard for sand production from the completed collector was specified to be less than 2 parts per million (ppm). Sand content testing was conducted on flow from the individual laterals.

After the development of the new laterals was completed, all sediment remaining on the floor of the caisson was removed. Also, the valve actuator lines on the original laterals were cut off and removed from the caisson. The caisson walls were cleaned and washed with a chlorine solution. Once the walls were cleaned, the caisson was re-watered and additional calcium hypochlorite was added and the resulting chlorine solution was allowed to remain in the caisson.

Prior to the post maintenance performance testing, the temporary construction pump and discharge line in the caisson were removed. Divers entered the well to open the valves on the new laterals, remove the blind flanges and reinstall the valves on the old laterals. The District reinstalled its well pumps, and the discharge line from the well pumps was directed to the temporary percolation ponds.

TASK 4 – POST-MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE TESTING

The post-maintenance performance testing consisted of a multiple-rate step test and a 24-hour pumping test. The testing procedures generally followed those utilized for the pre-maintenance testing. During post-maintenance testing, water levels were monitored in the collector well caisson, and in the adjacent observation wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-7. Mad River level data during the testing period were provided by the District from their telemetry system for their gage at the intake at the water treatment plant (Pumping Station 6).

For the post-maintenance multiple-rate step test the discharge water was conveyed to the percolation ponds and the pumping rate was determined using an in-line flow meter on the temporary discharge line. The multiple-rate step pumping test was conducted with four steps with lengths of 1 hour each. The average pumping rates for the steps were 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm.

For the 24-hour pumping test, the discharge was conveyed to the water system and the pumping rate was controlled by the pumps in operation and the system line pressures. Following the multiple-rate step test, the collector well was allowed to recover overnight. Prior to the start of the 24-hour pumping test, a diver entered the well to put screen baskets over the pump intakes. The 24-hour pumping test was started with an initial pumping rate of approximately 3,700 gpm. After the well had been pumping for approximately one hour, a diver entered the well to conduct lateral flow analyses and measure the water temperature from each of the laterals. The diver also obtained water samples from the laterals. The well pumps were off for approximately one half hour for the divers to remove the screen baskets from the pump intakes. For a period of about two and one half hours both well pumps were operated for a combined pumping rate of about 5,600 gpm. For the remainder of the pumping period, the well was pumped with one pump at a rate of about 3,500 gpm.

TASK 5 – SITE CLEAN UP AND DEMOBILIZATION

Following the completion of the lateral installation and maintenance activities, all equipment was removed from the well site and the site returned to original state.

TASK 6 – DOCUMENTATION REPORT

All data/information collected was evaluated, with the findings organized into this report. This report details the lateral installation and redevelopment procedures and results, with recommendation for the continued operation of the collector.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 NEW LATERAL INSTALLATION

Plan and section views for the rehabilitated collector well are depicted in Figure 2, and construction details are summarized in Table 1. The initial plan called for the installation of four (4) new laterals each having 5-foot blanks and 160 feet of screen for a total of 660 feet of new lateral. Laterals 1 and 3 were planned to be installed 5.75 feet above the existing A-tier laterals (as a new C-tier) and Laterals 2 and 4 were planned to be installed 16.75 feet above the A-tier laterals (as a new D-tier). Laterals 1 and 3 were installed first. Lateral 1 was projected to refusal at 115 feet and Lateral 3 was projected to refusal at 75 feet from the inside caisson wall. Because neither lateral reached the target length, it was decided to install two additional C-tier laterals designated as Laterals 5 and 6. Both of these laterals were successfully projected to lengths of 155 feet. Once Laterals 5 and 6 were completed, the temporary work platform was raised to install the D-tier laterals. Lateral 2 was projected to a length of 105 feet, and Lateral 4 was projected to a length of 85 feet so that the total installed length of the new laterals would be 690 feet or 30 feet more than the original specification of 660 feet.

Information on the screen slot sizes used in the new laterals is presented in Table 2. As listed in the table, screen slot openings varied between 0.100 inches and 0.150 inches. The slot size openings were selected based upon sieve analyses (Appendix A) of samples collected during lateral projection. The total open area of the new screen installed in the collector well, adjusting for couplings and blank sections, is 1,063.9 square feet, which has a mechanical capacity of 7,960 gpm (11.5 MGD) at an entrance velocity of 1 foot per minute (ft/min) assuming no blockage of the screen slots. The original laterals have an open area of 18.6% (Ranney Method Western of California, 1962) so the total open area of the original laterals is approximately 250 square feet assuming no blockage of these laterals.

The specifications called for each new lateral to be installed horizontally in a straight line throughout its full length with the maximum allowable deviation from horizontal being two lateral projection pipe diameters over the entire projected length of the lateral. As the diameter of the projection pipe was 16 inches, the allowable deviation from horizontal is 32 inches. The vertical orientation of the new laterals was determined using a Reflex EZ-DIP Electronic

Inclinometer. The inclinometer measurements were conducted in the projection pipe prior to installation of the lateral screens. In addition to the inclinometer measurements, a "Dutch level" was utilized to determine if the far end of the projection pipe was above the centerline of the projection pipe at the caisson end. The inclinometer measurements indicated that all of the laterals were within the tolerance for vertical alignment except for Laterals 4 and 5. The inclinometer readings for Lateral 5 indicated that it deviated upward by 36.5 inches, 2.5 inches out of tolerance. The Dutch level measurements indicated an upward deviation in Lateral 5 of only 32 inches. In Lateral 4, the inclinometer measurements indicated an upward deviation of 46 inches, 14 inches out of tolerance. However, the Dutch level measurements in Lateral 4 indicated an upward deviation of only 16 inches, well within tolerance. On the other laterals the Dutch level and the inclinometer measurements agreed more closely. In Lateral 4, the superintendent was able to see the back of the digging head from the caisson and the sand line unscrewed from the head without difficulty. Both of these are indications that the projection pipe had not deflected significantly.

3.2 PRE-MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE TESTING RESULTS

The pre-maintenance performance test was conducted on December 6, 2011. The pre-maintenance test consisted of a multiple-rate step pumping test conducted at rates of 3,000, 4,470, and 6,000 gpm. During the second step, a diver entered the well to measure lateral flow velocities and water temperatures. The pre-maintenance test had to be ended after the third step when the percolation pond reached its capacity.

Hydrographs for the pre-maintenance test depicting the water levels in Ranney Collector No. 3, adjacent monitoring wells and the Mad River area presented in Figure 3. Plots of the observed drawdown with respect to elapsed pumping time for the pre-maintenance test for the collector well and adjacent observation wells are depicted in Figure 4. Table 3 presents a summary of the pre-maintenance test water level changes, and Table 4 presents the results of the lateral flow and temperature measurements during the pre-maintenance test. The water level data collected by the data loggers and pumping rate data during the test are included in Appendix B.

After 1-hour of pumping at rates of 3,000, 4,470, and 6,000 gpm the observed drawdown in Ranney Collector No. 3 for each step in the pre-maintenance test was 10.7, 18.5 and 27.7 feet, respectively. This gives observed pre-maintenance specific capacity values of 280, 241 and 217 gallons per minute per foot of drawdown (gpm/ft). The observed drawdown at the end of step 3 in observation well MW-1 was 15.4 feet, and the observed drawdown in MW-7 was 11.4 feet. The drawdown differential values (i.e. the difference between the water elevation in adjacent observation wells and the water elevation in the collector divided by the pumping rate) at the end of step 3 were 2.1 feet per 1000 gallons per minute (ft/1000 gpm) for MW-1 and 2.7 ft/1000 gpm for MW-7. The Mad River level at PS6 was at an elevation of approximately 21.7 feet during the pre-maintenance testing period.

Lateral flow analyses were conducted on the five original laterals during step 2 of the pre-maintenance test. The flow velocity and water temperature at the caisson end of the laterals were measured by the diver using handheld meters, which were remotely read by the hydrogeologist. The individual flows from the original laterals varied from 11% to 33% of the total with the highest flow observed in Lateral A-2 and the lowest observed in Lateral B-2. The temperature of the water produced from the existing laterals during the flow analyses ranged from 55.5 °F in Lateral A-4 to 57.5 °F in Lateral B-2. The pre-maintenance test lateral flow distribution was similar to that observed during the 2006 inspection of Ranney Collector No. 3, i.e. with Lateral A-2 having the highest flow and Lateral B-2 having the lowest. However, during the 2006 inspection, the valve for Lateral A-3 was only partially opened (CWI, 2006).

3.3 POST-MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE TESTING RESULTS

Ranney conducted post-maintenance testing to evaluate collector performance following the installation of the new lateral installations. The post-maintenance performance testing was conducted from May 3rd to May 5th, 2012. The post-maintenance multiple-rate step pumping test was conducted on May 3rd with four one hour steps with average pumping rates of 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm. For the multiple-rate step test, the discharge was directed to the percolation ponds and the flow rate was monitored with the in-line flow meter installed on the temporary discharge line. A 24-hour pumping test was started on May 4th with the discharge directed to the water system and system flow rates were obtained from the District's telemetry

system. Approximately one hour after the start of the 24-hour pumping test, a diver entered the well to measure lateral flow velocities and water temperatures.

Hydrographs for the post-maintenance multiple-rate step test depicting the water levels in Ranney Collector No. 3, adjacent monitoring wells and the Mad River area presented in Figure 5. Plots of the observed drawdown with respect to elapsed pumping time for the post-maintenance step test for the collector well and adjacent observation wells are depicted in Figure 6. Table 5 presents a summary of the post-maintenance multiple-rate step test water level changes. Hydrographs for the post-maintenance 24-hour pumping test are presented in Figure 7. Table 6 presents the results of the post-maintenance lateral flow and temperature measurements. The water level data collected by the data loggers and pumping rate data during the post-maintenance testing are included in Appendix C.

After the end of each 1-hour step at pumping at rates of 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm the observed drawdown values in Ranney Collector No. 3 for the post-maintenance step test were 7.8, 12.9, 18.3 and 23.8 feet, respectively. This gives observed post-maintenance specific capacity values of 392, 362, 329 and 312 gpm/ft. These values are 40% to 50% greater than the specific capacity values observed during the pre-maintenance step test at similar pumping rates. The observed drawdown at the end of step 3 in observation well MW-1 was 12.9 feet, and the observed drawdown in MW-7 was 9.9 feet. The drawdown differential values at the end of step 3 were 1.0 ft/1000 gpm for MW-1 and 1.4 ft/1000 gpm for MW-7. These values represent decreases of about 50% from the pre-maintenance drawdown differential values at similar pumping rates. The Mad River level at PS6 was at an elevation of approximately 23.2 feet during the post-maintenance step test, which is approximately 1.6 feet higher than it was during the pre-maintenance step test.

At 9:49 AM on 5/4/12, the 24-hour pumping test was started with an initial pumping rate of approximately 3,700 gpm with pump number 3-2 in operation. After the well had been pumping for approximately one hour, a diver entered the well to conduct lateral flow analyses and measure the water temperature from each of the laterals. The diver also obtained water samples from the laterals. The diver had previously noted that the column pipe for pump 3-1 was very

close to the Lateral 4 valve, and apparently the valve wheel on Lateral 4 had been broken during the reinstallation of pump 3-1. At about 12:50 PM, with the diver observing the pump 3-1 column, pump 3-2 was turned off and pump 3-1 was turned on briefly. This allowed the diver to observe that there was very little movement of the pump 3-1 column during start-up and operation so that it did not come into contact with the valve on Lateral 4. After the diver completed the necessary testing and observations, the pump 3-2 was turned off at 1:46 PM for the diver to remove the screen baskets from the pump intakes. At 2:16 PM pump 3-2 was turned back on. At 2:36 PM an attempt was made to run pump 3-1 in addition to pump 3-2, but a fault in the control system prevented both pumps from operating at the same time, and pump 3-2 turned off. At 3:04 PM pump 3-2 was turned on but this caused pump 3-1 to turn off. At 3:14 pump 3-1 was turned on and pump 3-2 remained in operation. For a period of about two and one half hours both well pumps were operated for a combined pumping rate of about 5,600 gpm. At 5:45 PM, pump 3-1 was turned off, and for the remainder of the pumping period, the well was pumped with only 3-2 in operation. The pumping period ended at 10:27 AM on 5/5/12 when pump 3-2 was turned off.

During the 24-hour pumping test, with pump 3-2 in operation, the pumping rate was about 3,500 gpm. However, the pumping rate from Ranney Collector No. 3 varied as the system line pressure changed when the other collector wells were turned on and off. Water levels in the Ranney Collector No. 3 were also affected by pumping interference with the other collector wells. The pumping rate changes in Ranney Collector No. 3 due to system line pressure changes tended to have more influence on the water levels in Ranney Collector No. 3 than did pumping interference from the other collector wells. Prior to the start of the 24-hour pumping test, Ranney Collector No. 2 was turned on at 7:18 AM on 5/4/12. Following this, the water level in Ranney Collector No. 3 decreased by about 0.1 to 0.2 foot, apparently due to drawdown from Ranney Collector No. 2. Ranney Collector No. 4 was turned on at 9:14 on 5/4/12, and following this, the water level in Ranney Collector No. 3 decreased by about 0.4 foot, apparently due to drawdown from Ranney Collector No. 4. During the 24-hour pumping test, Ranney Collector No. 1 was turned off at 9:56 PM on 5/4/12. After Ranney Collector No. 1 was turned off, the water level in Ranney Collector No. 3 decreased by about 0.7 foot, apparently due to an increase in the Ranney Collector No. 3 pumping rate due to a decrease in the system line pressure.

Similarly, when Ranney Collector No. 2 was turned off at 2:08 AM on 5/5/12, the water level in Ranney Collector No. 3 decreased by about 0.3 foot. When Ranney Collector No. 4 was turned on at 6:02 AM on 5/5/12, the water level in Ranney Collector Well No. 3 increased by about 0.7 foot. This was apparently due to a decrease in the Ranney Collector Well No. 3 pumping rate due to an increase in the system line pressure. Similarly, when Ranney Collector No. 2 was turned on at 9:30 AM on 5/5/12, the water level in Ranney Collector Well No. 3 again increased by about 0.7 foot. During the period from about 1:10 AM to 6:00 AM on 5/5/12, Ranney Collector No. 3 was the only collector well in operation. The average pumping rate during this period was approximately 3,490 gpm (5.02 MGD), and the pumping level in the Ranney Collector No. 3 was relatively stable at an elevation of about 17.0 feet, which is about 28 feet above the upper tier (D-tier) of the new laterals.

During the 24-hour pumping test, lateral flow analyses and water temperature measurements were conducted on the laterals. Because the pump 3-1 column is very close to the end of Lateral 4, the flow and temperature from this lateral could not be measured. For the lateral flow analysis, it was assumed that flow in Lateral 4 is proportional to the flow in Lateral 2 relative to the lengths of the two laterals. It was assumed that the flow in Lateral 4 would be similar to the flow in Lateral 2 because these are the two laterals installed on the upper tier (D-tier). With this assumption, the flow analysis indicated the flow from Lateral 2 was 9% of the total and the estimated flow from Lateral 4 is 7% of the total. The individual flows from all of the laterals varied from 2% to 24% of the total with the highest flow observed in the new Lateral 6 and the lowest observed in the original Lateral B-2. The new laterals account for 73% of the total flow. The distribution of the flow among the original laterals after the installation of the new laterals is similar to the pre-maintenance distribution with A-2 having the highest proportion and B-2 having the lowest proportion of the flow from the original laterals. However, Lateral A-5, which previously had the second highest proportion of the flow, had the second lowest proportion of the flow from the original laterals. Lateral A-5 is the western most of the original laterals and previously had less interference from the other laterals. With the installation of the new laterals, Lateral A-5 is between Laterals 1 and 3 and nearly parallel and below Lateral 2, and consequently has substantially more interference from the adjacent laterals. The temperature of

the water produced from the laterals during the flow analyses varied from 48.6 °F in Lateral 6 to 50.4 °F in Lateral 2.

3.5 SAND CONTENT TESTING

Following development of the new laterals, sand content testing was conducted on the individual laterals while the caisson was dewatered. The sand production was measured using a centrifugal sand-separating device manufactured by the Roscoe Moss Company. For each test a reducer was attached to the valve on the lateral being tested, and an 8-inch diameter pipe with an in-line flow meter was attached to the reducer. The sand tester was attached to a port in the side of the 8-inch pipe. The lateral valve was opened and adjusted so that there was a discharge of 1,200 gpm from the lateral. The tests were conducted for durations of 15 to 25 minutes. The results of the sand testing are summarized in Table 7. None of the sand test results from the post-maintenance test exceeded 1.1 ppm, and consequently all were well within the sand specification of 2 ppm.

4.0 COLLECTOR WELL YIELD PROJECTIONS

As called for in the specifications for the installation of the new laterals, estimated yields for Ranney Collector No. 3 were calculated for both the conditions prior to the installation of the new laterals and following the installation of the new laterals. The long-term yield of a collector well is dependent upon length of pumping, efficiency, available drawdown and aquifer hydraulics. Aquifer hydraulics are related to saturated thickness, hydraulic conductivity and recharge. It is possible to project the yield of a collector well for varying aquifer water levels and water temperature using the following equation:

$$Q_2 = \frac{Q_1}{m_1} \frac{m_2}{s_1} \frac{s_2}{V_2} \frac{V_1}{}$$

Where:

Q = Yield (gpm) of collector well under test (Q_1) and design (Q_2) conditions;
s = Drawdown (ft) in collector well under test (s_1) and design (s_2) conditions;
m = Aquifer thickness (ft) corrected for dewatering under test (m_1) and design (m_2) conditions; and
V = Viscosity coefficient under test (V_1) and design (V_2) temperature conditions.

Using the post-maintenance performance testing results (test conditions) and the above equation it is possible to estimate the maximum yield of the collector well under the test conditions. For the estimation, the following values were assumed:

Top of Aquifer Elevation	10.0 Feet msl
Base of Aquifer Elevation	-40.0 Feet msl
Static Water Level	
Pre Maintenance Performance Test	27.1 Feet msl
Post Maintenance Performance Test	28.1 Feet msl
Assumed High River Conditions	29.4 Feet msl
Assumed Low River Conditions	23.0 Feet msl
Pumping Levels	
Pre Maintenance Performance Test (Projected for 3,000 gpm)	12.0 Feet msl
Post Maintenance Performance Test (3,490 gpm)	17.0 Feet msl

Pre Maintenance	
Minimum Recommended Pumping Level (10 feet above B-tier lateral)	-16.9 Feet msl
Post Maintenance	
Minimum Recommended Pumping Level (10 feet above new D-tier laterals)	-1.0 Feet msl

Water Temperature / Viscosity Coefficient	
Pre Maintenance Performance Test	56 ^{o} F / 1.06
Post Maintenance Performance Test	49 ^{o} F / 1.18
Assumed High River Conditions	55 ^{o} F / 1.08
Assumed Low River Conditions	45 ^{o} F / 1.26

To estimate the minimum and maximum recharge conditions, the daily mean stream flow values were obtained for the USGS stream gage station number 11481000 for the period from September 1, 1990 through June 20, 2012 (USGS, 2012). For this record period the minimum flow that was recorded was 4.5 cubic feet per second (cfs). The minimum flow value on the current rating table is 6.8 cfs, and this is associated with a stage of 3.9 feet and an elevation of 16.7 feet. For the purposes of estimating collector well yield, an elevation of 16.0 feet at the stream gage is assumed for the low river condition. The flow for the record period that was exceeded for only 10% of the records was 3,660 cfs. Based on the current rating table and gage datum, this flow corresponds to a river elevation at the gage station of 22.4 feet. The data from the pre and post maintenance tests indicates that the static water level at Ranney Collector No. 3 is about 7 feet above the river elevation at the USGS gage. Based on these values, the static water level at Ranney Collector No. 3 under low river conditions is assumed to be at an elevation of 23.0 feet, and the static water level under high river conditions is assumed to be at an elevation of 29.4 feet.

During the post-maintenance 24-hour pumping test, the water level in Ranney Collector No. 3 was relatively stable at an elevation of 17.0 feet when the pumping rate was 3,490 gpm for several hours. Because of the short duration of the pre-maintenance pumping test, stabilized pumping levels were not observed. Based on the results of the post-maintenance testing, it appears that pumping levels stabilize within 24 hours. Projecting the trend of the observed drawdown from the first step of the pre-maintenance test to 24 hours gives an estimate of 12 feet

of drawdown for a stabilized pumping level at an elevation of 13.9 feet for the pumping rate of 3000 gpm.

Based upon the available data, the discharge temperature for the collector well is likely to range from about 45° to 65° F. For the low river conditions it was assumed that the water temperature would be 45° as this would give the least favorable recharge conditions. For the high river conditions the water temperature was assumed to be 55° to simulate high river conditions during late spring, which would probably represent the most favorable recharge conditions.

Prior to installation of the new laterals, the centerline elevation of the highest tier of laterals was -26.9 feet. To maintain ten (10) feet of water over the top of the upper tier of laterals the minimum recommended pumping level prior to installation of the new laterals would have been at an elevation of -16.9 feet. Given that the centerline of the upper tier of the new laterals is at an elevation of -11.2 feet, the minimum pumping level should be an elevation of -1.0 feet, to maintain a minimum of ten feet of water over the top of the new upper tier laterals.

Using the above equation and assumptions, the estimated yields for Ranney Collector No. 3 were calculated for the pre-maintenance and post-maintenance conditions. The results of the pre-maintenance estimates are presented in Figure 8, and the results of the post-maintenance estimates are presented in Figure 9. The maximum yields under the assumed conditions are as follows:

Conditions for Yield Estimates	Pre-Maintenance Minimum Pumping Level at -16.9 feet	Pre-Maintenance Minimum Pumping Level at -1.0 feet	Post-Maintenance Minimum Pumping Level at -1.0 feet
Test	10.8 (MGD)	8.3 (MGD)	11.0 (MGD)
Low River	8.5 (MGD)	6.2 (MGD)	8.5 (MGD)
High River	11.5 (MGD)	9.2 (MGD)	12.5 (MGD)

For comparability, the maximum pre-maintenance yields were calculated with minimum pumping levels at both -16.9 feet and -1.0 feet. As indicated, the maximum yields after the installation of the new laterals are the same for the low river conditions and 1.0 MGD higher for

the high river conditions than the pre-maintenance estimates with the pumping level at -16.9 feet. The maximum yields after the installation of the new laterals are 2.3 MGD and 3.3 MGD higher, for the low river conditions and high river conditions, respectively than the pre-maintenance estimates with the pumping level at -1.0 feet. Although there has been a decrease in the available drawdown, the improvement in the specific capacity since the installation of the new laterals gives Ranney Collector No. 3 additional capacity under most conditions.

The estimated yields for Ranney Collector No. 3 are dependent on how well the assumed conditions match the actual conditions. The actual day to day yield of this well will vary under differing conditions and pumping durations. The yield estimates assume that there is sufficient flow in the river to provide sufficient recharge to the collector wells and also do not consider pumping interference from the other collector wells.

5.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ranney Collector Wells recently completed the rehabilitation of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collector No. 3. The work included the installation of six (6) new 12-inch diameter laterals. The new laterals were installed in two tiers with centerline elevations of -22.2 feet and -11.2 feet. The lengths of the new laterals vary from 75 feet to 155 feet, and the total length of the newly installed laterals is 690 feet. Each lateral is constructed from stainless steel, wire-wrapped well screen with 5 feet of blank pipe at the caisson end. The total open area of the new lateral well screens is 1063.9 square feet. Assuming a maximum entrance velocity of 1 ft/min and no blockage of the screen slots, the mechanical capacity of the new laterals is 7,960 gpm (11.5 MGD). This is in addition to the capacity of the originally installed laterals.

The pre-maintenance multiple-rate step test indicated that the collector well had observed specific capacity values of 280, 241 and 217 gpm/ft when pumped at rates of 3,000, 4,470, and 6,000 gpm, respectively. The post-maintenance multiple-rate step test indicated that the collector well had observed specific capacity values of 392, 362, 329 and 312 gpm/ft when pumped at rates of 3,050, 4,650, 6,020 and 7,420 gpm, respectively. The observed post-maintenance specific capacity values are approximately 50% higher than those observed during the pre-maintenance test at similar pumping rates. The pre-maintenance lateral flow analysis indicated that Lateral A-2 had the highest flow rate and Lateral B-2 had the lowest flow rate. The post maintenance lateral flow analysis indicated that Lateral 6 had the highest flow rate and Lateral B-2 had the lowest. The new laterals were producing 73% of the total flow. Analysis of the testing results indicates that the new laterals are efficiently providing the water that is available.

Calculated yield estimates indicate that Ranney Collector No. 3 should be capable of producing up to 8.5 MGD under the assumed low river conditions and up to 12.5 MGD under the assumed high river conditions. The actual yields from the collector well will depend on how well the actual conditions match the assumed conditions and will vary with changes in river level and water temperatures.

It is recommended that the City's monitoring program include such essential data as: (1) Pumping Rates, (2) Pumping levels in the collector and observation wells, (3) Static water levels in the collector and observation wells, (4) Water temperature of the pumped water and Mad River, and (5) Mad River level. Initially this information should be collected at least monthly and reviewed on a 6-month basis by a person experienced in the analysis and evaluation of this type of operational data. This program will provide current and accurate determination of the operating trend of the collector enabling the tracking of the efficiency and yield potential of the well under varying recharge conditions. The operation trends will be tracked primarily on the specific capacity and drawdown differential values of the collector well under operating conditions. This will allow future maintenance requirements to be easily assessed and scheduled at opportune times.

6.0 REFERENCES

Collector Wells International, Inc. (CWI), January 2007. Inspection Report Collector Wells 1A, 1, 3 and 4, Prepared for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by Collector Wells International, Inc., A Layne Christensen Company, Columbus, Ohio.

Ranney Method Western of California, Inc., May 31, 1962. Report on Performance Tests – Ranney Collector System, Prepared for Bechtel Corporation Consulting Engineers and Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by Ranney Method Western of California, Inc., Sacramento, California.

US Geological Survey (USGS), 2012. Internet Web Site: USGS 11481000 MAD R NR ARCATA CA, http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/nwisman/?site_no=11481000&agency_cd=USGS , accessed 6/20/2012.

FIGURES



Layne
Ranney Collector Wells
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 1

PROJECT NUMBER	DATE	FILE NAME	SCALE
14606	06/08/2012	7303-01	1" = 2500'



SECTION
SCALE: N.T.S.



PLAN
SCALE: 1"=50'

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

6360 HUNTLEY ROAD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

PLAN AND SECTION VIEWS OF PUMPING STATION NO. 3
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
EUREKA, CALIFORNIA

FILE NAME: 14606-03	DATE: 6/13/12	FIGURE 2
PROJECT #: 14606	SCALE: 1"=50'	

FIGURE 3
Pre-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Hydrographs
HBMWD Ranney Collector No. 3



File: HBMWD CW3 Pre-Maintenance Test All Data.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

FIGURE 4

Pre-Maintenance Step Test Observed Drawdown with Respect to Elapsed Pumping Time

HBMWD Ranney Collector No. 3



File: HBMWD CW3 Pre-Maintenance Test All Data.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

FIGURE 5
Post-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Test Hydrographs
HBMWD Ranney Collector No. 3



File: HBWD CW3 Post Maintenance Test All Data.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

FIGURE 6

Post-Maintenance Step Test Observed Drawdown with Respect to Elapsed Pumping Time
HBMWD Ranney Collector No. 3



File: HBWD CW3 Post Maintenance Test All Data.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

FIGURE 7
Post-Maintenance 24-Hour Pumping Test Hydrographs
HBMWD Ranney Collector Well No. 3



File: HBWD CW3 Post Maintenance Test All Data.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

Figure 8
Ranney Collector No. 3 Yield Projections Pre-Maintenance Conditions
Humboldt Bay Municipay Water District



File: Pre-maintenance HBMWD RC3 Collector Well Yield Adjustments.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

Figure 9
Ranney Collector No. 3 Yield Projections Post-Maintenance Conditions
Humboldt Bay Municipay Water District



File: Post-maintenance HBMWD RC3 Collector Well Yield Adjustments.xlsx Print Date: 7/2/2012

TABLES

TABLE 1

Ranney Collector No. 3 As-Built Design Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority - Arcata, California

CAISSON AND LATERAL DESIGN

CAISSON INSIDE DIAMETER	13	feet
CAISSON OUTSIDE DIAMETER	16	feet
TOP OF TOP SLAB ELEVATION	53.0	feet, msl.
TOP OF CAISSON ELEVATION	51.0	feet, msl.
GRADE ELEVATION	47.1	feet, msl.
TOP OF PLUG (caisson floor) ELEVATION	-30.9	feet, msl.
CAISSON DEPTH (top of caisson to top of plug)	81.9	feet
CENTER LINE OF LATERAL ELEVATION A-tier (original installation))	-27.9	feet, msl.
CENTER LINE OF LATERAL ELEVATION B-tier (original installation)	-26.9	feet, msl.
CENTER LINE OF LATERAL ELEVATION C-tier (Installed 2012)	-22.2	feet, msl.
CENTER LINE OF LATERAL ELEVATION D-tier (Installed 2012)	-11.2	feet, msl.
MINIMUM RECOMMENDED PUMPING ELEVATION	-1.0	feet, msl.
LATERAL DIAMETER	12.0	inches

LATERAL LENGTH AND OPEN AREA

LATERAL NUMBER	TIER		BLANK LENGTH (feet)	LATERAL SCREEN LENGTH (feet)	TOTAL LATERAL LENGTH (feet)	Screen Slot Open Area (square feet)
A-2	A	Original	0	104	104	57.7
A-3	A	Original	0	110	110	61.1
A-4	A	Original	0	84	84	46.6
A-5	A	Original	0	68	68	37.7
B-2	B	Original	0	64	64	35.5
1	C	Installed 2012	5	110	115	179.5
2	D	Installed 2012	5	100	105	164.0
3	C	Installed 2012	5	70	75	112.0
4	D	Installed 2012	5	80	85	125.7
5	C	Installed 2012	5	150	155	240.5
6	C	Installed 2012	5	150	155	242.3
TOTAL ORIGINAL LATERALS			0	430	430	238.7
TOTAL NEW LATERALS			30	660	690	1063.9
TOTAL ALL LATERALS			30	1,090	1,120	1,302.6

TABLE 2

Ranney Collector No. 3 New Lateral Well Screen Slot Size and Placement
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Lateral

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75	75 - 85	85 - 95	95 - 105	105 - 115
1	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	125	125	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75	75 - 85	85 - 95	95 - 105
2	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	125	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75
3	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	125	125	150	150	150	150

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75	75 - 85
4	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	100	125	150	150	150	150	125

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75	75 - 85	85 - 95	95 - 105	105 - 115	115 - 125	125 - 135	135 - 145	145 - 155
5	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	100	125	100	125	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

	Distance (1)	0 - 5	5 - 15	15 - 25	25 - 35	35 - 45	45 - 55	55 - 65	65 - 75	75 - 85	85 - 95	95 - 105	105 - 115	115 - 125	125 - 135	135 - 145	145 - 155
6	Slot Size (2)	Blank	100	100	125	125	125	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

1) Distance in feet from inside wall of caisson

2) Well screen slot opening size in thousandths of an inch

TABLE 3

Ranney Collector No. 3 Pre-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Pumping Test Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Pre-Maintenance Test Conducted - December 6, 2011

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 1 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 1 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	27.64	25.86	38.35	15.15	3,000	10.71		280.1
MW-1	49.25 (2)	23.03	26.22	29.20	20.05		6.17	1.63	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	22.99	25.86	27.59	21.26		4.60	2.0	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		21.69		21.66				

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 2 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 2 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	27.64	25.86	46.16	7.34	4,470	18.52		241.4
MW-1	49.25 (2)	23.03	26.22	33.38	15.87		10.35	1.9	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	22.98	25.87	30.74	18.11		7.76	2.4	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		21.69		21.68				

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 3 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 3 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	27.64	25.86	55.31	-1.81	6,000	27.67		216.8
MW-1	49.25 (2)	23.03	26.22	38.17	11.08		15.14	2.1	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	22.98	25.87	34.39	14.46		11.41	2.7	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		21.69		21.70				

Notes:

1) Caisson water levels measured from the top of access hatch, 0.5 feet above top slab.
2) Observation well water levels measured from the top of the protective steel casing in MW-1 and the top of the steel casing in MW-7. MW-1 approx. ground elev. 45.1' + 4.15' pro casing stickup = 49.25'; MW-7 approx. ground elev. 46.6' + 2.25' casing stickup = 48.85'
3) Mad River Elevations at PS6 provided by HBMWD.

TABLE 4
Ranney Collector No. 3 Pre-Maintenance Lateral Flow Analysis
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Lateral No.	Tier	Orientation East of North (degrees)	Total Lateral Length from inside wall (feet)	Total Lateral Screen Length (feet)	Relative Water Velocity at End of Lateral [1] (kilometers/hour)	Water Temperature [1] (^{o} F)	Percent of Total Flow (percent)	Approximate Flow Per Lateral (gpm)	Approximate Flow Per Foot of Screen (gpm/ft)
A-2	A	59	104	104	4.50	55.7	32.7%	1,453	14.0
A-3	A	120	110	110	2.50	55.7	18.2%	807	7.3
A-4	A	180	84	84	2.40	55.5	17.5%	775	9.2
A-5	A	240	68	68	2.80	56.3	20.4%	904	13.3
B-2	B	90	64	64	1.55	57.5	11.3%	501	7.8
TOTAL			430	430			100.0%	4,440	
AVERAGE			86	86		56.0 [2]			10.3

Pumping Rate During Flow Measurements = 4,440 gpm

Notes: 1) Lateral velocity and water temperature are the average of two readings.
2) Average temperature weighted for lateral flow

Lateral Tier A Elevation = -27.9 feet
Lateral Tier B Elevation = -26.9 feet

TABLE 5

Ranney Collector No. 3 Post-Maintenance Multiple-Rate Step Pumping Test Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Post-Maintenance Test Conducted - May 3, 2012

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 1 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 1 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	26.37	27.13	34.16	19.34	3,050	7.79		391.5
MW-1	49.25 (2)	21.70	27.55	27.35	21.90		5.65	0.84	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	21.77	27.08	26.17	22.68		4.40	1.1	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		23.24		23.23				

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 2 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 2 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	26.37	27.13	39.23	14.27	4,650	12.86		361.6
MW-1	49.25 (2)	21.70	27.55	30.90	18.35		9.20	0.9	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	21.77	27.08	28.88	19.97		7.11	1.2	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		23.24		23.21				

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 3 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 3 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	26.37	27.13	44.65	8.85	6,020	18.28		329.3
MW-1	49.25 (2)	21.70	27.55	34.60	14.65		12.90	1.0	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	21.77	27.08	31.68	17.17		9.91	1.4	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		23.24		23.20				

		Observed Static Water Level		Observed Step 4 Pumping Water Levels		Observed Step 4 Well Performance			
Well	Measuring Point Elevation (feet msl.)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Approximate Water Elevation (feet)	Pumping Rate (gpm)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Differential (feet/1000 gpm)	Observed Specific Capacity (gal/min/ft)
Ranney Collector No. 3	53.50 (1)	26.37	27.13	50.12	3.38	7,420	23.75		312.4
MW-1	49.25 (2)	21.70	27.55	37.86	11.39		16.16	1.1	
MW-7	48.85 (2)	21.77	27.08	34.61	14.24		12.84	1.5	
Mad River at PS6	(3)		23.24		23.24				

Notes:

1) Caisson water levels measured from the top of access hatch, 0.5 feet above top slab.
2) Observation well water levels measured from the top of the protective steel casing in MW-1 and the top of the steel casing in MW-7.
MW-1 approx. ground elev. 45.1' + 4.15' pro casing stickup = 49.25'; MW-7 approx. ground elev. 46.6' + 2.25' casing stickup = 48.85'
3) Mad River Elevations at PS6 provided by HBMWD.

TABLE 6

Ranney Collector No. 3 Post-Maintenance Lateral Flow Analysis
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Lateral No.	Tier	Orientation East of North (degrees)	Total Lateral Length from inside wall (feet)	Total Lateral Screen Length (feet)	Relative Water Velocity at End of Lateral [1] (kilometers/hour)	Water Temperature [1] (^{o} F)	Percent of Total Flow (percent)	Approximate Flow Per Lateral (gpm)	Approximate Flow Per Foot of Screen (gpm/ft)
A-2	A	59	104	104	1.00	49.0	11.9%	436	4.2
A-3	A	120	110	110	0.55	48.7	6.5%	240	2.2
A-4	A	180	84	84	0.30	50.2	3.6%	131	1.6
A-5	A	240	68	68	0.25	49.3	3.0%	109	1.6
B-2	B	90	64	64	0.15	48.8	1.8%	65	1.0
1	C	270	115	110	1.40	49.5	16.7%	610	5.5
2	D	235	105	100	0.75	50.4	8.9%	327	3.3
3	C	209	75	70	0.20	49.6	2.4%	87	1.2
4	D	152	85	80	n/a [3]	n/a [3]	7.2% [3]	261	3.3
5	C	142	155	150	1.20	49.4	14.3%	523	3.5
6	C	68	155	150	2.00	48.6	23.8%	871	5.8
TOTAL			1,120	1,090			100.0%	3,660	
AVERAGE			102	99		49.2 [2]			3.0

Pumping Rate During Flow Measurements = 3,660 gpm

Notes:
1) Lateral velocity and water temperature are the average of two readings.
2) Average temperature weighted for lateral flow
3) Velocity and water temperature in Lateral 4 could not be measured because the pump column obstructs the end of the lateral. Percentage of flow in Lateral 4 was assumed based on flow from Lateral 2 adjusted for lateral lengths.

Lateral Tier A Elevation = -27.9 feet
Lateral Tier B Elevation = -26.9 feet
Lateral Tier C Elevation = -22.2 feet
Lateral Tier D Elevation = -11.2 feet

TABLE 7

Sand Content Testing Results - New Laterals

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District - Arcata, California

Lateral	Date	Discharge Rate (gpm)	Start Time of Sand Test	Time of Reading	Elapsed Time of Reading from Start of Sand Test (minutes)	Volume in Sample Tube (1) (ml)	Sand Content (ppm) (2)
1	4/13/2012	1200	4:00	4:15	15	< 0.05	< 1.8
				4:20	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				4:25	25	< 0.05	< 1.1
2	4/9/2012	1200	11:30	11:50	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				11:55	25	< 0.05	< 1.1
				12:00	30	< 0.05	< 0.9
3	4/13/2012	1200	3:00	3:15	15	< 0.05	< 1.8
				3:20	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				3:25	25	< 0.05	< 1.1
4	4/9/2012	1200	10:00	10:15	15	< 0.05	< 1.8
				10:20	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				10:25	25	< 0.05	< 1.1
5	4/13/2012	1200	2:00	2:15	15	< 0.05	< 1.8
				2:20	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				2:25	25	< 0.05	< 1.1
6	4/13/2012	1200	1:00	1:15	15	< 0.05	< 1.8
				1:20	20	< 0.05	< 1.3
				1:25	25	< 0.05	< 1.1

(1) All sand volumes were less than the first mark on the sampling tube (0.1 ml).
Trace amounts were assumed to be less than 0.05 ml for sand content calculations.

(2) Sand Content = [Sand Volume] / [Elapsed Time] x 528

APPENDIX A
LATERAL SIEVE ANALYSES

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #1



Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #1



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **1929** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	357	357	18.6%	81.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	413	770	40.2%	59.8%
6	3.353	0.132	394	1164	60.8%	39.2%
8	2.360	0.094	249	1413	73.8%	26.2%
10	1.999	0.079	107	1520	79.4%	20.6%
16	1.194	0.047	228	1748	91.3%	8.7%
20	0.838	0.033	78	1826	95.4%	4.6%
40	0.419	0.017	66	1892	98.8%	1.2%
60	0.254	0.010	12	1904	99.4%	0.6%
100	0.150	0.006	5	1909	99.7%	0.3%
Pan			6	1915	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1915	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **2095** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	721	721	34.5%	65.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	436	1157	55.4%	44.6%
6	3.353	0.132	355	1512	72.4%	27.6%
8	2.360	0.094	201	1713	82.0%	18.0%
10	1.999	0.079	81	1794	85.9%	14.1%
16	1.194	0.047	189	1983	95.0%	5.0%
20	0.838	0.033	54	2037	97.6%	2.4%
40	0.419	0.017	40	2077	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	5	2082	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2084	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	2088	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2088			
		Difference	0.3%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **1982** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	854	854	43.4%	56.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	365	1219	61.9%	38.1%
6	3.353	0.132	289	1508	76.6%	23.4%
8	2.360	0.094	166	1674	85.0%	15.0%
10	1.999	0.079	71	1745	88.6%	11.4%
16	1.194	0.047	143	1888	95.9%	4.1%
20	0.838	0.033	45	1933	98.2%	1.8%
40	0.419	0.017	26	1959	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	5	1964	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1966	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1969	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1969	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **1817** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	628	628	34.9%	65.1%
3.5	5.664	0.223	326	954	53.1%	46.9%
6	3.353	0.132	320	1274	70.9%	29.1%
8	2.388	0.094	192	1466	81.5%	18.5%
10	1.999	0.079	78	1544	85.9%	14.1%
16	1.194	0.047	182	1726	96.0%	4.0%
20	0.838	0.033	41	1767	98.3%	1.7%
40	0.419	0.017	25	1792	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1795	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1797	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1798	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1798			
		Difference	1.0%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **1636** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	503	503	30.9%	69.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	315	818	50.3%	49.7%
6	3.353	0.132	307	1125	69.1%	30.9%
8	2.360	0.094	177	1302	80.0%	20.0%
10	1.999	0.079	73	1375	84.5%	15.5%
16	1.194	0.047	168	1543	94.8%	5.2%
20	0.838	0.033	46	1589	97.7%	2.3%
40	0.419	0.017	30	1619	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	4	1623	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1625	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1627	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1627	grams		
		Difference	0.6%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **1808** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	565	565	31.4%	68.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	411	976	54.3%	45.7%
6	3.353	0.132	334	1310	72.9%	27.1%
8	2.360	0.094	176	1486	82.7%	17.3%
10	1.999	0.079	69	1555	86.5%	13.5%
16	1.194	0.047	160	1715	95.4%	4.6%
20	0.838	0.033	43	1758	97.8%	2.2%
40	0.419	0.017	30	1788	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	5	1793	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1795	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1797	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1797			
		Difference	0.6%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. **1687** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	658	658	39.3%	60.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	316	974	58.1%	41.9%
6	3.353	0.132	246	1220	72.8%	27.2%
8	2.360	0.094	150	1370	81.7%	18.3%
10	1.999	0.079	62	1432	85.4%	14.6%
16	1.194	0.047	152	1584	94.5%	5.5%
20	0.838	0.033	44	1628	97.1%	2.9%
40	0.419	0.017	36	1664	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	7	1671	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1673	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1676	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1676	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **80** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **16**

Initial Wt. **2175** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	1097	1097	50.6%	49.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	322	1419	65.5%	34.5%
6	3.353	0.132	261	1680	77.6%	22.4%
8	2.360	0.094	156	1836	84.8%	15.2%
10	1.999	0.079	69	1905	88.0%	12.0%
16	1.194	0.047	169	2074	95.8%	4.2%
20	0.838	0.033	47	2121	97.9%	2.1%
40	0.419	0.017	35	2156	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	6	2162	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2164	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2166	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2166			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **90** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **18**

Initial Wt. **1992** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	645	645	32.5%	67.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	391	1036	52.1%	47.9%
6	3.353	0.132	301	1337	67.3%	32.7%
8	2.360	0.094	161	1498	75.4%	24.6%
10	1.999	0.079	84	1582	79.6%	20.4%
16	1.194	0.047	235	1817	91.4%	8.6%
20	0.838	0.033	90	1907	96.0%	4.0%
40	0.419	0.017	67	1974	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	10	1984	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1986	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1987	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1987	grams		
		Difference	0.3%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **100** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **20**

Initial Wt. **1624** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	584	584	36.1%	63.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	284	868	53.6%	46.4%
6	3.353	0.132	222	1090	67.4%	32.6%
8	2.360	0.094	131	1221	75.5%	24.5%
10	1.999	0.079	57	1278	79.0%	21.0%
16	1.194	0.047	178	1456	90.0%	10.0%
20	0.838	0.033	72	1528	94.4%	5.6%
40	0.419	0.017	61	1589	98.2%	1.8%
60	0.254	0.010	16	1605	99.2%	0.8%
100	0.150	0.006	9	1614	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	1618	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1618			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **110** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **22**

Initial Wt. **1988** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	767	767	38.9%	61.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	488	1255	63.6%	36.4%
6	3.353	0.132	306	1561	79.1%	20.9%
8	2.360	0.094	138	1699	86.1%	13.9%
10	1.999	0.079	49	1748	88.6%	11.4%
16	1.194	0.047	110	1858	94.2%	5.8%
20	0.838	0.033	41	1899	96.2%	3.8%
40	0.419	0.017	55	1954	99.0%	1.0%
60	0.254	0.010	14	1968	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1971	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1973	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1973	grams		
		Difference	0.8%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **1**

Interval: **120** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **24**

Initial Wt. **1887** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	1093	1093	58.2%	41.8%
3.5	5.600	0.223	354	1447	77.0%	23.0%
6	3.353	0.132	199	1646	87.6%	12.4%
8	2.360	0.094	77	1723	91.7%	8.3%
10	1.999	0.079	28	1751	93.2%	6.8%
16	1.194	0.047	58	1809	96.3%	3.7%
20	0.838	0.033	22	1831	97.4%	2.6%
40	0.419	0.017	34	1865	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	8	1873	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1876	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1879	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1879			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #2



Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #2



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **2073** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	506	506	24.6%	75.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	591	1097	53.3%	46.7%
6	3.353	0.132	449	1546	75.1%	24.9%
8	2.360	0.094	222	1768	85.9%	14.1%
10	1.999	0.079	79	1847	89.7%	10.3%
16	1.194	0.047	156	2003	97.3%	2.7%
20	0.838	0.033	35	2038	99.0%	1.0%
40	0.419	0.017	16	2054	99.8%	0.2%
60	0.254	0.010	1	2055	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2056	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2058	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2058	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **2208** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	615	615	28.0%	72.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	463	1078	49.0%	51.0%
6	3.353	0.132	405	1483	67.4%	32.6%
8	2.360	0.094	240	1723	78.3%	21.7%
10	1.999	0.079	97	1820	82.7%	17.3%
16	1.194	0.047	229	2049	93.1%	6.9%
20	0.838	0.033	78	2127	96.7%	3.3%
40	0.419	0.017	58	2185	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	13	2198	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2199	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			1	2200	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2200			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **2150** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	637	637	29.9%	70.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	414	1051	49.3%	50.7%
6	3.353	0.132	396	1447	67.8%	32.2%
8	2.360	0.094	250	1697	79.5%	20.5%
10	1.999	0.079	104	1801	84.4%	15.6%
16	1.194	0.047	231	2032	95.2%	4.8%
20	0.838	0.033	60	2092	98.0%	2.0%
40	0.419	0.017	32	2124	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	3	2127	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2129	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			5	2134	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2134	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **2144** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	786	786	36.9%	63.1%
3.5	5.664	0.223	447	1233	57.9%	42.1%
6	3.353	0.132	345	1578	74.0%	26.0%
8	2.388	0.094	195	1773	83.2%	16.8%
10	1.999	0.079	77	1850	86.8%	13.2%
16	1.194	0.047	196	2046	96.0%	4.0%
20	0.838	0.033	52	2098	98.5%	1.5%
40	0.419	0.017	26	2124	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	2127	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2128	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			3	2131	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2131			
		Difference	0.6%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **2033** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	388	388	19.2%	80.8%
3.5	5.600	0.223	469	857	42.4%	57.6%
6	3.353	0.132	438	1295	64.1%	35.9%
8	2.360	0.094	245	1540	76.2%	23.8%
10	1.999	0.079	104	1644	81.3%	18.7%
16	1.194	0.047	235	1879	93.0%	7.0%
20	0.838	0.033	82	1961	97.0%	3.0%
40	0.419	0.017	51	2012	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	5	2017	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2019	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2021	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2021	grams		
		Difference	0.6%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **1962** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	634	634	32.6%	67.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	362	996	51.2%	48.8%
6	3.353	0.132	333	1329	68.3%	31.7%
8	2.360	0.094	202	1531	78.7%	21.3%
10	1.999	0.079	89	1620	83.3%	16.7%
16	1.194	0.047	220	1840	94.6%	5.4%
20	0.838	0.033	62	1902	97.8%	2.2%
40	0.419	0.017	38	1940	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1943	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1944	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1945	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1945			
		Difference	0.9%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. **1864** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	763	763	41.0%	59.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	392	1155	62.1%	37.9%
6	3.353	0.132	250	1405	75.5%	24.5%
8	2.360	0.094	140	1545	83.0%	17.0%
10	1.999	0.079	63	1608	86.4%	13.6%
16	1.194	0.047	143	1751	94.1%	5.9%
20	0.838	0.033	54	1805	97.0%	3.0%
40	0.419	0.017	46	1851	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	5	1856	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1858	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1861	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1861	grams		
		Difference	0.2%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **80** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **16**

Initial Wt. **1697** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	771	771	45.7%	54.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	313	1084	64.3%	35.7%
6	3.353	0.132	225	1309	77.6%	22.4%
8	2.360	0.094	132	1441	85.5%	14.5%
10	1.999	0.079	50	1491	88.4%	11.6%
16	1.194	0.047	143	1634	96.9%	3.1%
20	0.838	0.033	40	1674	99.3%	0.7%
40	0.419	0.017	4	1678	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1680	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1683	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1686	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1686			
		Difference	0.6%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **90** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **18**

Initial Wt. **1772** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	690	690	39.1%	60.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	396	1086	61.6%	38.4%
6	3.353	0.132	253	1339	75.9%	24.1%
8	2.360	0.094	141	1480	83.9%	16.1%
10	1.999	0.079	58	1538	87.2%	12.8%
16	1.194	0.047	142	1680	95.2%	4.8%
20	0.838	0.033	47	1727	97.9%	2.1%
40	0.419	0.017	31	1758	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1761	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1762	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1764	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1764	grams		
		Difference	0.5%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **100** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **20**

Initial Wt. **1717** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	701	701	41.0%	59.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	359	1060	62.0%	38.0%
6	3.353	0.132	260	1320	77.2%	22.8%
8	2.360	0.094	134	1454	85.0%	15.0%
10	1.999	0.079	54	1508	88.2%	11.8%
16	1.194	0.047	118	1626	95.1%	4.9%
20	0.838	0.033	45	1671	97.7%	2.3%
40	0.419	0.017	32	1703	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1706	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1707	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1710	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1710			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **2**

Interval: **110** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **22**

Initial Wt. **2082** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	659	659	31.7%	68.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	458	1117	53.8%	46.2%
6	3.353	0.132	380	1497	72.0%	28.0%
8	2.360	0.094	203	1700	81.8%	18.2%
10	1.999	0.079	80	1780	85.7%	14.3%
16	1.194	0.047	178	1958	94.2%	5.8%
20	0.838	0.033	63	2021	97.3%	2.7%
40	0.419	0.017	50	2071	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	4	2075	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2076	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2078	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2078	grams		
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Latral #3



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **1812** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	337	337	18.6%	81.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	314	651	36.0%	64.0%
6	3.353	0.132	367	1018	56.2%	43.8%
8	2.360	0.094	255	1273	70.3%	29.7%
10	1.999	0.079	114	1387	76.6%	23.4%
16	1.194	0.047	275	1662	91.8%	8.2%
20	0.838	0.033	85	1747	96.5%	3.5%
40	0.419	0.017	50	1797	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	6	1803	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1805	99.7%	0.3%
Pan			5	1810	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1810	grams		
		Difference	0.1%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **2165** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	568	568	26.3%	73.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	341	909	42.1%	57.9%
6	3.353	0.132	369	1278	59.2%	40.8%
8	2.360	0.094	254	1532	70.9%	29.1%
10	1.999	0.079	113	1645	76.2%	23.8%
16	1.194	0.047	291	1936	89.6%	10.4%
20	0.838	0.033	107	2043	94.6%	5.4%
40	0.419	0.017	86	2129	98.6%	1.4%
60	0.254	0.010	18	2147	99.4%	0.6%
100	0.150	0.006	6	2153	99.7%	0.3%
Pan			7	2160	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2160			
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **1921** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	606	606	31.7%	68.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	445	1051	54.9%	45.1%
6	3.353	0.132	364	1415	74.0%	26.0%
8	2.360	0.094	181	1596	83.4%	16.6%
10	1.999	0.079	66	1662	86.9%	13.1%
16	1.194	0.047	161	1823	95.3%	4.7%
20	0.838	0.033	53	1876	98.1%	1.9%
40	0.419	0.017	28	1904	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1906	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1909	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	1913	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1913	grams		
		Difference	0.4%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **1648** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	444	444	27.0%	73.0%
3.5	5.664	0.223	220	664	40.4%	59.6%
6	3.353	0.132	259	923	56.2%	43.8%
8	2.388	0.094	187	1110	67.6%	32.4%
10	1.999	0.079	92	1202	73.2%	26.8%
16	1.194	0.047	258	1460	88.9%	11.1%
20	0.838	0.033	94	1554	94.6%	5.4%
40	0.419	0.017	64	1618	98.5%	1.5%
60	0.254	0.010	12	1630	99.2%	0.8%
100	0.150	0.006	6	1636	99.6%	0.4%
Pan			7	1643	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1643			
		Difference	0.3%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **2224** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	635	635	28.6%	71.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	336	971	43.7%	56.3%
6	3.353	0.132	381	1352	60.8%	39.2%
8	2.360	0.094	266	1618	72.8%	27.2%
10	1.999	0.079	118	1736	78.1%	21.9%
16	1.194	0.047	292	2028	91.3%	8.7%
20	0.838	0.033	94	2122	95.5%	4.5%
40	0.419	0.017	71	2193	98.7%	1.3%
60	0.254	0.010	12	2205	99.2%	0.8%
100	0.150	0.006	6	2211	99.5%	0.5%
Pan			11	2222	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2222	grams		
		Difference	0.1%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **1852** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	584	584	31.5%	68.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	323	907	48.9%	51.1%
6	3.353	0.132	297	1204	64.9%	35.1%
8	2.360	0.094	194	1398	75.4%	24.6%
10	1.999	0.079	83	1481	79.9%	20.1%
16	1.194	0.047	201	1682	90.7%	9.3%
20	0.838	0.033	81	1763	95.1%	4.9%
40	0.419	0.017	63	1826	98.5%	1.5%
60	0.254	0.010	15	1841	99.3%	0.7%
100	0.150	0.006	6	1847	99.6%	0.4%
Pan			7	1854	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1854			
		Difference	-0.1%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **3**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375			52.8%	47.2%
3.5	5.600	0.223			69.2%	30.8%
6	3.353	0.132			82.4%	17.6%
8	2.360	0.094			89.0%	11.0%
10	1.999	0.079			91.4%	8.6%
16	1.194	0.047			95.9%	4.1%
20	0.838	0.033			97.5%	2.5%
40	0.419	0.017			98.9%	1.1%
60	0.254	0.010			99.4%	0.6%
100	0.150	0.006			99.6%	0.4%
Pan					100.0%	0.0%
		Total		grams		
		Difference				

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #4



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **1310** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	224	224	17.1%	82.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	270	494	37.8%	62.2%
6	3.353	0.132	270	764	58.5%	41.5%
8	2.360	0.094	189	953	72.9%	27.1%
10	1.999	0.079	84	1037	79.3%	20.7%
16	1.194	0.047	142	1179	90.2%	9.8%
20	0.838	0.033	71	1250	95.6%	4.4%
40	0.419	0.017	50	1300	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	6	1306	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	0	1306	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1307	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1307	grams		
		Difference	0.2%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **1387** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	124	124	9.0%	91.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	225	349	25.2%	74.8%
6	3.353	0.132	210	559	40.4%	59.6%
8	2.360	0.094	209	768	55.5%	44.5%
10	1.999	0.079	98	866	62.6%	37.4%
16	1.194	0.047	299	1165	84.2%	15.8%
20	0.838	0.033	118	1283	92.8%	7.2%
40	0.419	0.017	89	1372	99.2%	0.8%
60	0.254	0.010	9	1381	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1383	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			0	1383	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1383			
		Difference	0.3%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **1701** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	440	440	26.0%	74.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	236	676	39.9%	60.1%
6	3.353	0.132	240	916	54.1%	45.9%
8	2.360	0.094	170	1086	64.1%	35.9%
10	1.999	0.079	78	1164	68.7%	31.3%
16	1.194	0.047	252	1416	83.6%	16.4%
20	0.838	0.033	111	1527	90.1%	9.9%
40	0.419	0.017	115	1642	96.9%	3.1%
60	0.254	0.010	30	1672	98.7%	1.3%
100	0.150	0.006	13	1685	99.5%	0.5%
Pan			9	1694	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1694	grams		
		Difference	0.4%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **1715** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	271	271	15.8%	84.2%
3.5	5.664	0.223	329	600	35.0%	65.0%
6	3.353	0.132	376	976	57.0%	43.0%
8	2.388	0.094	224	1200	70.1%	29.9%
10	1.999	0.079	98	1298	75.8%	24.2%
16	1.194	0.047	256	1554	90.8%	9.2%
20	0.838	0.033	84	1638	95.7%	4.3%
40	0.419	0.017	62	1700	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	9	1709	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1712	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			0	1712	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1712			
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **1950** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	478	478	24.6%	75.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	406	884	45.5%	54.5%
6	3.353	0.132	363	1247	64.2%	35.8%
8	2.360	0.094	221	1468	75.6%	24.4%
10	1.999	0.079	93	1561	80.3%	19.7%
16	1.194	0.047	234	1795	92.4%	7.6%
20	0.838	0.033	80	1875	96.5%	3.5%
40	0.419	0.017	59	1934	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	7	1941	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	0	1941	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1943	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1943	grams		
		Difference	0.4%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **1780** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	321	321	18.1%	81.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	299	620	34.9%	65.1%
6	3.353	0.132	350	970	54.6%	45.4%
8	2.360	0.094	249	1219	68.7%	31.3%
10	1.999	0.079	108	1327	74.8%	25.2%
16	1.194	0.047	286	1613	90.9%	9.1%
20	0.838	0.033	91	1704	96.0%	4.0%
40	0.419	0.017	61	1765	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	6	1771	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1773	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1775	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1775			
		Difference	0.3%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. **1608** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	301	301	18.8%	81.2%
3.5	5.600	0.223	319	620	38.8%	61.3%
6	3.353	0.132	308	928	58.0%	42.0%
8	2.360	0.094	192	1120	70.0%	30.0%
10	1.999	0.079	86	1206	75.4%	24.6%
16	1.194	0.047	223	1429	89.3%	10.7%
20	0.838	0.033	84	1513	94.6%	5.4%
40	0.419	0.017	77	1590	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	8	1598	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1600	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			0	1600	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1600	grams		
		Difference	0.5%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **4**

Interval: **80** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **16**

Initial Wt. **1771** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	350	350	19.8%	80.2%
3.5	5.600	0.223	255	605	34.2%	65.8%
6	3.353	0.132	304	909	51.4%	48.6%
8	2.360	0.094	223	1132	64.0%	36.0%
10	1.999	0.079	105	1237	69.9%	30.1%
16	1.194	0.047	299	1536	86.8%	13.2%
20	0.838	0.033	112	1648	93.2%	6.8%
40	0.419	0.017	109	1757	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	9	1766	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	0	1766	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1769	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1769			
		Difference	0.1%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #5



Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #5



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **1999** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	906	906	45.7%	54.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	446	1352	68.2%	31.8%
6	3.353	0.132	263	1615	81.5%	18.5%
8	2.360	0.094	128	1743	88.0%	12.0%
10	1.999	0.079	51	1794	90.6%	9.4%
16	1.194	0.047	121	1915	96.7%	3.3%
20	0.838	0.033	38	1953	98.6%	1.4%
40	0.419	0.017	22	1975	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1978	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1979	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1981	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1981	grams		
		Difference	0.9%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **1909** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	441	441	23.2%	76.8%
3.5	5.600	0.223	405	846	44.4%	55.6%
6	3.353	0.132	400	1246	65.4%	34.6%
8	2.360	0.094	237	1483	77.9%	22.1%
10	1.999	0.079	92	1575	82.7%	17.3%
16	1.194	0.047	204	1779	93.4%	6.6%
20	0.838	0.033	64	1843	96.8%	3.2%
40	0.419	0.017	45	1888	99.2%	0.8%
60	0.254	0.010	8	1896	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1899	99.7%	0.3%
Pan			5	1904	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1904			
		Difference	0.3%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **2143** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	1025	1025	48.2%	51.8%
3.5	5.600	0.223	409	1434	67.5%	32.5%
6	3.353	0.132	294	1728	81.3%	18.7%
8	2.360	0.094	141	1869	87.9%	12.1%
10	1.999	0.079	55	1924	90.5%	9.5%
16	1.194	0.047	124	2048	96.3%	3.7%
20	0.838	0.033	40	2088	98.2%	1.8%
40	0.419	0.017	30	2118	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	4	2122	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2124	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2126	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2126	grams		
		Difference	0.8%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **1863** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	483	483	26.0%	74.0%
3.5	5.664	0.223	327	810	43.6%	56.4%
6	3.353	0.132	316	1126	60.6%	39.4%
8	2.388	0.094	214	1340	72.1%	27.9%
10	1.999	0.079	96	1436	77.2%	22.8%
16	1.194	0.047	242	1678	90.3%	9.7%
20	0.838	0.033	94	1772	95.3%	4.7%
40	0.419	0.017	73	1845	99.2%	0.8%
60	0.254	0.010	10	1855	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1857	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1859	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1859			
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **2247** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	1029	1029	46.1%	53.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	374	1403	62.9%	37.1%
6	3.353	0.132	253	1656	74.3%	25.7%
8	2.360	0.094	154	1810	81.2%	18.8%
10	1.999	0.079	69	1879	84.3%	15.7%
16	1.194	0.047	193	2072	92.9%	7.1%
20	0.838	0.033	77	2149	96.4%	3.6%
40	0.419	0.017	69	2218	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	10	2228	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2229	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			1	2230	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2230	grams		
		Difference	0.8%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **1928** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	733	733	38.0%	62.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	381	1114	57.8%	42.2%
6	3.353	0.132	315	1429	74.1%	25.9%
8	2.360	0.094	167	1596	82.8%	17.2%
10	1.999	0.079	69	1665	86.4%	13.6%
16	1.194	0.047	158	1823	94.6%	5.4%
20	0.838	0.033	52	1875	97.3%	2.7%
40	0.419	0.017	42	1917	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	6	1923	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1925	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			3	1928	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1928			
		Difference	0.0%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. **2063** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	874	874	42.3%	57.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	385	1259	61.0%	39.0%
6	3.353	0.132	313	1572	76.2%	23.8%
8	2.360	0.094	173	1745	84.5%	15.5%
10	1.999	0.079	68	1813	87.8%	12.2%
16	1.194	0.047	155	1968	95.3%	4.7%
20	0.838	0.033	51	2019	97.8%	2.2%
40	0.419	0.017	33	2052	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	5	2057	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2059	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			5	2064	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2064	grams		
		Difference	0.0%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **80** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **16**

Initial Wt. **1807** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	877	877	48.7%	51.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	324	1201	66.7%	33.3%
6	3.353	0.132	242	1443	80.2%	19.8%
8	2.360	0.094	129	1572	87.3%	12.7%
10	1.999	0.079	50	1622	90.1%	9.9%
16	1.194	0.047	112	1734	96.3%	3.7%
20	0.838	0.033	34	1768	98.2%	1.8%
40	0.419	0.017	24	1792	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	4	1796	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1798	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1800	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1800			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **90** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **18**

Initial Wt. **2013** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	1292	1292	64.5%	35.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	286	1578	78.7%	21.3%
6	3.353	0.132	167	1745	87.1%	12.9%
8	2.360	0.094	90	1835	91.6%	8.4%
10	1.999	0.079	33	1868	93.2%	6.8%
16	1.194	0.047	85	1953	97.5%	2.5%
20	0.838	0.033	27	1980	98.8%	1.2%
40	0.419	0.017	17	1997	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1999	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2001	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			3	2004	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2004	grams		
		Difference	0.4%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **100** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **20**

Initial Wt. **1959** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	966	966	49.7%	50.3%
3.5	5.600	0.223	304	1270	65.4%	34.6%
6	3.353	0.132	251	1521	78.3%	21.7%
8	2.360	0.094	150	1671	86.0%	14.0%
10	1.999	0.079	63	1734	89.3%	10.7%
16	1.194	0.047	141	1875	96.5%	3.5%
20	0.838	0.033	35	1910	98.4%	1.6%
40	0.419	0.017	25	1935	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1938	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1940	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1942	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1942			
		Difference	0.9%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **110** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **22**

Initial Wt. **2022** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	875	875	43.3%	56.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	403	1278	63.2%	36.8%
6	3.353	0.132	276	1554	76.9%	23.1%
8	2.360	0.094	147	1701	84.1%	15.9%
10	1.999	0.079	59	1760	87.0%	13.0%
16	1.194	0.047	154	1914	94.7%	5.3%
20	0.838	0.033	56	1970	97.4%	2.6%
40	0.419	0.017	41	2011	99.5%	0.5%
60	0.254	0.010	5	2016	99.7%	0.3%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2018	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	2022	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2022	grams		
		Difference	0.0%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **120** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **24**

Initial Wt. **1890** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	602	602	31.9%	68.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	470	1072	56.8%	43.2%
6	3.353	0.132	365	1437	76.2%	23.8%
8	2.360	0.094	170	1607	85.2%	14.8%
10	1.999	0.079	58	1665	88.2%	11.8%
16	1.194	0.047	133	1798	95.3%	4.7%
20	0.838	0.033	44	1842	97.6%	2.4%
40	0.419	0.017	38	1880	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	4	1884	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1886	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1887	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1887			
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **130** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **26**

Initial Wt. **1540** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	744	744	48.5%	51.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	333	1077	70.2%	29.8%
6	3.353	0.132	199	1276	83.1%	16.9%
8	2.360	0.094	97	1373	89.4%	10.6%
10	1.999	0.079	35	1408	91.7%	8.3%
16	1.194	0.047	76	1484	96.7%	3.3%
20	0.838	0.033	25	1509	98.3%	1.7%
40	0.419	0.017	21	1530	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1532	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1534	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1535	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1535	grams		
		Difference	0.3%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **140** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **28**

Initial Wt. **1554** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	602	602	39.0%	61.0%
3.5	5.600	0.223	331	933	60.5%	39.5%
6	3.353	0.132	249	1182	76.7%	23.3%
8	2.360	0.094	124	1306	84.7%	15.3%
10	1.999	0.079	47	1353	87.7%	12.3%
16	1.194	0.047	106	1459	94.6%	5.4%
20	0.838	0.033	40	1499	97.2%	2.8%
40	0.419	0.017	38	1537	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1540	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1541	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1542	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1542			
		Difference	0.8%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **5**

Interval: **150** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **30**

Initial Wt. **1955** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	681	681	34.9%	65.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	417	1098	56.3%	43.7%
6	3.353	0.132	292	1390	71.2%	28.8%
8	2.360	0.094	167	1557	79.8%	20.2%
10	1.999	0.079	67	1624	83.2%	16.8%
16	1.194	0.047	175	1799	92.2%	7.8%
20	0.838	0.033	74	1873	96.0%	4.0%
40	0.419	0.017	71	1944	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	5	1949	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1950	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1951	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1951	grams		
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #6



Sieve Analyses-Collector Well
Humboldt Bay
New Lateral Installation
Ranney Well 3 - Job No. 14606
Lateral #6



Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **10** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **2**

Initial Wt. **1843** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	965	965	52.8%	47.2%
3.5	5.600	0.223	356	1321	72.2%	27.8%
6	3.353	0.132	216	1537	84.0%	16.0%
8	2.360	0.094	104	1641	89.7%	10.3%
10	1.999	0.079	37	1678	91.7%	8.3%
16	1.194	0.047	81	1759	96.2%	3.8%
20	0.838	0.033	28	1787	97.7%	2.3%
40	0.419	0.017	22	1809	98.9%	1.1%
60	0.254	0.010	11	1820	99.5%	0.5%
100	0.150	0.006	8	1828	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1829	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1829	grams		
		Difference	0.8%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **20** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **4**

Initial Wt. **2284** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	863	863	38.1%	61.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	550	1413	62.3%	37.7%
6	3.353	0.132	381	1794	79.1%	20.9%
8	2.360	0.094	188	1982	87.4%	12.6%
10	1.999	0.079	72	2054	90.6%	9.4%
16	1.194	0.047	147	2201	97.1%	2.9%
20	0.838	0.033	39	2240	98.8%	1.2%
40	0.419	0.017	21	2261	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	2	2263	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2264	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			3	2267	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2267			
		Difference	0.7%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **30** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **6**

Initial Wt. **2031** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	411	411	20.4%	79.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	478	889	44.2%	55.8%
6	3.353	0.132	383	1272	63.2%	36.8%
8	2.360	0.094	226	1498	74.4%	25.6%
10	1.999	0.079	97	1595	79.2%	20.8%
16	1.194	0.047	244	1839	91.4%	8.6%
20	0.838	0.033	83	1922	95.5%	4.5%
40	0.419	0.017	72	1994	99.1%	0.9%
60	0.254	0.010	11	2005	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	4	2009	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	2013	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2013	grams		
		Difference	0.9%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **40** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **8**

Initial Wt. **2231** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	638	638	28.8%	71.2%
3.5	5.664	0.223	498	1136	51.3%	48.7%
6	3.353	0.132	337	1473	66.5%	33.5%
8	2.388	0.094	204	1677	75.7%	24.3%
10	1.999	0.079	89	1766	79.7%	20.3%
16	1.194	0.047	233	1999	90.2%	9.8%
20	0.838	0.033	81	2080	93.9%	6.1%
40	0.419	0.017	99	2179	98.3%	1.7%
60	0.254	0.010	22	2201	99.3%	0.7%
100	0.150	0.006	8	2209	99.7%	0.3%
Pan			7	2216	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2216			
		Difference	0.7%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **50** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **10**

Initial Wt. **2031** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	628	628	31.1%	68.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	418	1046	51.8%	48.2%
6	3.353	0.132	357	1403	69.5%	30.5%
8	2.360	0.094	191	1594	78.9%	21.1%
10	1.999	0.079	82	1676	83.0%	17.0%
16	1.194	0.047	198	1874	92.8%	7.2%
20	0.838	0.033	70	1944	96.2%	3.8%
40	0.419	0.017	63	2007	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	8	2015	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	3	2018	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2020	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2020	grams		
		Difference	0.5%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **60** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **12**

Initial Wt. **2005** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	646	646	32.4%	67.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	484	1130	56.6%	43.4%
6	3.353	0.132	331	1461	73.2%	26.8%
8	2.360	0.094	175	1636	82.0%	18.0%
10	1.999	0.079	73	1709	85.6%	14.4%
16	1.194	0.047	173	1882	94.3%	5.7%
20	0.838	0.033	55	1937	97.0%	3.0%
40	0.419	0.017	45	1982	99.3%	0.7%
60	0.254	0.010	7	1989	99.6%	0.4%
100	0.150	0.006	3	1992	99.8%	0.2%
Pan			4	1996	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1996			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **70** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **14**

Initial Wt. **1752** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	635	635	36.5%	63.5%
3.5	5.600	0.223	473	1108	63.7%	36.3%
6	3.353	0.132	303	1411	81.1%	18.9%
8	2.360	0.094	133	1544	88.8%	11.2%
10	1.999	0.079	48	1592	91.5%	8.5%
16	1.194	0.047	99	1691	97.2%	2.8%
20	0.838	0.033	26	1717	98.7%	1.3%
40	0.419	0.017	16	1733	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1736	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1737	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1739	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1739	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **80** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **16**

Initial Wt. **1750** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	533	533	30.6%	69.4%
3.5	5.600	0.223	375	908	52.2%	47.8%
6	3.353	0.132	285	1193	68.6%	31.4%
8	2.360	0.094	169	1362	78.3%	21.7%
10	1.999	0.079	76	1438	82.6%	17.4%
16	1.194	0.047	203	1641	94.3%	5.7%
20	0.838	0.033	58	1699	97.6%	2.4%
40	0.419	0.017	34	1733	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	4	1737	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1738	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1740	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1740			
		Difference	0.6%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **90** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **18**

Initial Wt. **1772** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	690	690	39.1%	60.9%
3.5	5.600	0.223	396	1086	61.6%	38.4%
6	3.353	0.132	253	1339	75.9%	24.1%
8	2.360	0.094	141	1480	83.9%	16.1%
10	1.999	0.079	58	1538	87.2%	12.8%
16	1.194	0.047	142	1680	95.2%	4.8%
20	0.838	0.033	47	1727	97.9%	2.1%
40	0.419	0.017	31	1758	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1761	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1762	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	1764	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1764	grams		
		Difference	0.5%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **100** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **20**

Initial Wt. **1771** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	675	675	38.3%	61.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	268	943	53.5%	46.5%
6	3.353	0.132	296	1239	70.4%	29.6%
8	2.360	0.094	191	1430	81.2%	18.8%
10	1.999	0.079	82	1512	85.9%	14.1%
16	1.194	0.047	177	1689	95.9%	4.1%
20	0.838	0.033	45	1734	98.5%	1.5%
40	0.419	0.017	23	1757	99.8%	0.2%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1759	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1760	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1761	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1761			
		Difference	0.6%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **110** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **22**

Initial Wt. **1917** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	560	560	29.3%	70.7%
3.5	5.600	0.223	425	985	51.6%	48.4%
6	3.353	0.132	366	1351	70.8%	29.2%
8	2.360	0.094	210	1561	81.8%	18.2%
10	1.999	0.079	88	1649	86.4%	13.6%
16	1.194	0.047	183	1832	96.0%	4.0%
20	0.838	0.033	48	1880	98.5%	1.5%
40	0.419	0.017	25	1905	99.8%	0.2%
60	0.254	0.010	2	1907	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1908	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1909	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1909	grams		
		Difference	0.4%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **120** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **24**

Initial Wt. **1874** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	661	661	35.4%	64.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	374	1035	55.4%	44.6%
6	3.353	0.132	297	1332	71.3%	28.7%
8	2.360	0.094	180	1512	81.0%	19.0%
10	1.999	0.079	76	1588	85.1%	14.9%
16	1.194	0.047	183	1771	94.9%	5.1%
20	0.838	0.033	57	1828	97.9%	2.1%
40	0.419	0.017	34	1862	99.7%	0.3%
60	0.254	0.010	3	1865	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	1866	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1867	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1867			
		Difference	0.4%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **130** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **26**

Initial Wt. **1732** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	850	850	49.4%	50.6%
3.5	5.600	0.223	235	1085	63.1%	36.9%
6	3.353	0.132	172	1257	73.1%	26.9%
8	2.360	0.094	102	1359	79.0%	21.0%
10	1.999	0.079	50	1409	81.9%	18.1%
16	1.194	0.047	166	1575	91.6%	8.4%
20	0.838	0.033	76	1651	96.0%	4.0%
40	0.419	0.017	59	1710	99.4%	0.6%
60	0.254	0.010	7	1717	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	1719	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			1	1720	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	1720	grams		
		Difference	0.7%			

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **140** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **28**

Initial Wt. **2025** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	704	704	34.8%	65.2%
3.5	5.600	0.223	361	1065	52.7%	47.3%
6	3.353	0.132	339	1404	69.5%	30.5%
8	2.360	0.094	206	1610	79.7%	20.3%
10	1.999	0.079	83	1693	83.8%	16.2%
16	1.194	0.047	207	1900	94.0%	6.0%
20	0.838	0.033	70	1970	97.5%	2.5%
40	0.419	0.017	43	2013	99.6%	0.4%
60	0.254	0.010	4	2017	99.8%	0.2%
100	0.150	0.006	2	2019	99.9%	0.1%
Pan			2	2021	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2021			
		Difference	0.2%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

Sieve Analysis Results

Client: **Humboldt Bay** **Job No.:** **14606**

Well Number: **Ranney Well #3**

Lateral Number: **6**

Interval: **150** **Feet** **Pipe No.** **30**

Initial Wt. **2095** grams

Sieve No.	Sieve size (mm)	Sieve size (inches)	Weight Retained (grams)	Cumulative Weight (grams)	Cumulative % Retained	Cumulative % Passing
3/8	9.525	0.375	732	732	34.9%	65.1%
3.5	5.600	0.223	525	1257	60.0%	40.0%
6	3.353	0.132	362	1619	77.3%	22.7%
8	2.360	0.094	181	1800	85.9%	14.1%
10	1.999	0.079	69	1869	89.2%	10.8%
16	1.194	0.047	143	2012	96.0%	4.0%
20	0.838	0.033	48	2060	98.3%	1.7%
40	0.419	0.017	30	2090	99.8%	0.2%
60	0.254	0.010	3	2093	99.9%	0.1%
100	0.150	0.006	1	2094	100.0%	0.0%
Pan			1	2095	100.0%	0.0%
		Total	2095	grams		
		Difference	0.0%			

Layne
Ranney Collector Wells

APPENDIX B
PRE-MAINTENANCE TESTING DATA

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collector No. 3 Job No. : 14606
Pre-Maintenance Test Transducer Data

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/5/11 0:01									21.76
12/5/11 1:00									21.79
12/5/11 2:00									21.77
12/5/11 3:00									21.78
12/5/11 4:00									21.77
12/5/11 5:00									21.77
12/5/11 6:00									21.80
12/5/11 7:00									21.77
12/5/11 8:00									21.75
12/5/11 9:00									21.76
12/5/11 10:00									21.74
12/5/11 11:00									21.74
12/5/11 12:00									21.74
12/5/11 13:00									21.74
12/5/11 14:00									21.77
12/5/11 15:00									21.77
12/5/11 15:51	32.28	55.76			24.31	61.08			21.76
12/5/11 15:52	37.17	55.76			25.76	61.08			21.75
12/5/11 15:53	38.86	55.78			26.75	61.08			21.77
12/5/11 15:54	37.86	55.80			26.74	61.06			21.76
12/5/11 15:55	39.11	55.80			27.14	61.03			21.76
12/5/11 15:56	38.90	55.80			27.23	61.01			21.77
12/5/11 15:57	38.81	55.83			27.26	61.01			21.76
12/5/11 15:58	38.90	55.83			27.29	60.99			21.75
12/5/11 15:59	36.51	55.83			26.97	60.99			21.74
12/5/11 16:00	34.45	55.80			26.34	60.99			21.76
12/5/11 16:01	32.84	55.69			25.76	60.97			21.74
12/5/11 16:02	31.70	55.64			25.30	60.97			21.76
12/5/11 16:03	30.84	55.62			24.93	60.97			21.75
12/5/11 16:04	30.20	55.60			24.65	60.97			21.77
12/5/11 16:05	29.72	55.60			24.41	60.94			21.77
12/5/11 16:06	29.37	55.58			24.24	60.94			21.77
12/5/11 16:07	29.12	55.58			24.11	60.94			21.77
12/5/11 16:08	28.93	55.60			24.01	60.94			21.75
12/5/11 16:09	28.79	55.60			23.92	60.94			21.75
12/5/11 16:10	28.68	55.60			23.87	60.94			21.76
12/5/11 16:11	28.61	55.60			23.82	60.94			21.75
12/5/11 16:12	28.55	55.60			23.78	60.94			21.77
12/5/11 17:00	28.42	55.60	23.87	52.32	23.78	60.99			21.77
12/5/11 18:00	28.04	55.62	23.30	52.25	23.58	61.15			21.75
12/5/11 19:00	28.08	55.62	23.45	52.30	23.58	61.26			21.74
12/5/11 20:00	28.27	55.64	23.68	52.30	23.68	61.19			21.71
12/5/11 21:00	28.33	55.64	23.76	52.27	23.73	61.22			21.70
12/5/11 22:00	28.37	55.64	23.76	52.25	23.76	61.17			21.69
12/5/11 23:00	27.89	55.64	23.11	52.21	23.50	61.33			21.69
12/6/11 0:00	27.73	55.64	22.89	52.18	23.39	61.35			21.71
12/6/11 1:00	27.62	55.64	22.76	52.18	23.32	61.35			21.70
12/6/11 2:00	27.55	55.67	22.66	52.21	23.27	61.37			21.72
12/6/11 3:00	27.50	55.64	22.59	52.21	23.23	61.37			21.70
12/6/11 4:00	27.45	55.67	22.54	52.21	23.21	61.40			21.71
12/6/11 5:00	27.25	55.67	22.37	52.18	22.94	61.40			21.72
12/6/11 6:00	27.14	55.64	22.27	52.16	22.80	61.40			21.71
12/6/11 7:00	27.06	55.64	22.20	52.16	22.71	61.42			21.70
12/6/11 7:50			22.80	52.30	22.88	61.15			21.69
12/6/11 7:54	27.47	55.64	22.85	52.30	22.90	61.17			21.68
12/6/11 8:00	27.51	55.64	22.88	52.30	22.91	61.10			21.68
12/6/11 8:40	27.61	55.64	23.01	52.27	22.97	61.15	20.47		21.68
12/6/11 8:41	27.61	55.64	23.02	52.27	22.98	61.15	20.47		21.69
12/6/11 8:42	27.62	55.62	23.02	52.27	22.98	61.12	20.47		21.69
12/6/11 8:43	27.62	55.64	23.02	52.27	22.98	61.15	20.48		21.69
12/6/11 8:44	27.62	55.64	23.02	52.27	22.97	61.17	20.48		21.69
12/6/11 8:45	27.62	55.62	23.02	52.27	22.98	61.17	20.48		21.69

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/6/11 8:46	27.62	55.62	23.03	52.27	22.99	61.15	20.48		21.69
12/6/11 8:47	27.62	55.62	23.03	52.27	22.98	61.12	20.48		21.68
12/6/11 8:48	27.62	55.62	23.03	52.27	22.99	61.15	20.48		21.67
12/6/11 8:49	27.63	55.64	23.03	52.27	22.98	61.15	20.48		21.68
12/6/11 8:50	27.64	55.64	23.03	52.27	22.99	61.15	20.48		21.70
12/6/11 8:51	29.93	55.64	23.97	52.30	23.62	61.12	20.54		21.69
12/6/11 8:52	31.37	55.64	24.73	52.45	24.14	61.24	20.63		21.68
12/6/11 8:53	32.61	55.64	25.41	52.48	24.61	61.33	20.72		21.68
12/6/11 8:54	33.64	55.64	25.96	52.48	25.00	61.35	20.79		21.68
12/6/11 8:55	34.42	55.64	26.40	52.45	25.31	61.35	20.86		21.71
12/6/11 8:56	35.06	55.58	26.76	52.43	25.56	61.35	20.92		21.68
12/6/11 8:57	35.52	55.60	27.04	52.41	25.77	61.35	20.98		21.68
12/6/11 8:58	35.91	55.58	27.26	52.39	25.93	61.33	21.03		21.68
12/6/11 8:59	36.24	55.53	27.45	52.36	26.07	61.33	21.07		21.70
12/6/11 9:00	36.48	55.46	27.60	52.34	26.18	61.33	21.11		21.68
12/6/11 9:01	36.71	55.39	27.72	52.32	26.28	61.33	21.16		21.69
12/6/11 9:02	36.89	55.39	27.82	52.32	26.37	61.31	21.18		21.68
12/6/11 9:03	37.03	55.42	27.88	52.30	26.44	61.31	21.22		21.68
12/6/11 9:04	37.17	55.39	27.97	52.30	26.50	61.31	21.25		21.70
12/6/11 9:05	37.27	55.39	28.19	52.27	26.55	61.28	21.28		21.68
12/6/11 9:06	37.35	55.37	28.27	52.27	26.60	61.28	21.30		21.68
12/6/11 9:07	37.44	55.37	28.33	52.27	26.65	61.28	21.33		21.68
12/6/11 9:08	37.51	55.37	28.38	52.27	26.68	61.26	21.36		21.69
12/6/11 9:09	37.55	55.37	28.44	52.27	26.72	61.26	21.38		21.66
12/6/11 9:10	37.62	55.39	28.48	52.27	26.75	61.24	21.40		21.68
12/6/11 9:11	37.65	55.42	28.51	52.27	26.78	61.24	21.42		21.69
12/6/11 9:12	37.69	55.42	28.54	52.30	26.80	61.24	21.44		21.68
12/6/11 9:13	37.72	55.42	28.57	52.32	26.83	61.24	21.46		21.67
12/6/11 9:14	37.76	55.42	28.60	52.32	26.85	61.22	21.49		21.68
12/6/11 9:15	37.79	55.44	28.62	52.34	26.88	61.22	21.51		21.67
12/6/11 9:16	37.84	55.46	28.64	52.34	26.92	61.19	21.52		21.67
12/6/11 9:17	37.84	55.46	28.67	52.34	26.96	61.19	21.54		21.67
12/6/11 9:18	37.87	55.49	28.70	52.34	27.00	61.19	21.56		21.69
12/6/11 9:19	37.88	55.49	28.73	52.34	27.04	61.17	21.59		21.67
12/6/11 9:20	37.93	55.49	28.76	52.36	27.08	61.17	21.60		21.69
12/6/11 9:21	38.20	55.51	28.84	52.34	27.14	61.17	21.62		21.69
12/6/11 9:22	38.45	55.51	28.99	52.36	27.25	61.15	21.65		21.68
12/6/11 9:23	38.36	55.53	29.02	52.36	27.28	61.15	21.66		21.68
12/6/11 9:24	38.27	55.53	29.00	52.36	27.29	61.15	21.68		21.67
12/6/11 9:25	38.22	55.55	28.99	52.39	27.29	61.12	21.70		21.68
12/6/11 9:26	38.20	55.55	28.97	52.41	27.30	61.12	21.72		21.69
12/6/11 9:27	38.17	55.55	28.97	52.41	27.30	61.10	21.73		21.68
12/6/11 9:28	38.17	55.58	28.97	52.41	27.31	61.10	21.75		21.68
12/6/11 9:29	38.15	55.58	28.97	52.43	27.32	61.10	21.76		21.69
12/6/11 9:30	38.15	55.58	28.98	52.43	27.34	61.10	21.78		21.68
12/6/11 9:31	38.16	55.60	28.98	52.43	27.34	61.08	21.79		21.67
12/6/11 9:32	38.14	55.60	28.99	52.43	27.36	61.08	21.80		21.67
12/6/11 9:33	38.15	55.62	29.00	52.43	27.37	61.08	21.81		21.67
12/6/11 9:34	38.15	55.64	29.01	52.43	27.38	61.06	21.83		21.67
12/6/11 9:35	38.16	55.62	29.01	52.45	27.40	61.06	21.85		21.66
12/6/11 9:36	38.19	55.62	29.03	52.45	27.42	61.06	21.86		21.68
12/6/11 9:37	38.21	55.64	29.04	52.45	27.42	61.03	21.87		21.69
12/6/11 9:38	38.20	55.67	29.05	52.45	27.44	61.03	21.89		21.67
12/6/11 9:39	38.21	55.64	29.07	52.48	27.45	61.03	21.90		21.66
12/6/11 9:40	38.23	55.67	29.08	52.48	27.47	61.03	21.91		21.68
12/6/11 9:41	38.23	55.67	29.09	52.50	27.49	61.01	21.93		21.66
12/6/11 9:42	38.24	55.69	29.10	52.50	27.50	61.01	21.94		21.66
12/6/11 9:43	38.27	55.64	29.11	52.50	27.51	61.01	21.95		21.66
12/6/11 9:44	38.27	55.67	29.13	52.52	27.52	60.99	21.96		21.67
12/6/11 9:45	38.31	55.69	29.14	52.52	27.54	60.99	21.97		21.68
12/6/11 9:46	38.29	55.69	29.15	52.52	27.55	60.99	21.98		21.67
12/6/11 9:47	38.30	55.71	29.16	52.52	27.57	60.99	22.00		21.67

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/6/11 9:48	38.32	55.71	29.18	52.52	27.58	60.97	22.01		21.67
12/6/11 9:49	38.35	55.69	29.19	52.50	27.59	60.97	22.03		21.68
12/6/11 9:50	38.42	55.71	29.20	52.50	27.61	60.97	22.04		21.66
12/6/11 9:51	39.59	55.69	29.57	52.50	27.82	60.97	22.06		21.68
12/6/11 9:52	40.54	55.73	30.02	52.48	28.10	60.94	22.09		21.68
12/6/11 9:53	41.34	55.71	30.42	52.43	28.36	60.94	22.13		21.68
12/6/11 9:54	42.01	55.73	30.77	52.41	28.59	60.94	22.18		21.68
12/6/11 9:55	42.57	55.76	31.06	52.41	28.78	60.94	22.22		21.66
12/6/11 9:56	43.04	55.76	31.32	52.41	28.96	60.92	22.25		21.68
12/6/11 9:57	43.42	55.78	31.53	52.41	29.10	60.92	22.29		21.68
12/6/11 9:58	43.75	55.80	31.71	52.43	29.24	60.92	22.33		21.67
12/6/11 9:59	44.02	55.78	31.86	52.43	29.34	60.90	22.36		21.68
12/6/11 10:00	44.25	55.80	31.99	52.45	29.44	60.90	22.39		21.68
12/6/11 10:01	44.44	55.80	32.10	52.45	29.52	60.90	22.41		21.67
12/6/11 10:02	44.61	55.80	32.21	52.48	29.60	60.90	22.44		21.68
12/6/11 10:03	44.76	55.83	32.31	52.50	29.66	60.88	22.47		21.67
12/6/11 10:04	44.88	55.83	32.38	52.50	29.72	60.88	22.50		21.66
12/6/11 10:05	44.98	55.83	32.44	52.52	29.77	60.88	22.52		21.68
12/6/11 10:06	45.08	55.85	32.50	52.55	29.82	60.85	22.54		21.68
12/6/11 10:07	45.15	55.87	32.56	52.55	29.87	60.85	22.57		21.68
12/6/11 10:08	45.23	55.89	32.61	52.57	29.90	60.85	22.60		21.66
12/6/11 10:09	45.28	55.89	32.65	52.59	29.94	60.83	22.62		21.67
12/6/11 10:10	45.34	55.92	32.69	52.61	29.97	60.83	22.64		21.67
12/6/11 10:11	45.40	55.92	32.73	52.64	30.01	60.83	22.66		21.67
12/6/11 10:12	45.44	55.92	32.75	52.64	30.04	60.83	22.68		21.67
12/6/11 10:13	45.48	55.94	32.77	52.66	30.06	60.81	22.70		21.68
12/6/11 10:14	45.52	55.94	32.81	52.68	30.09	60.81	22.72		21.67
12/6/11 10:15	45.55	55.94	32.83	52.70	30.11	60.81	22.75		21.69
12/6/11 10:16	45.58	55.96	32.86	52.73	30.14	60.79	22.76		21.68
12/6/11 10:17	45.61	55.96	32.89	52.75	30.16	60.79	22.78		21.68
12/6/11 10:18	45.63	55.98	32.90	52.75	30.19	60.79	22.80		21.67
12/6/11 10:19	45.66	55.98	32.92	52.77	30.21	60.79	22.82		21.67
12/6/11 10:20	45.69	56.01	32.95	52.79	30.23	60.79	22.85		21.68
12/6/11 10:21	45.71	56.01	32.96	52.82	30.25	60.76	22.87		21.67
12/6/11 10:22	45.73	56.03	32.98	52.84	30.27	60.76	22.88		21.67
12/6/11 10:23	45.75	56.01	32.99	52.86	30.29	60.76	22.90		21.68
12/6/11 10:24	45.77	56.01	33.02	52.86	30.31	60.76	22.92		21.68
12/6/11 10:25	45.78	56.03	33.04	52.88	30.33	60.74	22.94		21.66
12/6/11 10:26	45.80	56.05	33.05	52.91	30.35	60.74	22.96		21.66
12/6/11 10:27	45.82	56.05	33.07	52.93	30.37	60.74	22.97		21.68
12/6/11 10:28	45.84	56.03	33.08	52.93	30.39	60.74	22.99		21.66
12/6/11 10:29	45.86	56.05	33.10	52.95	30.40	60.74	23.01		21.68
12/6/11 10:30	45.87	56.05	33.12	52.97	30.42	60.72	23.03		21.69
12/6/11 10:31	45.89	56.05	33.13	53.00	30.44	60.72	23.05		21.66
12/6/11 10:32	45.91	56.08	33.14	53.02	30.45	60.72	23.07		21.68
12/6/11 10:33	45.93	56.08	33.16	53.02	30.47	60.72	23.08		21.67
12/6/11 10:34	45.94	56.10	33.17	53.04	30.49	60.70	23.10		21.69
12/6/11 10:35	45.96	56.10	33.19	53.06	30.50	60.70	23.11		21.66
12/6/11 10:36	45.97	56.12	33.21	53.06	30.52	60.70	23.13		21.67
12/6/11 10:37	45.98	56.12	33.22	53.09	30.54	60.70	23.14		21.67
12/6/11 10:38	45.97	56.10	33.22	53.11	30.55	60.70	23.17		21.68
12/6/11 10:39	45.99	56.10	33.24	53.13	30.57	60.67	23.18		21.68
12/6/11 10:40	46.01	56.12	33.25	53.16	30.58	60.67	23.19		21.67
12/6/11 10:41	46.03	56.10	33.27	53.16	30.60	60.67	23.21		21.68
12/6/11 10:42	46.05	56.10	33.27	53.18	30.62	60.67	23.23		21.68
12/6/11 10:43	46.06	56.12	33.29	53.20	30.63	60.65	23.24		21.67
12/6/11 10:44	46.08	56.12	33.30	53.20	30.65	60.65	23.26		21.69
12/6/11 10:45	46.11	56.12	33.29	53.22	30.65	60.65	23.27		21.67
12/6/11 10:46	46.13	56.14	33.31	53.25	30.67	60.65	23.29		21.67
12/6/11 10:47	46.15	56.17	33.32	53.27	30.68	60.65	23.30		21.66
12/6/11 10:48	46.15	56.17	33.36	53.27	30.71	60.63	23.32		21.66
12/6/11 10:49	46.16	56.14	33.38	53.29	30.73	60.63	23.33		21.67

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/6/11 10:50	46.17	56.14	33.38	53.31	30.74	60.63	23.35		21.68
12/6/11 10:51	46.18	56.17	33.40	53.31	30.76	60.63	23.35		21.68
12/6/11 10:52	46.20	56.17	33.40	53.34	30.77	60.63	23.37		21.69
12/6/11 10:53	46.21	56.19	33.41	53.36	30.78	60.63	23.38		21.68
12/6/11 10:54	46.22	56.19	33.43	53.36	30.80	60.61	23.40		21.67
12/6/11 10:55	46.23	56.19	33.44	53.38	30.81	60.61	23.41		21.68
12/6/11 10:56	46.24	56.21	33.45	53.40	30.82	60.61	23.43		21.68
12/6/11 10:57	46.26	56.23	33.46	53.43	30.83	60.61	23.44		21.67
12/6/11 10:58	46.27	56.21	33.47	53.43	30.85	60.61	23.45		21.67
12/6/11 10:59	46.28	56.21	33.49	53.45	30.86	60.61	23.47		21.68
12/6/11 11:00	46.29	56.21	33.50	53.47	30.88	60.61	23.48		21.67
12/6/11 11:01	46.29	56.23	33.51	53.47	30.89	60.58	23.49		21.67
12/6/11 11:02	46.31	56.23	33.53	53.49	30.90	60.58	23.51		21.69
12/6/11 11:03	46.32	56.26	33.53	53.52	30.92	60.58	23.52		21.68
12/6/11 11:04	46.34	56.26	33.55	53.52	30.93	60.58	23.53		21.68
12/6/11 11:05	46.37	56.26	33.57	53.54	30.95	60.58	23.55		21.68
12/6/11 11:06	46.37	56.26	33.57	53.56	30.96	60.58	23.56		21.70
12/6/11 11:07	46.38	56.26	33.59	53.56	30.97	60.56	23.57		21.68
12/6/11 11:08	46.39	56.26	33.59	53.58	30.99	60.56	23.58		21.67
12/6/11 11:09	46.40	56.28	33.60	53.61	31.00	60.56	23.60		21.67
12/6/11 11:10	46.41	56.28	33.61	53.61	31.01	60.56	23.61		21.68
12/6/11 11:11	46.41	56.30	33.63	53.63	31.02	60.56	23.63		21.68
12/6/11 11:12	46.42	56.30	33.63	53.63	31.04	60.56	23.63		21.69
12/6/11 11:13	46.43	56.30	33.63	53.65	31.04	60.56	23.65		21.67
12/6/11 11:14	46.44	56.30	33.65	53.68	31.05	60.54	23.66		21.68
12/6/11 11:15	46.44	56.32	33.66	53.68	31.07	60.54	23.67		21.67
12/6/11 11:16	46.46	56.32	33.67	53.70	31.08	60.54	23.69		21.66
12/6/11 11:17	46.46	56.32	33.68	53.72	31.09	60.54	23.70		21.69
12/6/11 11:18	46.47	56.32	33.69	53.72	31.11	60.54	23.71		21.68
12/6/11 11:19	46.48	56.32	33.70	53.74	31.12	60.54	23.72		21.68
12/6/11 11:20	46.97	56.35	33.78	53.74	31.16	60.54	23.73		21.69
12/6/11 11:21	48.11	56.35	34.20	53.77	31.40	60.54	23.75		21.66
12/6/11 11:22	49.07	56.35	34.64	53.81	31.67	60.52	23.78		21.68
12/6/11 11:23	49.86	56.35	35.04	53.83	31.91	60.52	23.82		21.67
12/6/11 11:24	50.53	56.37	35.37	53.86	32.13	60.52	23.85		21.67
12/6/11 11:25	51.09	56.37	35.65	53.88	32.31	60.52	23.88		21.68
12/6/11 11:26	51.56	56.35	35.90	53.90	32.47	60.52	23.91		21.67
12/6/11 11:27	51.96	56.35	36.12	53.92	32.62	60.52	23.94		21.66
12/6/11 11:28	52.31	56.37	36.29	53.95	32.74	60.52	23.97		21.67
12/6/11 11:29	52.59	56.37	36.45	53.97	32.85	60.52	24.00		21.66
12/6/11 11:30	52.84	56.37	36.58	53.99	32.94	60.49	24.02		21.68
12/6/11 11:31	53.06	56.39	36.70	54.01	33.02	60.49	24.05		21.68
12/6/11 11:32	53.25	56.42	36.81	54.04	33.10	60.49	24.07		21.67
12/6/11 11:33	53.41	56.42	36.89	54.06	33.18	60.49	24.11		21.67
12/6/11 11:34	53.55	56.44	36.98	54.08	33.23	60.49	24.13		21.67
12/6/11 11:35	53.67	56.44	37.05	54.08	33.29	60.49	24.15		21.68
12/6/11 11:36	53.78	56.44	37.11	54.10	33.34	60.49	24.18		21.68
12/6/11 11:37	53.88	56.44	37.18	54.13	33.39	60.47	24.20		21.68
12/6/11 11:38	53.96	56.46	37.22	54.15	33.44	60.47	24.22		21.68
12/6/11 11:39	54.04	56.46	37.27	54.17	33.48	60.47	24.24		21.66
12/6/11 11:40	54.10	56.46	37.32	54.19	33.51	60.47	24.26		21.68
12/6/11 11:41	54.16	56.48	37.36	54.22	33.55	60.47	24.29		21.68
12/6/11 11:42	54.22	56.48	37.39	54.24	33.58	60.47	24.31		21.67
12/6/11 11:43	54.27	56.51	37.41	54.24	33.62	60.45	24.33		21.69
12/6/11 11:44	54.31	56.51	37.47	54.26	33.65	60.45	24.36		21.68
12/6/11 11:45	54.35	56.51	37.48	54.29	33.68	60.45	24.38		21.68
12/6/11 11:46	54.39	56.51	37.51	54.31	33.71	60.45	24.40		21.68
12/6/11 11:47	54.43	56.51	37.54	54.33	33.73	60.45	24.42		21.66
12/6/11 11:48	54.46	56.51	37.56	54.33	33.76	60.45	24.44		21.67
12/6/11 11:49	54.49	56.51	37.58	54.35	33.78	60.45	24.46		21.68
12/6/11 11:50	54.52	56.53	37.61	54.40	33.81	60.45	24.49		21.68
12/6/11 11:51	54.55	56.53	37.63	54.40	33.84	60.43	24.51		21.67

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/6/11 11:52	54.57	56.53	37.64	54.42	33.86	60.43	24.53		21.68
12/6/11 11:53	54.59	56.55	37.67	54.44	33.88	60.43	24.55		21.68
12/6/11 11:54	54.62	56.55	37.69	54.44	33.91	60.43	24.57		21.68
12/6/11 11:55	54.66	56.55	37.73	54.47	33.93	60.43	24.59		21.68
12/6/11 11:56	54.69	56.55	37.73	54.49	33.95	60.43	24.60		21.69
12/6/11 11:57	54.72	56.57	37.77	54.51	33.98	60.43	24.62		21.68
12/6/11 11:58	54.74	56.57	37.78	54.53	34.00	60.43	24.64		21.68
12/6/11 11:59	54.75	56.60	37.80	54.53	34.02	60.40	24.66		21.68
12/6/11 12:00	54.77	56.60	37.82	54.56	34.04	60.40	24.68		21.69
12/6/11 12:01	54.79	56.60	37.83	54.58	34.06	60.40	24.70		21.68
12/6/11 12:02	54.80	56.60	37.85	54.60	34.08	60.40	24.71		21.69
12/6/11 12:03	54.82	56.60	37.85	54.60	34.10	60.40	24.73		21.67
12/6/11 12:04	54.83	56.60	37.88	54.62	34.12	60.40	24.75		21.67
12/6/11 12:05	54.85	56.62	37.91	54.65	34.14	60.40	24.77		21.68
12/6/11 12:06	54.87	56.62	37.91	54.67	34.16	60.40	24.78		21.68
12/6/11 12:07	54.94	56.62	37.94	54.67	34.18	60.40	24.80		21.67
12/6/11 12:08	55.01	56.62	37.97	54.69	34.21	60.38	24.82		21.68
12/6/11 12:09	55.07	56.62	38.01	54.71	34.24	60.38	24.84		21.68
12/6/11 12:10	55.12	56.62	38.04	54.71	34.27	60.38	24.86		21.68
12/6/11 12:11	55.16	56.64	38.08	54.74	34.30	60.38	24.87		21.69
12/6/11 12:12	55.21	56.64	38.10	54.76	34.32	60.38	24.89		21.68
12/6/11 12:13	55.25	56.67	38.12	54.78	34.35	60.38	24.91		21.69
12/6/11 12:14	55.28	56.67	38.15	54.80	34.37	60.38	24.92		21.68
12/6/11 12:15	55.31	56.67	38.17	54.80	34.39	60.38	24.94		21.68
12/6/11 12:16	55.34	56.67	38.19	54.83	34.41	60.38	24.95		21.70
12/6/11 12:17	55.36	56.67	38.21	54.85	34.44	60.38	24.97		21.68
12/6/11 12:18	55.39	56.67	38.23	54.85	34.46	60.36	24.99		21.70
12/6/11 12:19	55.41	56.67	38.25	54.87	34.48	60.38	25.01		21.69
12/6/11 12:20	55.44	56.69	38.26	54.90	34.49	60.36	25.02		21.68
12/6/11 12:21	56.29	56.69	38.46	54.90	34.59	60.36	25.04		21.70
12/6/11 12:22	57.31	56.69	38.87	54.94	34.83	60.36	25.05		21.69
12/6/11 12:23	51.72	56.71	37.59	54.96	34.26	60.36	25.06		21.69
12/6/11 12:24	47.52	56.71	35.80	54.10	33.20	60.36	25.05		21.68
12/6/11 12:25	44.08	56.71	34.08	52.64	32.16	60.33	25.02		21.68
12/6/11 12:26	41.29	56.71	32.60	52.18	31.24	60.33	24.99		21.69
12/6/11 12:27	39.05	56.69	31.34	52.07	30.43	60.31	24.94		21.68
12/6/11 12:28	37.26	56.69	30.31	52.09	29.75	60.31	24.89		21.69
12/6/11 12:29	35.86	56.69	29.47	52.12	29.18	60.31	24.83		21.69
12/6/11 12:30	34.73	56.69	28.78	52.14	28.69	60.31	24.77		21.70
12/6/11 12:31	33.85	56.69	28.22	52.03	28.29	60.31	24.70		21.67
12/6/11 12:32	33.18	56.69	27.78	51.93	27.95	60.31	24.63		21.67
12/6/11 12:33	32.69	56.69	27.44	51.84	27.69	60.31	24.57		21.67
12/6/11 12:34	32.33	56.69	27.18	51.82	27.48	60.31	24.50		21.68
12/6/11 12:35	32.05	56.64	26.97	51.84	27.32	60.31	24.43		21.70
12/6/11 12:36	31.82	56.64	26.81	51.87	27.17	60.31	24.36		21.68
12/6/11 12:37	31.65	56.64	26.67	51.98	27.07	60.31	24.30		21.70
12/6/11 12:38	31.51	56.64	26.57	52.05	26.98	60.31	24.23		21.70
12/6/11 12:39	31.39	56.64	26.47	52.07	26.89	60.31	24.17		21.68
12/6/11 12:40	31.29	56.64	26.40	52.12	26.83	60.31	24.11		21.68
12/6/11 12:41	31.21	56.64	26.33	52.12	26.77	60.31	24.06		21.69
12/6/11 12:42	31.14	56.64	26.27	52.09	26.71	60.31	24.00		21.68
12/6/11 12:43	31.08	56.64	26.22	52.07	26.66	60.33	23.95		21.68
12/6/11 12:44	31.02	56.64	26.18	52.05	26.61	60.33	23.90		21.69
12/6/11 12:45	30.97	56.64	26.14	52.00	26.58	60.33	23.86		21.68
12/6/11 12:46	30.92	56.67	26.09	51.93	26.53	60.33	23.81		21.68
12/6/11 12:47	30.88	56.67	26.06	51.87	26.49	60.33	23.76		21.70
12/6/11 12:48	30.83	56.67	26.02	51.82	26.46	60.36	23.72		21.68
12/6/11 12:49	30.79	56.67	25.98	51.78	26.42	60.36	23.69		21.69
12/6/11 12:50	30.75	56.67	25.95	51.75	26.39	60.36	23.64		21.68
12/6/11 12:51	30.72	56.67	25.92	51.73	26.36	60.36	23.60		21.69
12/6/11 12:52	30.69	56.67	25.90	51.71	26.33	60.36	23.57		21.69
12/6/11 12:53	30.66	56.67	25.87	51.71	26.30	60.38	23.53		21.68

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collector No. 3 Job No. : 14606
Pre-Maintenance Test Transducer Data

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
12/6/11 12:54	30.63	56.67	25.84	51.69	26.27	60.38	23.50		21.69
12/6/11 12:55	30.60	56.67	25.81	51.66	26.24	60.38	23.46		21.70
12/6/11 12:56	30.57	56.67	25.79	51.66	26.22	60.38	23.43		21.70
12/6/11 12:57	30.54	56.67	25.77	51.64	26.19	60.40	23.40		21.70
12/6/11 12:58	30.51	56.67	25.75	51.62	26.16	60.40	23.37		21.71
12/6/11 12:59	30.49	56.67	25.72	51.60	26.14	60.40	23.35		21.71
12/6/11 13:00	30.46	56.67	25.70	51.57	26.12	60.40	23.32		21.71
12/6/11 13:05	30.35	56.67	25.60	51.53	26.00	60.43	23.20		21.69
12/6/11 13:10	30.20	56.64	25.37	51.48	25.88	60.45	23.10		21.69
12/6/11 13:15	29.99	56.64	25.11	51.51	25.72	60.45	23.00		21.70
12/6/11 13:20	29.82	56.64	24.94	51.48	25.60	60.47	22.91		21.68
12/6/11 13:25	29.70	56.64	24.80	51.44	25.49	60.49	22.82		21.69
12/6/11 13:30	29.58	56.64	24.69	51.39	25.39	60.52	22.74		21.70
12/6/11 13:35	29.48	56.64	24.58	51.37	25.31	60.52	22.67		21.68
12/6/11 13:40	29.40	56.64	24.49	51.39	25.22	60.54	22.60		21.69
12/6/11 13:45	29.31	56.64	24.40	51.39	25.14	60.56	22.53		21.70
12/6/11 13:50	29.24	56.64	24.32	51.39	25.08	60.58	22.47		21.69
12/6/11 13:55	29.17	56.64	24.24	51.35	25.01	60.58	22.41		21.70
12/6/11 14:00	29.10	56.62	24.18	51.35	24.95	60.61	22.35		21.68
12/6/11 15:00	28.53	56.62	23.58	51.48	24.38	60.72	21.79		21.71
12/6/11 16:00	28.20	56.60	23.24	51.69	24.04	60.79	21.44		21.69
12/6/11 17:00	27.98	56.57	23.02	51.80	23.81	60.85	21.23		21.70
12/6/11 18:00	28.15	56.55	23.40	51.96	23.81	60.90	21.11		21.70
12/6/11 19:00	28.35	56.53	23.68	51.98	23.89	60.90	21.12		21.71
12/6/11 20:00	28.39	56.53	23.75	51.96	23.88	60.85	21.10		21.69
12/6/11 21:00	28.42	56.51	23.81	51.96	23.87	60.90	21.08		21.67
12/6/11 22:00	28.44	56.51	23.86	51.93	23.87	60.88	21.06		21.67
12/6/11 23:00	28.30	56.48	23.76	51.89	23.62	60.94	20.99		21.68
12/7/11 0:00	28.24	56.46	23.73	51.87	23.52	60.92	20.92		21.69
12/7/11 1:00	28.20	56.46	23.73	51.87	23.44	60.94	20.86		21.68
12/7/11 2:00	28.04	56.44	23.48	51.82	23.31	60.88	20.80		21.70
12/7/11 3:00	27.65	56.42	22.99	51.75	23.05	60.92	20.66		21.70
12/7/11 4:00	27.43	56.42	22.71	51.73	22.88	60.94	20.54		21.68
12/7/11 5:00	27.28	56.42	22.53	51.73	22.76	61.01	20.45		21.68
12/7/11 6:00	27.17	56.39	22.41	51.75	22.67	61.01	20.37		21.67
12/7/11 7:00	27.08	56.37	22.30	51.75	22.59	61.03	20.30		21.66
12/7/11 7:57			22.22	51.78			20.25		21.66
12/7/11 8:00			22.22	51.78			20.25		21.68
12/7/11 9:00									21.69
12/7/11 10:00									21.64
12/7/11 11:00									21.65
12/7/11 12:00									21.66

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test

Flow Meter: Water Specialties Model ML20-D digital flow meter manufactured by McCrometer, Inc

Date/Time	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Totalizer Reading (10000 gal)	Meter Rate (gpm)	Amount Pumped (gallons)	Average Pumping Rate (gpm)	Comments
12/6/11 8:50	0	0	16	3500	0		Start Step 1
12/6/11 8:52	2	2	17	3030	10000	5000	
12/6/11 8:55	5	5	18	3040	20000	4000	
12/6/11 9:00	10	10	19	2970	30000	3000	
12/6/11 9:05	15	15	21	2956	50000	3333	
12/6/11 9:10	20	20	22	2990	60000	3000	
12/6/11 9:15	25	25	24	2974	80000	3200	
12/6/11 9:20	30	30	25	2986	90000	3000	
12/6/11 9:25	35	35	27	2916	110000	3143	
12/6/11 9:30	40	40	28	2946	120000	3000	
12/6/11 9:35	45	45	30	2967	140000	3111	
12/6/11 9:40	50	50	31	2963	150000	3000	
12/6/11 9:45	55	55	33	2934	170000	3091	Ave. for step = 3000 gpm
12/6/11 9:50	60	60	34	2974	180000	3000	Start Step 2
12/6/11 9:55	65	5	36	4478	200000	4000	
12/6/11 10:00	70	10	39	4499	230000	5000	
12/6/11 10:05	75	15	41	4452	250000	4667	
12/6/11 10:10	80	20	43	4458	270000	4500	
12/6/11 10:15	85	25	45	4428	290000	4400	
12/6/11 10:20	90	30	48	4387	320000	4667	
12/6/11 10:25	95	35	50	4472	340000	4571	
12/6/11 10:30	100	40	52	4432	360000	4500	
12/6/11 10:35	105	45	54	4478	380000	4444	
12/6/11 10:40	110	50	56	4433	400000	4400	
12/6/11 10:45	115	55	59	4462	430000	4545	
12/6/11 10:50	120	60	61	4412	450000	4500	
12/6/11 10:55	125	65	63	4413	470000	4462	
12/6/11 11:00	130	70	65	4427	490000	4429	
12/6/11 11:05	135	75	68	4478	520000	4533	
12/6/11 11:10	140	80	70	4477	540000	4500	
12/6/11 11:15	145	85	72	4446	560000	4471	Ave. for step = 4470 gpm
12/6/11 11:20	150	90	74	5916	580000	4444	Start Step 3
12/6/11 11:25	155	5	77	5906	610000	6000	
12/6/11 11:30	160	10	80	5885	640000	6000	
12/6/11 11:35	165	15	83	5914	670000	6000	
12/6/11 11:40	170	20	86	5911	700000	6000	
12/6/11 11:45	175	25	89	5908	730000	6000	
12/6/11 11:50	180	30	92	5928	760000	6000	
12/6/11 11:55	185	35	95	5886	790000	6000	
12/6/11 12:00	190	40	98	5919	820000	6000	
12/6/11 12:05	195	45	101	5882	850000	6000	
12/6/11 12:10	200	50	104	5975	880000	6000	
12/6/11 12:15	205	55	107	5884	910000	6000	Ave. for step = 6000 gpm
12/6/11 12:20	210	60	110	5997	940000	6000	
12/6/11 12:22	212	2	111	7300	950000		

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top edge of hatch frame in intermediate floor, 0.5 feet above floor
Measuring Point Elevation: 53.50

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
12/5/2011 15:21						
12/5/2011 15:42	28.13				25.37	
12/5/2011 15:52						
12/5/2011 15:57						
12/5/2011 16:00						
12/5/2011 16:01	33.00				20.50	
12/6/2011 7:46	27.37				26.13	
12/6/2011 7:54	27.44				26.06	
12/6/2011 8:47	27.59				25.91	
12/6/2011 8:50		0	0			Start Step 1
12/6/2011 8:51	30.50	1	1	2.91	23.00	
12/6/2011 8:52	31.35	2	2	3.76	22.15	
12/6/2011 9:12	37.69	22	22	10.10	15.81	
12/6/2011 9:23	38.34	33	33	10.75	15.16	
12/6/2011 9:47	38.31	57	57	10.72	15.19	
12/6/2011 9:50		60	0			Start Step 2
12/6/2011 9:54	42.25	64	4	14.66	11.25	
12/6/2011 9:58	43.77	68	8	16.18	9.73	
12/6/2011 10:24	45.79	94	34	18.20	7.71	
12/6/2011 11:02	46.33	132	72	18.74	7.17	
12/6/2011 11:20		150	0			Start Step 3
12/6/2011 11:23	49.95	153	3	22.36	3.55	
12/6/2011 11:43	54.32	173	23	26.73	-0.82	
12/6/2011 11:52	54.60	182	32	27.01	-1.10	
12/6/2011 12:11	54.22	201	51	26.63	-0.72	
12/6/2011 12:20		210	60			Increased Rate
12/6/2011 12:22		212				Start Recovery
12/6/2011 12:33	32.73	223		5.14	20.77	
12/6/2011 14:05	29.02	315		1.43	24.48	
12/6/2011 14:41	28.45	351		0.86	25.05	
12/6/2011 15:57	28.20	427		0.61	25.30	
12/7/2011 7:51	27.01	1381		-0.58	26.49	

Well ID: MW-1 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 186 feet east of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of protective casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 49.25 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
12/5/2011 14:55	23.71				25.54	
12/5/2011 16:23	23.81				25.44	
12/6/2011 7:40	22.62				26.63	
12/6/2011 8:04	22.87				26.38	
12/6/2011 8:37	22.97				26.28	
12/6/2011 8:50		0				Start Step 1
12/6/2011 9:09	27.41	19		4.44	21.84	
12/6/2011 9:26	27.62	36		4.65	21.63	
12/6/2011 9:50		60				Start Step 2
12/6/2011 10:15	29.12	85		6.15	20.13	
12/6/2011 11:12	30.14	142		7.17	19.11	
12/6/2011 11:20		150				Start Step 3
12/6/2011 11:38	30.81	168		7.84	18.44	
12/6/2011 12:09	31.97	199		9.00	17.28	
12/6/2011 12:20		210				Increased Rate
12/6/2011 12:22		212				Pumps off
12/6/2011 14:00	24.26	310		1.29	24.99	
12/6/2011 14:19	24.03	329		1.06	25.22	
12/7/2011 8:44	22.72	1434		-0.25	26.53	
12/7/2011 11:09	23.40	1579		0.43	25.85	

Well ID: MW-7 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 221 feet west of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 48.85 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
12/5/2011 14:40	23.67				25.18	
12/5/2011 15:21	23.50				25.35	
12/6/2011 7:33	22.68				26.17	
12/6/2011 8:17	22.95				25.90	
12/6/2011 8:33	22.95				25.90	
12/6/2011 8:50		0				Start Step 1
12/6/2011 9:04	26.50	14		3.55	22.35	
12/6/2011 9:30	27.34	40		4.39	21.51	
12/6/2011 9:50		60				Start Step 2
12/6/2011 10:12	30.03	82		7.08	18.82	
12/6/2011 11:15	31.07	145		8.12	17.78	
12/6/2011 11:20		150				Start Step 3
12/6/2011 11:29	32.85	159		9.90	16.00	
12/6/2011 11:58	34.00	188		11.05	14.85	
12/6/2011 12:20		210				Increased Rate
12/6/2011 12:22		212				Pumps off
12/6/2011 13:56	24.99	306		2.04	23.86	
12/6/2011 14:25	24.68	335		1.73	24.17	
12/7/2011 7:38	22.57	1368		-0.38	26.28	
12/7/2011 10:54	23.41	1564		0.46	25.44	

Well ID: MW-2 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 29.5 feet west of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 46.26 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
12/6/2011 7:37	20.37				25.89	
12/6/2011 8:06	20.44				25.82	
12/6/2011 8:50		0				Start Step 1
12/6/2011 9:02	21.18	12		0.74	25.08	
12/6/2011 9:36	21.86	46		1.42	24.40	
12/6/2011 9:50		60				Start Step 2
12/6/2011 10:06	22.53	76		2.09	23.73	
12/6/2011 11:20		150				Start Step 3
12/6/2011 11:27	23.93	157		3.49	22.33	
12/6/2011 11:56	24.58	186		4.14	21.68	
12/6/2011 12:20		210				Increased Rate
12/6/2011 12:22		212				Pumps off
12/6/2011 13:54	22.41	304		1.97	23.85	
12/6/2011 14:22	22.11	332		1.67	24.15	
12/7/2011 8:32	20.24	1422		-0.20	26.02	
12/7/2011 10:38	20.51	1548		0.07	25.75	

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Pre-maintenance pumping test
Sampling Point: Tap at turbidity meter

Date/Time	Thermometer Temperature (degrees F)	Meter Temperature (degrees F)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	pH (S.U.)	Comments
12/6/2011 8:50					Start of Pumping Test
12/6/2011 9:20	56.2	57.6	180	7.9	
12/6/2011 9:49	55.4	56.6	190	7.3	
12/6/2011 11:05	56.9	56.3	170	7.6	
12/6/2011 11:49	57.2	56.6	190	7.8	
12/6/2011 12:14	57.5	56.6	180	7.8	
12/6/2011 12:22					Pumps off

APPENDIX C
POST-MAINTENANCE TESTING DATA

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collector No. 3 Job No. : 14606
Post-Maintenance Test Transducer Data

Date/Time	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/2/12 0:01									23.36
5/2/12 1:00									23.36
5/2/12 2:00									23.36
5/2/12 3:00									23.37
5/2/12 4:00									23.40
5/2/12 5:00									23.40
5/2/12 6:00									23.40
5/2/12 7:00									23.38
5/2/12 8:00									23.34
5/2/12 9:00									23.32
5/2/12 10:00									23.30
5/2/12 11:00									23.31
5/2/12 12:00									23.31
5/2/12 13:00									23.31
5/2/12 14:00									23.32
5/2/12 15:00									23.37
5/2/12 16:00	25.27	50.11							23.38
5/2/12 16:20	25.27	50.14			20.95	50.16			23.38
5/2/12 16:40	25.26	50.15	20.52	49.60	20.95	50.19			23.38
5/2/12 17:00	25.26	50.16	20.52	49.60	20.95	50.18			23.38
5/2/12 17:20	25.25	50.17	20.52	49.60	20.92	50.13	18.67	51.39	23.38
5/2/12 18:00	25.22	50.19	20.52	49.60	20.91	50.13	18.65	50.09	23.37
5/2/12 19:00	25.75	50.23	21.23	49.58	21.35	50.10	18.81	50.06	23.38
5/2/12 20:00	25.87	50.30	21.35	49.58	21.48	50.02	18.89	50.09	23.38
5/2/12 21:00	25.94	50.34	21.42	49.58	21.53	50.09	18.93	50.11	23.36
5/2/12 22:00	25.97	50.39	21.48	49.58	21.57	50.08	18.95	50.11	23.35
5/2/12 23:00	25.99	50.42	21.51	49.60	21.61	49.96	18.99	50.12	23.33
5/3/12 0:00	26.03	50.48	21.55	49.60	21.64	50.04	19.01	50.12	23.31
5/3/12 1:00	26.07	50.49	21.58	49.60	21.67	50.04	19.04	50.13	23.33
5/3/12 2:00	25.94	50.54	21.50	49.60	21.47	50.00	19.01	50.15	23.35
5/3/12 3:00	25.92	50.54	21.48	49.60	21.43	50.00	18.98	50.16	23.36
5/3/12 4:00	26.08	50.56	21.61	49.63	21.66	49.90	19.05	50.17	23.36
5/3/12 5:00	26.11	50.58	21.65	49.63	21.70	49.91	19.07	50.18	23.35
5/3/12 6:00	26.14	50.61	21.66	49.63	21.72	49.95	19.08	50.20	23.35
5/3/12 7:00	26.14	50.62	21.68	49.63	21.74	49.86	19.08	50.22	23.32
5/3/12 8:00	26.15	50.64	21.68	49.65	21.75	49.87	19.11	50.12	23.29
5/3/12 8:05	26.15	50.64	21.69	49.65	21.75	49.89	19.11	50.12	23.27
5/3/12 8:10	26.16	50.64	21.69	49.63	21.76	49.94	19.11	50.13	23.28
5/3/12 8:15	26.15	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.75	49.95	19.11	50.13	23.26
5/3/12 8:20	26.15	50.64	21.69	49.63	21.75	49.96	19.12	50.13	23.27
5/3/12 8:25	26.16	50.64	21.69	49.63	21.75	49.94	19.12	50.13	23.25
5/3/12 8:30	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.78	49.93	19.12	50.13	23.26
5/3/12 8:35	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.96	19.12	50.13	23.24
5/3/12 8:40	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.63	21.77	49.91	19.11	50.13	23.24
5/3/12 8:45	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.75	49.88	19.12	50.14	23.27
5/3/12 8:50	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.95	19.12	50.15	23.25
5/3/12 8:51	26.16	50.63	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.94	19.11	50.15	23.25
5/3/12 8:52	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.63	21.76	49.93	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 8:53	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.63	21.76	49.91	19.13	50.15	23.25
5/3/12 8:54	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.78	49.92	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 8:55	26.16	50.63	21.70	49.65	21.78	49.90	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 8:56	26.17	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.88	19.13	50.16	23.24
5/3/12 8:57	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.91	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 8:58	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.92	19.13	50.15	23.27
5/3/12 8:59	26.16	50.64	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.94	19.12	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 9:00		50.65	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.95	19.12	50.15	23.26
5/3/12 9:01	26.40	50.73	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.93	19.12	50.15	23.25
5/3/12 9:02	26.37	50.72	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.93	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 9:03	26.36	50.70	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.93	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 9:04	26.38	50.69	21.70	49.63	21.77	49.94	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 9:05	26.37	50.69	21.70	49.65	21.76	49.94	19.13	50.15	23.24
5/3/12 9:06	26.37	50.67	21.70	49.65	21.77	49.92	19.12	50.15	23.24

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 9:07	26.76	50.68	21.78	49.65	21.80	49.93	19.11	50.16	23.23
5/3/12 9:08	31.95	50.67	24.53	49.65	23.78	49.94	19.44	50.14	23.24
5/3/12 9:09	32.55	50.65	25.80	49.67	24.82	49.89	19.65	50.12	23.24
5/3/12 9:10	32.23	50.63	25.61	49.69	24.76	49.85	19.69	50.17	23.26
5/3/12 9:11	32.55	50.61	25.77	49.72	24.90	49.83	19.74	50.19	23.24
5/3/12 9:12	32.73	50.57	25.98	49.72	25.03	49.81	19.78	50.20	23.24
5/3/12 9:13	32.99	50.55	26.27	49.72	25.14	49.79	19.82	50.22	23.25
5/3/12 9:14	33.07	50.50	26.43	49.72	25.23	49.77	19.86	50.23	23.24
5/3/12 9:15	33.17	50.49	26.55	49.74	25.32	49.76	19.90	50.24	23.24
5/3/12 9:16	33.26	50.45	26.60	49.74	25.39	49.77	19.93	50.24	23.25
5/3/12 9:17	33.38	50.45	26.67	49.76	25.44	49.76	19.96	50.26	23.24
5/3/12 9:18	33.44	50.42	26.72	49.79	25.49	49.75	20.00	50.27	23.24
5/3/12 9:19	33.48	50.40	26.77	49.79	25.53	49.75	20.02	50.25	23.23
5/3/12 9:20	33.58	50.37	26.81	49.79	25.57	49.74	20.06	50.26	23.24
5/3/12 9:21	33.62	50.35	26.84	49.76	25.60	49.75	20.09	50.27	23.25
5/3/12 9:22	33.55	50.34	26.87	49.72	25.63	49.74	20.10	50.26	23.24
5/3/12 9:23	33.62	50.33	26.90	49.67	25.67	49.73	20.10	50.26	23.24
5/3/12 9:24	33.63	50.35	26.92	49.60	25.69	49.73	20.14	50.26	23.23
5/3/12 9:25	33.73	50.31	26.95	49.58	25.71	49.72	20.16	50.25	23.23
5/3/12 9:26	33.70	50.27	26.97	49.58	25.73	49.72	20.19	50.25	23.24
5/3/12 9:27	33.78	50.28	26.99	49.58	25.75	49.74	20.21	50.25	23.24
5/3/12 9:28	33.78	50.26	27.00	49.60	25.75	49.74	20.24	50.25	23.21
5/3/12 9:29	33.76	50.21	27.02	49.63	25.78	49.74	20.25	50.26	23.23
5/3/12 9:30	33.80	50.21	27.03	49.65	25.79	49.74	20.27	50.26	23.24
5/3/12 9:31	33.80	50.16	27.05	49.65	25.82	49.75	20.29	50.25	23.24
5/3/12 9:32	33.84	50.08	27.06	49.67	25.82	49.75	20.31	50.27	23.24
5/3/12 9:33	33.84	50.04	27.08	49.67	25.85	49.76	20.32	50.26	23.22
5/3/12 9:34	33.85	50.02	27.09	49.67	25.86	49.76	20.34	50.26	23.23
5/3/12 9:35	33.87	49.98	27.10	49.67	25.87	49.79	20.35	50.27	23.23
5/3/12 9:36	33.92	49.98	27.11	49.67	25.87	49.79	20.38	50.27	23.22
5/3/12 9:37	33.92	49.98	27.12	49.67	25.90	49.79	20.41	50.28	23.22
5/3/12 9:38	33.99	49.95	27.13	49.67	25.92	49.78	20.42	50.29	23.23
5/3/12 9:39	33.86	49.89	27.15	49.67	25.91	49.79	20.45	50.30	23.24
5/3/12 9:40	33.91	49.92	27.15	49.67	25.92	49.81	20.45	50.31	23.24
5/3/12 9:41	33.90	49.91	27.17	49.67	25.93	49.81	20.47	50.32	23.22
5/3/12 9:42	33.97	49.89	27.17	49.69	25.94	49.81	20.47	50.32	23.23
5/3/12 9:43	33.99	49.87	27.17	49.69	25.97	49.81	20.49	50.32	23.23
5/3/12 9:44	33.92	49.89	27.19	49.69	25.97	49.81	20.50	50.33	23.23
5/3/12 9:45	33.98	49.87	27.21	49.69	25.98	49.80	20.51	50.34	23.23
5/3/12 9:46	34.00	49.87	27.21	49.69	25.99	49.80	20.56	50.36	23.24
5/3/12 9:47	34.01	49.85	27.21	49.69	26.01	49.78	20.55	50.36	23.24
5/3/12 9:48	34.00	49.86	27.22	49.69	26.01	49.76	20.57	50.39	23.24
5/3/12 9:49	34.03	49.85	27.22	49.69	26.02	49.76	20.59	50.41	23.23
5/3/12 9:50	34.00	49.85	27.24	49.69	26.03	49.75	20.59	50.42	23.22
5/3/12 9:51	34.02	49.78	27.24	49.69	26.05	49.75	20.61	50.43	23.24
5/3/12 9:52	34.04	49.73	27.25	49.69	26.04	49.76	20.61	50.43	23.24
5/3/12 9:53	34.07	49.73	27.26	49.67	26.06	49.76	20.62	50.44	23.21
5/3/12 9:54	34.07	49.73	27.26	49.67	26.06	49.77	20.64	50.45	23.22
5/3/12 9:55	34.05	49.72	27.27	49.67	26.06	49.78	20.65	50.45	23.23
5/3/12 9:56	34.04	49.67	27.28	49.69	26.08	49.77	20.66	50.45	23.24
5/3/12 9:57	34.13	49.65	27.28	49.69	26.09	49.77	20.68	50.43	23.23
5/3/12 9:58	34.04	49.67	27.29	49.67	26.10	49.78	20.69	50.41	23.24
5/3/12 9:59	34.13	49.66	27.29	49.69	26.11	49.79	20.70	50.37	23.23
5/3/12 10:00	34.12	49.62	27.30	49.67	26.11	49.79	20.71	50.33	23.23
5/3/12 10:01	34.04	49.60	27.31	49.67	26.12	49.79	20.72	50.30	23.23
5/3/12 10:02	34.08	49.57	27.31	49.65	26.13	49.79	20.73	50.25	23.24
5/3/12 10:03	34.15	49.57	27.32	49.67	26.14	49.78	20.75	50.21	23.23
5/3/12 10:04	34.10	49.60	27.33	49.67	26.15	49.78	20.76	50.20	23.24
5/3/12 10:05	34.16	49.62	27.34	49.67	26.15	49.78	20.77	50.20	23.24
5/3/12 10:06	34.10	49.63	27.35	49.69	26.15	49.77	20.78	50.18	23.21
5/3/12 10:07	34.16	49.67	27.35	49.67	26.17	49.75	20.80	50.17	23.23
5/3/12 10:08	34.62	49.67	27.51	49.65	26.26	49.75	20.80	50.18	23.22

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 10:09	35.53	49.70	28.09	49.65	26.66	49.74	20.87	50.22	23.22
5/3/12 10:10	36.20	49.73	28.57	49.60	26.99	49.73	20.94	50.30	23.24
5/3/12 10:11	36.68	49.76	28.93	49.67	27.24	49.73	21.00	50.39	23.23
5/3/12 10:12	37.06	49.74	29.22	49.74	27.45	49.73	21.05	50.47	23.23
5/3/12 10:13	37.36	49.71	29.44	49.76	27.62	49.72	21.08	50.49	23.21
5/3/12 10:14	37.60	49.68	29.63	49.72	27.76	49.72	21.13	50.45	23.22
5/3/12 10:15	37.80	49.62	29.77	49.67	27.87	49.72	21.17	50.39	23.23
5/3/12 10:16	37.96	49.56	29.89	49.63	27.95	49.72	21.23	50.34	23.22
5/3/12 10:17	38.07	49.51	29.99	49.58	28.05	49.73	21.24	50.30	23.24
5/3/12 10:18	38.35	49.49	30.11	49.56	28.14	49.73	21.28	50.27	23.21
5/3/12 10:19	38.93	49.47	30.39	49.51	28.31	49.74	21.32	50.25	23.22
5/3/12 10:20	40.52	49.46	31.24	49.54	28.83	49.73	21.40	50.22	23.23
5/3/12 10:21	41.69	49.49	32.12	49.60	29.44	49.73	21.39	50.20	23.21
5/3/12 10:22	40.85	49.54	32.02	49.63	29.48	49.73	21.39	50.19	23.22
5/3/12 10:23	40.36	49.50	31.66	49.60	29.26	49.73	21.40	50.17	23.22
5/3/12 10:24	39.95	49.42	31.37	49.54	29.10	49.73	21.39	50.16	23.23
5/3/12 10:25	39.66	49.38	31.19	49.47	28.96	49.74	21.39	50.15	23.21
5/3/12 10:26	39.55	49.36	31.07	49.42	28.89	49.74	21.40	50.15	23.22
5/3/12 10:27	39.44	49.35	30.99	49.38	28.85	49.74	21.40	50.14	23.21
5/3/12 10:28	39.33	49.33	30.94	49.38	28.81	49.73	21.41	50.13	23.23
5/3/12 10:29	39.27	49.32	30.89	49.36	28.79	49.73	21.39	50.13	23.22
5/3/12 10:30	39.24	49.31	30.85	49.33	28.78	49.73	21.40	50.12	23.21
5/3/12 10:31	39.20	49.30	30.83	49.29	28.77	49.73	21.39	50.12	23.23
5/3/12 10:32	39.17	49.26	30.82	49.29	28.77	49.73	21.39	50.11	23.23
5/3/12 10:33	39.16	49.25	30.81	49.26	28.76	49.72	21.40	50.11	23.23
5/3/12 10:34	39.14	49.24	30.81	49.26	28.76	49.73	21.39	50.11	23.22
5/3/12 10:35	39.16	49.24	30.80	49.26	28.76	49.73	21.40	50.11	23.21
5/3/12 10:36	39.09	49.25	30.79	49.24	28.76	49.74	21.39	50.11	23.22
5/3/12 10:37	39.06	49.22	30.78	49.22	28.76	49.73	21.40	50.11	23.23
5/3/12 10:38	39.11	49.21	30.77	49.22	28.78	49.72	21.39	50.12	23.22
5/3/12 10:39	39.08	49.19	30.77	49.24	28.77	49.72	21.39	50.11	23.22
5/3/12 10:40	39.05	49.19	30.77	49.22	28.76	49.73	21.39	50.11	23.21
5/3/12 10:41	39.11	49.21	30.78	49.22	28.77	49.72	21.38	50.11	23.21
5/3/12 10:42	39.05	49.21	30.79	49.24	28.79	49.72	21.40	50.11	23.21
5/3/12 10:43	39.11	49.21	30.79	49.22	28.79	49.72	21.39	50.10	23.21
5/3/12 10:44	39.10	49.20	30.80	49.24	28.81	49.73	21.38	50.10	23.21
5/3/12 10:45	39.11	49.20	30.80	49.26	28.82	49.72	21.39	50.10	23.21
5/3/12 10:46	39.15	49.20	30.81	49.26	28.82	49.72	21.40	50.10	23.21
5/3/12 10:47	39.11	49.20	30.81	49.24	28.83	49.72	21.38	50.09	23.21
5/3/12 10:48	39.15	49.19	30.82	49.26	28.84	49.72	21.39	50.10	23.21
5/3/12 10:49	39.17	49.18	30.83	49.24	28.86	49.72	21.39	50.09	23.21
5/3/12 10:50	39.20	49.19	30.84	49.24	28.86	49.72	21.39	50.09	23.21
5/3/12 10:51	39.14	49.18	30.85	49.22	28.88	49.71	21.40	50.09	23.22
5/3/12 10:52	39.16	49.18	30.86	49.22	28.89	49.71	21.39	50.08	23.21
5/3/12 10:53	39.17	49.18	30.87	49.22	28.91	49.71	21.39	50.08	23.22
5/3/12 10:54	39.20	49.17	30.87	49.24	28.91	49.70	21.38	50.08	23.21
5/3/12 10:55	39.21	49.15	30.88	49.24	28.92	49.71	21.39	50.08	23.21
5/3/12 10:56	39.20	49.13	30.89	49.24	28.92	49.70	21.40	50.08	23.21
5/3/12 10:57	39.24	49.13	30.90	49.24	28.93	49.70	21.40	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 10:58	39.28	49.14	30.90	49.26	28.91	49.70	21.39	50.07	23.22
5/3/12 10:59	39.22	49.16	30.91	49.31	28.90	49.70	21.39	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:00	39.22	49.14	30.91	49.31	28.88	49.69	21.40	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:01	39.26	49.13	30.91	49.31	28.88	49.69	21.41	50.07	23.20
5/3/12 11:02	39.24	49.11	30.90	49.31	28.88	49.70	21.41	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:03	39.23	49.12	30.91	49.31	28.88	49.70	21.39	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:04	39.20	49.12	30.90	49.31	28.87	49.69	21.40	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:05	39.17	49.12	30.91	49.29	28.86	49.69	21.39	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:06	39.23	49.11	30.90	49.29	28.88	49.69	21.40	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:07	39.22	49.11	30.91	49.29	28.86	49.68	21.40	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:08	39.35	49.11	30.95	49.29	28.90	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:09	40.21	49.10	31.35	49.29	29.11	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.20
5/3/12 11:10	41.01	49.11	31.89	49.49	29.47	49.67	21.40	50.07	23.20

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 11:11	41.61	49.11	32.32	49.67	29.78	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:12	42.10	49.11	32.67	49.74	30.01	49.68	21.40	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:13	42.47	49.10	32.95	49.76	30.21	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.20
5/3/12 11:14	42.78	49.09	33.18	49.79	30.38	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:15	43.03	49.08	33.36	49.79	30.50	49.68	21.38	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:16	43.26	49.09	33.50	49.81	30.63	49.69	21.39	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:17	43.41	49.09	33.62	49.81	30.71	49.68	21.38	50.05	23.19
5/3/12 11:18	43.52	49.08	33.73	49.81	30.79	49.69	21.40	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:19	43.63	49.08	33.81	49.83	30.85	49.69	21.38	50.06	23.20
5/3/12 11:20	43.75	49.08	33.89	49.83	30.91	49.68	21.41	50.05	23.20
5/3/12 11:21	43.84	49.09	33.95	49.83	30.96	49.68	21.38	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:22	43.90	49.10	34.00	49.83	31.01	49.68	21.39	50.06	23.21
5/3/12 11:23	43.95	49.10	34.07	49.85	31.05	49.69	21.38	50.05	23.18
5/3/12 11:24	44.01	49.09	34.10	49.85	31.08	49.68	21.40	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:25	44.04	49.09	34.14	49.85	31.12	49.68	21.39	50.05	23.20
5/3/12 11:26	44.07	49.08	34.18	49.85	31.14	49.67	21.38	50.04	23.19
5/3/12 11:27	44.10	49.08	34.21	49.88	31.18	49.67	21.39	50.05	23.19
5/3/12 11:28	44.14	49.08	34.24	49.88	31.20	49.67	21.38	50.04	23.22
5/3/12 11:29	44.19	49.07	34.27	49.88	31.21	49.66	21.39	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:30	44.22	49.08	34.28	49.90	31.24	49.66	21.38	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:31	44.22	49.08	34.30	49.90	31.26	49.65	21.39	50.04	23.19
5/3/12 11:32	44.23	49.08	34.32	49.90	31.29	49.64	21.39	50.05	23.18
5/3/12 11:33	44.27	49.09	34.34	49.90	31.30	49.64	21.39	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:34	44.31	49.09	34.34	49.92	31.33	49.63	21.38	50.07	23.19
5/3/12 11:35	44.34	49.08	34.36	49.92	31.34	49.62	21.39	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:36	44.32	49.09	34.37	49.92	31.35	49.62	21.39	50.07	23.19
5/3/12 11:37	44.34	49.07	34.39	49.92	31.38	49.61	21.39	50.07	23.21
5/3/12 11:38	44.36	49.07	34.40	49.94	31.38	49.60	21.39	50.07	23.18
5/3/12 11:39	44.38	49.06	34.42	49.94	31.40	49.60	21.39	50.07	23.19
5/3/12 11:40	44.38	49.06	34.43	49.94	31.42	49.59	21.39	50.07	23.19
5/3/12 11:41	44.41	49.05	34.45	49.97	31.44	49.59	21.38	50.07	23.19
5/3/12 11:42	44.44	49.05	34.46	49.97	31.45	49.59	21.39	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:43	44.46	49.07	34.47	49.97	31.46	49.58	21.39	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:44	44.45	49.04	34.47	49.99	31.47	49.58	21.38	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:45	44.46	49.04	34.49	49.99	31.49	49.57	21.38	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:46	44.47	49.05	34.50	49.99	31.50	49.57	21.39	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:47	44.50	49.06	34.51	49.99	31.51	49.57	21.39	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:48	44.51	49.06	34.52	50.01	31.53	49.56	21.39	50.06	23.18
5/3/12 11:49	44.53	49.05	34.52	50.01	31.54	49.56	21.38	50.06	23.19
5/3/12 11:50	44.54	49.05	34.54	50.01	31.55	49.55	21.39	50.05	23.19
5/3/12 11:51	44.56	49.06	34.53	50.03	31.56	49.55	21.38	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:52	44.55	49.07	34.55	50.03	31.57	49.55	21.37	50.05	23.20
5/3/12 11:53	44.57	49.07	34.57	50.03	31.59	49.55	21.39	50.05	23.18
5/3/12 11:54	44.58	49.07	34.57	50.03	31.59	49.55	21.38	50.05	23.21
5/3/12 11:55	44.58	49.08	34.57	50.06	31.61	49.55	21.38	50.05	23.19
5/3/12 11:56	44.61	49.10	34.58	50.06	31.62	49.55	21.38	50.05	23.19
5/3/12 11:57	44.61	49.11	34.60	50.06	31.63	49.55	21.38	50.05	23.18
5/3/12 11:58	44.62	49.11	34.60	50.06	31.65	49.54	21.38	50.04	23.19
5/3/12 11:59	44.64	49.11	34.62	50.08	31.65	49.53	21.39	50.04	23.21
5/3/12 12:00	44.63	49.10	34.62	50.08	31.67	49.53	21.39	50.04	23.18
5/3/12 12:01	44.65	49.09	34.60	50.08	31.68	49.53	21.39	50.04	23.19
5/3/12 12:02	44.65	49.07	34.55	50.10	31.68	49.53	21.39	50.05	23.20
5/3/12 12:03	44.64	49.06	34.48	50.10	31.69	49.53	21.39	50.04	23.20
5/3/12 12:04	44.64	49.08	34.44	50.10	31.68	49.53	21.38	50.04	23.19
5/3/12 12:05	44.61	49.08	34.38	50.12	31.68	49.53	21.39	50.04	23.22
5/3/12 12:06	44.58	49.07	34.35	50.12	31.68	49.52	21.38	50.03	23.19
5/3/12 12:07	44.58	49.06	34.30	50.12	31.68	49.52	21.38	50.04	23.20
5/3/12 12:08	45.01	49.05	34.43	50.15	31.75	49.52	21.39	50.04	23.18
5/3/12 12:09	45.91	49.05	34.91	50.15	32.06	49.52	21.37	50.03	23.20
5/3/12 12:10	46.61	49.03	35.35	50.15	32.37	49.51	21.39	50.03	23.20
5/3/12 12:11	47.15	49.02	35.73	50.15	32.64	49.51	21.38	50.02	23.21
5/3/12 12:12	47.58	49.02	36.02	50.17	32.84	49.51	21.39	50.03	23.19

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 12:13	47.92	49.02	36.26	50.17	33.03	49.51	21.40	50.03	23.21
5/3/12 12:14	48.21	49.02	36.45	50.17	33.17	49.52	21.38	50.03	23.19
5/3/12 12:15	48.45	49.01	36.61	50.19	33.30	49.53	21.41	50.02	23.21
5/3/12 12:16	48.65	49.01	36.74	50.19	33.41	49.54	21.39	50.02	23.19
5/3/12 12:17	48.81	49.02	36.86	50.21	33.51	49.54	21.39	50.02	23.20
5/3/12 12:18	48.94	49.02	36.97	50.21	33.59	49.54	21.38	50.02	23.20
5/3/12 12:19	49.06	49.03	37.03	50.24	33.65	49.54	21.39	50.01	23.21
5/3/12 12:20	49.15	49.03	37.10	50.24	33.71	49.55	21.40	50.01	23.20
5/3/12 12:21	49.23	49.04	37.17	50.26	33.76	49.54	21.39	50.01	23.20
5/3/12 12:22	49.31	49.03	37.22	50.26	33.82	49.54	21.40	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:23	49.37	49.03	37.27	50.26	33.85	49.55	21.39	50.00	23.20
5/3/12 12:24	49.41	49.02	37.31	50.28	33.90	49.54	21.41	49.99	23.20
5/3/12 12:25	49.46	49.03	37.36	50.28	33.94	49.54	21.39	49.99	23.21
5/3/12 12:26	49.52	49.01	37.39	50.31	33.97	49.54	21.40	49.99	23.21
5/3/12 12:27	49.55	49.01	37.41	50.31	33.98	49.55	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 12:28	49.59	48.99	37.44	50.33	34.02	49.54	21.39	49.98	23.22
5/3/12 12:29	49.63	48.98	37.46	50.33	34.05	49.55	21.40	49.98	23.22
5/3/12 12:30	49.65	48.99	37.49	50.35	34.06	49.54	21.39	49.98	23.22
5/3/12 12:31	49.66	48.98	37.51	50.35	34.09	49.54	21.40	49.97	23.22
5/3/12 12:32	49.68	48.99	37.53	50.35	34.11	49.54	21.38	49.97	23.21
5/3/12 12:33	49.72	49.00	37.54	50.37	34.14	49.54	21.39	49.97	23.22
5/3/12 12:34	49.74	49.02	37.57	50.37	34.15	49.54	21.40	49.97	23.20
5/3/12 12:35	49.75	49.02	37.58	50.40	34.16	49.53	21.39	49.99	23.23
5/3/12 12:36	49.77	49.01	37.59	50.40	34.19	49.53	21.39	50.00	23.23
5/3/12 12:37	49.79	49.01	37.61	50.42	34.21	49.53	21.38	50.01	23.22
5/3/12 12:38	49.81	48.99	37.62	50.42	34.23	49.53	21.40	50.01	23.23
5/3/12 12:39	49.82	49.00	37.64	50.42	34.25	49.52	21.39	50.01	23.22
5/3/12 12:40	49.85	49.01	37.66	50.44	34.27	49.52	21.38	50.01	23.22
5/3/12 12:41	49.86	49.01	37.68	50.44	34.27	49.52	21.38	50.00	23.23
5/3/12 12:42	49.87	49.01	37.68	50.44	34.29	49.51	21.39	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:43	49.87	49.00	37.69	50.46	34.31	49.51	21.38	50.00	23.23
5/3/12 12:44	49.89	48.99	37.70	50.49	34.32	49.51	21.38	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:45	49.90	48.98	37.72	50.49	34.33	49.51	21.38	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:46	49.92	48.99	37.72	50.49	34.35	49.51	21.39	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:47	49.93	49.00	37.72	50.51	34.37	49.50	21.40	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:48	49.93	48.98	37.74	50.51	34.37	49.50	21.39	49.99	23.21
5/3/12 12:49	49.95	48.97	37.74	50.53	34.38	49.51	21.39	50.00	23.23
5/3/12 12:50	49.95	48.97	37.76	50.53	34.41	49.51	21.39	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:51	49.96	48.97	37.77	50.55	34.42	49.50	21.39	49.99	23.24
5/3/12 12:52	49.98	48.97	37.77	50.55	34.43	49.51	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 12:53	49.99	48.97	37.78	50.58	34.44	49.51	21.37	50.00	23.24
5/3/12 12:54	49.99	48.98	37.79	50.58	34.45	49.50	21.39	50.00	23.22
5/3/12 12:55	50.00	48.98	37.80	50.58	34.47	49.50	21.39	50.00	23.24
5/3/12 12:56	50.03	48.97	37.79	50.60	34.48	49.50	21.40	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 12:57	50.03	48.97	37.79	50.60	34.50	49.50	21.40	49.99	23.23
5/3/12 12:58	50.04	48.96	37.81	50.62	34.51	49.49	21.40	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 12:59	50.05	48.96	37.80	50.62	34.51	49.48	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 13:00	50.06	48.97	37.82	50.64	34.53	49.48	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 13:01	50.07	48.99	37.84	50.64	34.55	49.49	21.39	49.99	23.24
5/3/12 13:02	50.08	49.00	37.83	50.64	34.56	49.49	21.39	49.98	23.24
5/3/12 13:03	50.09	48.99	37.84	50.67	34.56	49.49	21.39	49.99	23.23
5/3/12 13:04	50.10	48.99	37.84	50.67	34.58	49.48	21.38	49.99	23.24
5/3/12 13:05	50.12	48.99	37.85	50.69	34.59	49.48	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 13:06	50.12	48.99	37.86	50.69	34.61	49.49	21.39	49.99	23.24
5/3/12 13:07	50.12	48.99	37.86	50.69	34.63	49.48	21.39	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 13:08	48.05	49.01	37.40	50.71	34.45	49.48	21.37	49.99	23.22
5/3/12 13:09	43.26	49.03	34.49	50.26	32.67	49.47	21.38	49.99	23.24
5/3/12 13:10	39.70	49.04	31.97	49.58	30.96	49.44	21.37	50.00	23.21
5/3/12 13:11	36.97	49.03	29.95	49.49	29.55	49.39	21.37	49.99	23.23
5/3/12 13:12	34.88	49.03	28.38	49.56	28.40	49.36	21.38	50.00	23.24
5/3/12 13:13	33.25	49.05	27.12	49.63	27.46	49.34	21.40	50.00	23.23
5/3/12 13:14	32.09	49.05	26.19	49.65	26.76	49.33	21.38	50.00	23.23

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 13:15	31.24	49.06	25.51	49.65	26.20	49.33	21.38	50.01	23.24
5/3/12 13:16	30.60	49.08	24.99	49.67	25.77	49.32	21.39	50.01	23.23
5/3/12 13:17	30.11	49.10	24.59	49.65	25.44	49.32	21.38	50.02	23.23
5/3/12 13:18	29.74	49.08	24.28	49.65	25.18	49.32	21.37	50.02	23.24
5/3/12 13:19	29.43	49.07	24.03	49.65	24.99	49.32	21.40	50.02	23.23
5/3/12 13:20	29.19	49.08	23.83	49.67	24.82	49.31	21.37	50.03	23.24
5/3/12 13:21	28.99	49.09	23.67	49.69	24.69	49.32	21.38	50.03	23.23
5/3/12 13:22	28.83	49.11	23.52	49.69	24.55	49.32	21.39	50.03	23.24
5/3/12 13:23	28.68	49.09	23.40	49.69	24.46	49.32	21.37	50.03	23.23
5/3/12 13:24	28.56	49.05	23.30	49.72	24.35	49.32	21.38	50.04	23.22
5/3/12 13:25	28.45	49.01	23.21	49.72	24.27	49.32	21.37	50.04	23.24
5/3/12 13:26	28.35	48.98	23.12	49.72	24.19	49.32	21.37	50.05	23.22
5/3/12 13:27	28.25	48.95	23.04	49.72	24.11	49.32	21.38	50.05	23.24
5/3/12 13:28	28.17	48.93	22.97	49.74	24.06	49.33	21.39	50.05	23.24
5/3/12 13:29	28.10	48.93	22.91	49.74	24.00	49.33	21.39	50.06	23.24
5/3/12 13:30	28.03	48.98	22.84	49.76	23.94	49.33	21.38	50.05	23.24
5/3/12 13:31	27.97	49.02	22.79	49.76	23.89	49.33	21.39	50.05	23.23
5/3/12 13:32	27.91	49.06	22.74	49.76	23.84	49.33	21.38	50.06	23.23
5/3/12 13:33	27.86	49.08	22.70	49.79	23.77	49.34	21.38	50.06	23.24
5/3/12 13:35	27.76	49.10	22.60	49.79	23.70	49.36	21.38	50.06	23.24
5/3/12 13:40	27.56	49.11	22.41	49.81	23.49	49.38	21.38	50.06	23.23
5/3/12 13:45	27.40	49.13	22.25	49.83	23.34	49.38	21.37	50.07	23.23
5/3/12 13:50	27.24	49.16	22.12	49.83	23.18	49.40	21.37	50.06	23.25
5/3/12 13:55	27.13	49.13	22.00	49.83	23.09	49.41	21.30	50.07	23.27
5/3/12 14:00	27.06	49.20	21.93	49.83	23.07	49.42	21.15	50.10	23.24
5/3/12 14:05	27.02	49.17	21.88	49.83	23.03	49.42	21.00	50.25	23.25
5/3/12 14:10	26.96	49.22	21.82	49.85	22.97	49.42	20.89	50.28	23.26
5/3/12 14:15	26.92	49.17	21.76	49.85	22.92	49.42	20.76	50.34	23.25
5/3/12 14:20	26.86	49.20	21.72	49.85	22.87	49.41	20.68	50.50	23.24
5/3/12 14:25	26.80	49.22	21.66	49.85	22.81	49.41	20.60	50.67	23.25
5/3/12 14:30	26.75	49.22	21.61	49.88	22.75	49.43	20.52	50.79	23.26
5/3/12 14:35	26.69	49.23	21.66	49.88	22.70	49.46	20.44	50.85	23.26
5/3/12 14:40	26.55	49.26	21.47	49.88	22.61	49.45	20.34	50.89	23.25
5/3/12 14:45	26.54	49.25	21.45	49.88	22.55	49.47	20.28	50.93	23.25
5/3/12 14:46	28.98	49.25	22.33	49.88	23.18	49.47	20.38	50.94	23.27
5/3/12 14:47	32.64	49.24	24.82	49.85	25.08	49.47	20.84	50.91	23.25
5/3/12 14:48	32.52	49.23	25.50	49.83	25.51	49.45	21.01	50.93	23.27
5/3/12 14:49	32.82	49.23	25.71	49.83	25.65	49.44	21.08	50.97	23.27
5/3/12 14:50	33.21	49.23	26.06	49.85	25.92	49.43	21.16	50.97	23.25
5/3/12 14:51	33.57	49.21	26.28	49.85	26.10	49.43	21.20	50.97	23.26
5/3/12 14:52	33.80	49.20	26.50	49.88	26.26	49.42	21.27	50.97	23.25
5/3/12 14:53	34.06	49.19	26.68	49.88	26.38	49.42	21.32	50.97	23.25
5/3/12 14:54	34.33	49.18	26.85	49.85	26.52	49.41	21.36	50.97	23.25
5/3/12 14:55	34.51	49.16	27.01	49.83	26.64	49.42	21.38	50.96	23.25
5/3/12 14:56	34.66	49.16	27.11	49.79	26.72	49.42	21.40	50.94	23.27
5/3/12 14:57	34.69	49.13	27.21	49.76	26.81	49.41	21.39	50.94	23.25
5/3/12 14:58	34.87	49.11	27.29	49.74	26.87	49.42	21.38	50.93	23.24
5/3/12 14:59	34.94	49.08	27.33	49.72	26.92	49.43	21.39	50.91	23.26
5/3/12 15:00	34.99	49.05	27.39	49.76	26.95	49.42	21.39	50.90	23.27
5/3/12 15:01	35.05	49.01	27.42	49.76	26.99	49.43	21.39	50.89	23.25
5/3/12 15:02	35.11	48.98	27.46	49.72	27.02	49.43	21.40	50.88	23.25
5/3/12 15:03	35.12	48.96	27.46	49.63	27.05	49.43	21.40	50.87	23.24
5/3/12 15:04	35.17	48.94	27.52	49.58	27.08	49.44	21.40	50.86	23.26
5/3/12 15:05	35.20	48.91	27.55	49.58	27.11	49.43	21.40	50.85	23.25
5/3/12 15:06	35.26	48.92	27.55	49.56	27.11	49.43	21.40	50.83	23.26
5/3/12 15:07	35.23	48.90	27.59	49.56	27.13	49.43	21.40	50.83	23.27
5/3/12 15:08	35.24	48.91	27.58	49.54	27.15	49.43	21.39	50.82	23.27
5/3/12 15:09	35.26	48.90	27.62	49.54	27.16	49.43	21.40	50.81	23.26
5/3/12 15:10	35.33	48.91	27.63	49.54	27.18	49.43	21.39	50.79	23.26
5/3/12 15:11	35.28	48.90	27.65	49.51	27.18	49.43	21.40	50.79	23.25
5/3/12 15:12	35.34	48.90	27.67	49.54	27.20	49.43	21.40	50.77	23.26
5/3/12 15:13	35.26	48.92	27.67	49.54	27.21	49.44	21.41	50.77	23.27

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 15:14	35.34	48.92	27.66	49.54	27.23	49.43	21.40	50.76	23.27
5/3/12 15:15	35.39	48.94	27.67	49.51	27.24	49.44	21.41	50.75	23.27
5/3/12 15:16	35.39	48.95	27.67	49.54	27.25	49.44	21.41	50.74	23.26
5/3/12 15:17	35.38	48.97	27.69	49.54	27.26	49.44	21.40	50.74	23.26
5/3/12 15:18	35.36	48.99	27.67	49.54	27.27	49.43	21.39	50.72	23.26
5/3/12 15:19	35.35	48.99	27.70	49.51	27.28	49.44	21.39	50.71	23.27
5/3/12 15:20	35.36	49.00	27.70	49.49	27.29	49.44	21.41	50.70	23.26
5/3/12 15:21	35.40	49.00	27.72	49.49	27.31	49.44	21.40	50.70	23.27
5/3/12 15:22	35.42	48.99	27.73	49.54	27.31	49.44	21.41	50.68	23.27
5/3/12 15:23	35.45	48.96	27.70	49.51	27.30	49.44	21.40	50.68	23.26
5/3/12 15:24	35.50	48.92	27.70	49.51	27.32	49.43	21.40	50.67	23.27
5/3/12 15:25	35.50	48.91	27.68	49.49	27.33	49.43	21.40	50.65	23.28
5/3/12 15:26	35.45	48.90	27.72	49.51	27.34	49.42	21.40	50.65	23.27
5/3/12 15:27	35.49	48.93	27.72	49.54	27.34	49.43	21.39	50.64	23.27
5/3/12 15:28	35.45	48.93	27.73	49.54	27.35	49.42	21.39	50.63	23.27
5/3/12 15:29	35.47	48.91	27.74	49.54	27.36	49.42	21.39	50.62	23.28
5/3/12 15:30	35.47	48.91	27.74	49.51	27.36	49.42	21.39	50.61	23.27
5/3/12 15:31	35.50	48.92	27.75	49.51	27.36	49.42	21.41	50.61	23.27
5/3/12 15:32	35.44	48.91	27.74	49.51	27.37	49.42	21.39	50.59	23.28
5/3/12 15:33	35.48	48.93	27.75	49.49	27.38	49.41	21.40	50.59	23.25
5/3/12 15:34	35.48	48.92	27.75	49.51	27.39	49.41	21.39	50.59	23.27
5/3/12 15:35	35.48	48.94	27.76	49.54	27.40	49.42	21.40	50.57	23.26
5/3/12 15:36	35.53	48.92	27.77	49.54	27.40	49.40	21.40	50.56	23.28
5/3/12 15:37	35.47	48.92	27.77	49.49	27.41	49.41	21.42	50.56	23.28
5/3/12 15:38	35.53	48.92	27.77	49.49	27.42	49.41	21.39	50.55	23.26
5/3/12 15:39	35.48	48.93	27.78	49.51	27.42	49.41	21.40	50.54	23.29
5/3/12 15:40	35.51	48.92	27.77	49.49	27.43	49.41	21.41	50.53	23.26
5/3/12 15:41	35.51	48.91	27.77	49.51	27.43	49.41	21.40	50.53	23.27
5/3/12 15:42	35.54	48.90	27.79	49.49	27.44	49.40	21.41	50.52	23.25
5/3/12 15:43	35.53	48.88	27.79	49.47	27.44	49.41	21.40	50.52	23.27
5/3/12 15:44	35.52	48.87	27.79	49.49	27.44	49.40	21.40	50.50	23.28
5/3/12 15:45	35.54	48.88	27.78	49.47	27.45	49.39	21.41	50.50	23.25
5/3/12 15:46	35.54	48.89	27.79	49.49	27.45	49.40	21.40	50.50	23.27
5/3/12 15:47	35.56	48.89	27.77	49.49	27.47	49.40	21.39	50.49	23.28
5/3/12 15:48	35.55	48.90	27.79	49.51	27.46	49.39	21.40	50.48	23.27
5/3/12 15:49	35.55	48.91	27.80	49.51	27.47	49.39	21.40	50.48	23.26
5/3/12 15:50	35.58	48.91	27.79	49.51	27.47	49.39	21.39	50.47	23.28
5/3/12 15:51	35.60	48.93	27.78	49.49	27.49	49.39	21.40	50.47	23.27
5/3/12 15:52	35.61	48.92	27.79	49.49	27.49	49.39	21.40	50.46	23.28
5/3/12 15:53	35.62	48.91	27.80	49.51	27.50	49.39	21.40	50.46	23.26
5/3/12 15:54	35.59	48.92	27.79	49.49	27.52	49.39	21.39	50.45	23.28
5/3/12 15:55	35.56	48.92	27.81	49.49	27.50	49.39	21.39	50.45	23.29
5/3/12 15:56	35.59	48.91	27.79	49.49	27.50	49.38	21.40	50.44	23.28
5/3/12 15:57	35.63	48.92	27.80	49.45	27.52	49.38	21.40	50.44	23.26
5/3/12 15:58	35.60	48.92	27.81	49.51	27.52	49.38	21.40	50.44	23.26
5/3/12 15:59	35.60	48.91	27.81	49.51	27.51	49.38	21.40	50.43	23.27
5/3/12 16:00	35.58	48.90	27.77	49.51	27.52	49.38	21.40	50.42	23.28
5/3/12 16:01	35.65	48.92	27.77	49.54	27.53	49.38	21.39	50.42	23.27
5/3/12 16:02	35.62	48.94	27.79	49.54	27.53	49.37	21.38	50.42	23.27
5/3/12 16:03	35.61	48.95	27.80	49.54	27.54	49.37	21.39	50.41	23.26
5/3/12 16:04	35.61	48.94	27.80	49.56	27.56	49.37	21.40	50.41	23.27
5/3/12 16:05	35.62	48.94	27.80	49.54	27.55	49.38	21.38	50.40	23.29
5/3/12 16:06	35.58	48.94	27.81	49.51	27.56	49.37	21.39	50.40	23.27
5/3/12 16:07	35.63	48.93	27.80	49.51	27.58	49.37	21.40	50.40	23.28
5/3/12 16:08	35.61	48.91	27.81	49.54	27.57	49.37	21.38	50.40	23.28
5/3/12 16:09	35.63	48.89	27.83	49.56	27.58	49.36	21.39	50.39	23.27
5/3/12 16:10	35.64	48.89	27.82	49.54	27.56	49.37	21.39	50.39	23.27
5/3/12 16:11	35.65	48.90	27.83	49.54	27.57	49.37	21.38	50.39	23.29
5/3/12 16:12	35.60	48.89	27.83	49.54	27.58	49.36	21.40	50.38	23.27
5/3/12 16:13	35.60	48.89	27.81	49.51	27.59	49.37	21.40	50.38	23.27
5/3/12 16:14	35.60	48.88	27.83	49.51	27.58	49.37	21.41	50.37	23.28
5/3/12 16:15	35.64	48.89	27.83	49.54	27.59	49.37	21.39	50.37	23.27

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 16:16	35.63	48.91	27.85	49.54	27.60	49.37	21.41	50.38	23.27
5/3/12 16:17	35.66	48.92	27.84	49.54	27.59	49.36	21.41	50.37	23.29
5/3/12 16:18	35.66	48.92	27.83	49.54	27.60	49.36	21.40	50.37	23.27
5/3/12 16:19	35.66	48.95	27.83	49.54	27.60	49.36	21.39	50.37	23.28
5/3/12 16:20	35.65	48.93	27.83	49.56	27.59	49.36	21.40	50.36	23.27
5/3/12 16:21	35.63	48.91	27.82	49.56	27.57	49.36	21.40	50.36	23.27
5/3/12 16:22	35.65	48.88	27.81	49.56	27.55	49.35	21.40	50.35	23.28
5/3/12 16:23	35.64	48.89	27.79	49.51	27.53	49.35	21.40	50.35	23.27
5/3/12 16:24	35.60	48.89	27.80	49.51	27.51	49.35	21.40	50.35	23.27
5/3/12 16:25	35.58	48.91	27.78	49.54	27.49	49.34	21.40	50.35	23.28
5/3/12 16:26	36.27	48.92	28.03	49.58	27.60	49.35	21.41	50.34	23.27
5/3/12 16:27	37.31	48.89	28.70	49.56	28.04	49.35	21.39	50.34	23.28
5/3/12 16:28	38.07	48.92	29.23	49.58	28.40	49.34	21.40	50.33	23.27
5/3/12 16:29	38.66	48.92	29.64	49.56	28.68	49.35	21.39	50.33	23.27
5/3/12 16:30	39.13	48.92	29.94	49.54	28.93	49.35	21.38	50.33	23.28
5/3/12 16:31	39.47	48.90	30.21	49.49	29.11	49.36	21.41	50.32	23.29
5/3/12 16:32	39.76	48.90	30.45	49.49	29.25	49.36	21.39	50.32	23.27
5/3/12 16:33	40.00	48.90	30.61	49.47	29.38	49.37	21.40	50.33	23.27
5/3/12 16:34	40.16	48.90	30.76	49.42	29.47	49.37	21.40	50.32	23.28
5/3/12 16:35	40.32	48.92	30.86	49.45	29.56	49.37	21.40	50.31	23.27
5/3/12 16:36	40.43	48.93	30.95	49.45	29.63	49.38	21.40	50.32	23.29
5/3/12 16:37	40.52	48.94	30.97	49.45	29.69	49.38	21.39	50.32	23.29
5/3/12 16:38	40.60	48.97	31.08	49.45	29.72	49.38	21.39	50.31	23.27
5/3/12 16:39	40.69	48.98	31.15	49.45	29.77	49.39	21.40	50.31	23.28
5/3/12 16:40	40.74	48.98	31.16	49.45	29.82	49.38	21.39	50.31	23.27
5/3/12 16:41	40.77	48.97	31.22	49.47	29.83	49.39	21.39	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:42	41.29	48.95	31.39	49.51	29.95	49.38	21.41	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:43	40.82	48.95	31.44	50.08	30.04	49.39	21.39	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:44	40.71	48.95	31.10	49.88	29.81	49.38	21.40	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:45	41.60	48.97	31.60	49.63	30.09	49.38	21.39	50.30	23.28
5/3/12 16:46	42.29	48.97	32.07	50.37	30.39	49.39	21.39	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:47	42.81	48.98	32.44	50.92	30.65	49.40	21.38	50.30	23.27
5/3/12 16:48	43.25	48.98	32.74	51.10	30.86	49.41	21.40	50.29	23.29
5/3/12 16:49	43.57	48.97	32.97	51.19	31.04	49.42	21.39	50.29	23.26
5/3/12 16:50	43.85	48.97	33.17	51.16	31.17	49.43	21.38	50.29	23.29
5/3/12 16:51	44.08	48.97	33.27	51.12	31.31	49.44	21.39	50.28	23.27
5/3/12 16:52	44.25	48.98	33.45	51.19	31.41	49.45	21.39	50.28	23.28
5/3/12 16:53	44.40	48.98	33.56	51.23	31.51	49.46	21.39	50.28	23.29
5/3/12 16:54	44.52	48.97	33.69	51.25	31.57	49.47	21.39	50.27	23.27
5/3/12 16:55	44.63	48.96	33.77	51.21	31.65	49.47	21.39	50.27	23.27
5/3/12 16:56	44.72	48.97	33.79	51.25	31.69	49.47	21.39	50.27	23.27
5/3/12 16:57	44.80	48.97	33.85	51.12	31.74	49.48	21.39	50.27	23.28
5/3/12 16:58	44.87	48.96	33.94	50.69	31.79	49.48	21.40	50.27	23.27
5/3/12 16:59	44.92	48.97	33.97	50.26	31.83	49.49	21.40	50.26	23.27
5/3/12 17:00	44.97	48.98	34.01	49.99	31.88	49.50	21.40	50.26	23.27
5/3/12 17:01	45.01	48.99	34.00	49.83	31.89	49.50	21.40	50.26	23.27
5/3/12 17:02	45.05	49.00	34.03	49.74	31.91	49.50	21.39	50.26	23.28
5/3/12 17:03	45.07	49.01	34.06	49.74	31.95	49.51	21.39	50.26	23.29
5/3/12 17:04	45.11	49.00	34.16	49.72	31.97	49.50	21.39	50.26	23.28
5/3/12 17:05	45.14	48.98	34.15	50.58	31.99	49.50	21.38	50.25	23.28
5/3/12 17:06	45.15	48.98	34.23	51.12	32.02	49.50	21.39	50.26	23.27
5/3/12 17:07	45.21	48.96	34.24	51.30	32.04	49.50	21.39	50.25	23.28
5/3/12 17:08	45.22	48.95	34.28	51.39	32.07	49.51	21.38	50.25	23.28
5/3/12 17:09	45.23	48.95	34.29	51.44	32.08	49.50	21.39	50.24	23.29
5/3/12 17:10	45.25	48.95	34.31	51.46	32.10	49.50	21.38	50.24	23.28
5/3/12 17:15	45.35	48.97	34.30	50.51	32.18	49.50	21.40	50.24	23.28
5/3/12 17:18	45.40	48.94	34.32	49.65	32.23	49.50	21.41	50.23	23.28
5/3/12 17:20	45.40	48.95	34.44	49.56	32.25	49.50	21.39	50.23	23.29
5/3/12 17:21	43.50	48.96	33.87	50.73	32.06	49.49	21.38	50.23	23.29
5/3/12 17:22	39.42	48.96	31.40	50.28	30.43	49.47	21.40	50.22	23.29
5/3/12 17:23	36.42	48.97	29.27	49.97	28.94	49.42	21.38	50.22	23.29
5/3/12 17:24	34.13	49.01	27.58	49.94	27.71	49.36	21.38	50.22	23.29

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/3/12 17:25	32.40	49.04	26.26	49.90	26.73	49.32	21.38	50.22	23.29
5/3/12 17:26	31.18	49.08	25.31	49.90	25.98	49.29	21.38	50.22	23.27
5/3/12 17:27	30.30	49.07	24.59	49.92	25.42	49.28	21.39	50.22	23.28
5/3/12 17:28	29.64	49.07	24.07	49.94	24.98	49.26	21.39	50.22	23.28
5/3/12 17:29	29.14	49.14	23.67	49.97	24.65	49.26	21.39	50.21	23.28
5/3/12 17:30	28.77	49.18	23.37	49.94	24.41	49.25	21.39	50.21	23.27
5/3/12 17:31	28.47	49.20	23.14	49.94	24.22	49.24	21.39	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:32	28.25	49.20	22.95	49.94	24.05	49.24	21.38	50.21	23.28
5/3/12 17:33	28.06	49.19	22.79	49.94	23.92	49.24	21.41	50.21	23.28
5/3/12 17:34	27.91	49.19	22.66	49.94	23.81	49.24	21.39	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:35	27.78	49.19	22.56	49.94	23.72	49.24	21.40	50.21	23.30
5/3/12 17:36	27.68	49.19	22.47	49.94	23.64	49.23	21.40	50.21	23.28
5/3/12 17:37	27.57	49.20	22.38	49.97	23.56	49.23	21.38	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:38	27.50	49.18	22.31	49.97	23.50	49.23	21.41	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:39	27.43	49.17	22.25	49.97	23.43	49.23	21.38	50.21	23.28
5/3/12 17:40	27.37	49.17	22.19	49.94	23.39	49.23	21.39	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:41	27.31	49.17	22.13	49.90	23.33	49.23	21.40	50.20	23.28
5/3/12 17:44	27.17	49.15	21.92	49.99	23.20	49.23	21.40	50.21	23.30
5/3/12 17:45	27.13	49.13	21.85	50.01	23.17	49.24	21.38	50.20	23.29
5/3/12 17:50	26.96	49.15	21.70	50.01	23.01	49.24	21.22	50.21	23.29
5/3/12 17:55	26.85	49.06	21.59	50.01	22.87	49.25	21.06	50.29	23.29
5/3/12 18:00	26.72	49.07	21.50	50.01	22.76	49.25	20.92	50.41	23.30
5/3/12 18:05	26.64	49.19	21.43	50.01	22.67	49.27	20.77	50.45	23.29
5/3/12 18:10	26.56	49.21	21.36	50.01	22.58	49.29	20.66	50.56	23.29
5/3/12 19:00	26.56	49.29	21.55	50.01	22.44	49.35	20.02	51.08	23.30
5/3/12 20:00	26.48	49.49	21.56	50.03	22.22	49.41	19.69	51.11	23.31
5/3/12 21:00	26.37	49.52	21.49	50.03	22.06	49.52	19.48	50.98	23.31
5/3/12 22:00	26.29	49.72	21.44	50.06	21.93	49.47	19.33	50.96	23.33
5/3/12 23:00	26.22	49.78	21.40	50.06	21.84	49.53	19.21	50.92	23.33
5/4/12 0:00	25.74	49.84	20.73	50.03	21.51	49.62	19.01	50.95	23.35
5/4/12 1:00	25.61	49.94	20.58	50.03	21.36	49.66	18.87	50.92	23.38
5/4/12 2:00	25.52	50.00	20.49	50.01	21.27	49.69	18.78	50.91	23.36
5/4/12 3:00	25.47	50.08	20.42	50.01	21.20	49.67	18.70	50.90	23.34
5/4/12 4:00	25.26	50.11	20.24	50.01	20.91	49.72	18.60	50.87	23.32
5/4/12 5:00	25.18	50.13	20.19	50.01	20.81	49.75	18.51	50.90	23.32
5/4/12 6:00	25.11	50.13	20.14	50.01	20.73	49.87	18.43	50.93	23.32
5/4/12 7:00	25.06	50.16	20.09	49.99	20.67	49.80	18.38	50.92	23.30
5/4/12 8:00	25.19	50.25	20.22	49.99	20.91	49.76	18.41	50.60	23.31
5/4/12 9:00	25.16	50.23	20.22	49.99	20.93	49.83	18.40	50.57	23.30
5/4/12 9:05	25.17	50.17	20.22	49.99	20.94	49.82	18.40	50.57	23.30
5/4/12 9:10	25.16	50.11	20.21	49.99	20.94	49.79	18.40	50.58	23.31
5/4/12 9:15	25.13	50.11	20.25	49.99	20.93	49.79	18.40	50.58	23.29
5/4/12 9:20	25.27	50.08	20.53	49.99	21.01	49.75	18.42	50.57	23.30
5/4/12 9:25	25.39	50.01	20.67	49.99	21.08	49.77	18.45	50.54	23.29
5/4/12 9:30	25.44	50.01	20.76	49.99	21.13	49.76	18.46	50.53	23.29
5/4/12 9:31	25.38	50.00	20.74	49.99	21.12	49.77	18.47	50.52	23.31
5/4/12 9:32	25.35	50.01	20.71	49.99	21.06	49.79	18.44	50.52	23.28
5/4/12 9:33	25.40	50.01	20.76	49.99	21.11	49.80	18.46	50.53	23.29
5/4/12 9:34	25.42	50.01	20.78	49.99	21.11	49.82	18.46	50.53	23.30
5/4/12 9:35	25.43	50.02	20.81	49.99	21.13	49.82	18.47	50.52	23.31
5/4/12 9:36	25.46	50.04	20.83	49.99	21.13	49.82	18.47	50.52	23.30
5/4/12 9:37	25.45	50.05	20.83	49.99	21.15	49.81	18.48	50.51	23.32
5/4/12 9:38	25.47	50.06	20.83	49.99	21.15	49.82	18.48	50.48	23.30
5/4/12 9:39	25.48	50.08	20.83	49.99	21.15	49.82	18.48	50.40	23.30
5/4/12 9:40	25.48	50.09	20.83	49.99	21.16	49.81	18.48	50.34	23.30
5/4/12 9:41	25.48	50.08	20.84	49.99	21.16	49.79	18.49	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 9:42	25.50	50.09	20.84	49.99	21.17	49.78	18.48	50.25	23.30
5/4/12 9:43	25.49	50.08	20.84	49.99	21.16	49.76	18.50	50.24	23.30
5/4/12 9:44	25.50	50.09	20.84	49.99	21.15	49.74	18.50	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 9:45	25.50	50.09	20.82	49.99	21.17	49.73	18.50	50.23	23.30
5/4/12 9:46	25.51	50.10	20.81	49.99	21.16	49.73	18.49	50.21	23.30
5/4/12 9:47	25.51	50.11	20.81	49.99	21.17	49.73	18.50	50.20	23.31

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 9:48	25.51	50.11	20.81	49.99	21.17	49.73	18.49	50.20	23.30
5/4/12 9:49	25.54	50.12	20.81	49.99	21.18	49.73	18.51	50.20	23.29
5/4/12 9:50	27.35	50.12	21.73	49.99	21.87	49.72	18.64	50.19	23.30
5/4/12 9:51	29.27	50.12	22.97	49.99	22.85	49.73	18.89	50.17	23.31
5/4/12 9:52	30.43	50.11	23.76	49.99	23.51	49.74	19.07	50.15	23.30
5/4/12 9:53	31.28	50.11	24.34	49.99	23.99	49.72	19.21	50.15	23.29
5/4/12 9:54	31.88	50.12	25.00	49.99	24.36	49.72	19.31	50.14	23.30
5/4/12 9:55	32.29	50.11	25.31	49.99	24.63	49.71	19.41	50.16	23.30
5/4/12 9:56	32.65	50.09	25.54	49.99	24.84	49.69	19.50	50.20	23.29
5/4/12 9:57	32.92	50.06	25.72	49.99	25.01	49.69	19.55	50.24	23.31
5/4/12 9:58	33.15	50.03	25.84	49.99	25.14	49.69	19.60	50.27	23.30
5/4/12 9:59	33.23	50.01	25.96	49.99	25.24	49.68	19.65	50.26	23.29
5/4/12 10:00	33.37	49.96	26.04	49.99	25.33	49.68	19.68	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:01	33.45	49.89	26.12	49.99	25.38	49.67	19.72	50.24	23.29
5/4/12 10:02	33.51	49.79	26.16	49.99	25.43	49.68	19.76	50.24	23.31
5/4/12 10:03	33.52	49.73	26.20	49.99	25.47	49.67	19.78	50.24	23.30
5/4/12 10:04	33.59	49.68	26.24	49.99	25.52	49.66	19.80	50.24	23.30
5/4/12 10:05	33.60	49.64	26.26	49.99	25.55	49.66	19.83	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:06	33.66	49.58	26.28	49.99	25.57	49.65	19.85	50.26	23.29
5/4/12 10:07	33.69	49.51	26.30	49.99	25.59	49.65	19.87	50.28	23.29
5/4/12 10:08	33.73	49.49	26.30	49.99	25.60	49.66	19.90	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:09	33.76	49.49	26.31	49.99	25.63	49.65	19.92	50.30	23.29
5/4/12 10:10	33.73	49.47	26.31	49.99	25.67	49.65	19.93	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:11	33.77	49.45	26.33	49.99	25.66	49.65	19.95	50.27	23.30
5/4/12 10:12	33.82	49.39	26.35	49.97	25.69	49.66	19.97	50.28	23.29
5/4/12 10:13	33.85	49.35	26.36	49.97	25.71	49.66	19.99	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:14	33.81	49.33	26.35	49.97	25.71	49.66	20.01	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:15	33.81	49.32	26.38	49.97	25.72	49.65	20.03	50.28	23.32
5/4/12 10:16	33.83	49.31	26.37	49.97	25.74	49.66	20.05	50.29	23.28
5/4/12 10:17	33.83	49.31	26.38	49.97	25.76	49.66	20.07	50.29	23.29
5/4/12 10:18	33.87	49.32	26.38	49.97	25.76	49.66	20.08	50.30	23.30
5/4/12 10:19	33.90	49.31	26.40	49.94	25.78	49.67	20.10	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 10:20	33.87	49.30	26.42	49.94	25.79	49.68	20.11	50.30	23.30
5/4/12 10:21	33.87	49.29	26.43	49.94	25.80	49.67	20.13	50.30	23.29
5/4/12 10:22	33.92	49.26	26.45	49.94	25.82	49.67	20.15	50.29	23.29
5/4/12 10:23	33.94	49.25	26.45	49.94	25.84	49.67	20.18	50.27	23.29
5/4/12 10:24	33.91	49.25	26.49	49.94	25.86	49.67	20.20	50.26	23.29
5/4/12 10:25	33.91	49.24	26.50	49.94	25.87	49.67	20.20	50.26	23.29
5/4/12 10:26	33.93	49.23	26.51	49.97	25.86	49.66	20.22	50.25	23.31
5/4/12 10:27	33.94	49.23	26.54	49.97	25.88	49.65	20.22	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:28	33.96	49.23	26.56	49.99	25.89	49.66	20.24	50.27	23.30
5/4/12 10:29	33.94	49.19	26.54	49.99	25.91	49.65	20.25	50.28	23.31
5/4/12 10:30	34.01	49.19	26.56	49.99	25.90	49.65	20.28	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:31	33.98	49.18	26.56	49.99	25.91	49.66	20.27	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:32	33.97	49.14	26.54	49.99	25.93	49.66	20.29	50.30	23.29
5/4/12 10:33	34.01	49.13	26.55	49.97	25.93	49.65	20.30	50.28	23.30
5/4/12 10:34	33.97	49.13	26.55	49.97	25.93	49.65	20.32	50.28	23.30
5/4/12 10:35	34.00	49.12	26.54	49.97	25.95	49.65	20.33	50.28	23.30
5/4/12 10:36	33.97	49.10	26.54	49.97	25.95	49.67	20.34	50.27	23.29
5/4/12 10:37	33.98	49.10	26.53	49.94	25.97	49.67	20.36	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:38	34.03	49.09	26.52	49.92	25.97	49.68	20.38	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:39	34.03	49.09	26.55	49.92	25.98	49.68	20.41	50.25	23.30
5/4/12 10:40	34.03	49.09	26.55	49.92	25.99	49.68	20.39	50.25	23.29
5/4/12 10:41	34.05	49.10	26.54	49.92	26.00	49.68	20.41	50.26	23.30
5/4/12 10:42	34.06	49.08	26.56	49.94	26.02	49.68	20.45	50.28	23.31
5/4/12 10:43	34.07	49.08	26.57	49.94	26.01	49.68	20.44	50.29	23.30
5/4/12 10:44	34.07	49.07	26.57	49.92	26.02	49.69	20.47	50.30	23.29
5/4/12 10:45	34.08	49.08	26.57	49.92	26.03	49.68	20.47	50.32	23.30
5/4/12 10:46	34.09	49.08	26.59	49.92	26.03	49.67	20.48	50.34	23.31
5/4/12 10:47	34.07	49.09	26.60	49.92	26.04	49.67	20.49	50.35	23.30
5/4/12 10:48	34.05	49.10	26.61	49.90	26.06	49.67	20.50	50.38	23.30
5/4/12 10:49	34.11	49.11	26.61	49.92	26.06	49.66	20.52	50.41	23.29

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 10:50	34.09	49.10	26.63	49.97	26.06	49.66	20.53	50.42	23.31
5/4/12 10:54	34.14	49.08	26.67	49.99	26.09	49.68	20.58	50.41	23.29
5/4/12 10:55	34.09	49.10	26.67	49.99	26.08	49.68	20.57	50.41	23.30
5/4/12 11:00	34.11	49.13	26.68	49.97	26.13	49.67	20.62	50.33	23.29
5/4/12 11:05	34.18	49.15	26.72	49.94	26.17	49.65	20.68	50.36	23.31
5/4/12 11:10	34.17	49.11	26.73	49.92	26.19	49.65	20.71	50.37	23.31
5/4/12 11:15	34.23	49.13	26.76	49.90	26.23	49.64	20.77	50.34	23.29
5/4/12 11:20	34.23	49.26	26.81	49.90	26.26	49.64	20.81	50.37	23.29
5/4/12 11:25	34.23	49.32	26.81	49.88	26.29	49.63	20.85	50.46	23.30
5/4/12 11:29	34.32	49.25	26.80	49.88	26.30	49.62	20.89	50.49	23.29
5/4/12 11:30	34.25	49.25	26.80	49.85	26.32	49.62	20.89	50.51	23.30
5/4/12 11:35	34.30	49.24	26.81	49.85	26.35	49.61	20.93	50.55	23.29
5/4/12 11:40	34.34	49.24	26.84	49.85	26.38	49.61	20.99	50.51	23.29
5/4/12 11:41	34.56	49.24	26.99	49.85	26.47	49.61	20.99	50.51	23.29
5/4/12 11:42	34.67	49.27	27.06	49.85	26.51	49.60	21.01	50.49	23.31
5/4/12 11:43	34.75	49.30	27.12	49.85	26.54	49.60	21.03	50.48	23.30
5/4/12 11:45	34.83	49.23	27.18	49.85	26.56	49.61	21.06	50.43	23.29
5/4/12 11:49	34.94	49.17	27.29	49.88	26.56	49.59	21.10	50.34	23.30
5/4/12 11:50	34.93	49.18	27.29	49.85	26.55	49.59	21.10	50.31	23.31
5/4/12 11:55	34.89	49.13	27.24	49.85	26.53	49.57	21.14	50.26	23.29
5/4/12 12:00	34.95	49.10	27.29	49.85	26.52	49.57	21.17	50.23	23.29
5/4/12 12:03	35.29	49.06	27.47	49.85	26.62	49.57	21.21	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:04	35.36	49.06	27.58	49.85	26.70	49.56	21.25	50.22	23.29
5/4/12 12:05	35.42	49.06	27.66	49.85	26.74	49.56	21.24	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:06	35.37	49.05	27.68	49.85	26.79	49.56	21.28	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 12:07	35.28	49.07	27.60	49.90	26.72	49.57	21.28	50.21	23.30
5/4/12 12:10	35.24	49.06	27.53	49.90	26.70	49.55	21.30	50.21	23.29
5/4/12 12:11	35.17	49.06	27.49	49.88	26.69	49.55	21.29	50.21	23.31
5/4/12 12:15	35.18	49.10	27.50	49.88	26.68	49.55	21.32	50.21	23.31
5/4/12 12:20	35.22	49.09	27.46	49.88	26.69	49.54	21.35	50.22	23.29
5/4/12 12:25	35.25	49.04	27.44	49.88	26.70	49.52	21.36	50.22	23.29
5/4/12 12:27	35.49	49.01	27.58	49.88	26.80	49.52	21.40	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:28	35.55	49.00	27.66	49.88	26.84	49.53	21.38	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:30	35.62	48.98	27.74	49.88	26.90	49.52	21.39	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:31	35.69	49.00	27.77	49.85	26.90	49.52	21.38	50.22	23.29
5/4/12 12:35	35.73	49.05	27.79	49.85	26.96	49.52	21.39	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 12:39	35.78	49.03	27.82	49.85	27.00	49.51	21.39	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:40	35.73	49.03	27.81	49.88	26.98	49.51	21.38	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:45	35.77	49.04	27.82	49.88	27.01	49.50	21.39	50.22	23.31
5/4/12 12:49	34.51	49.08	27.55	49.88	26.86	49.49	21.40	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 12:50	32.09	49.10	25.85	49.92	25.70	49.49	21.38	50.23	23.31
5/4/12 12:51	32.56	49.08	25.63	49.97	25.46	49.49	21.30	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 12:52	33.17	49.07	26.05	49.99	25.71	49.48	21.31	50.23	23.32
5/4/12 12:53	33.61	49.06	26.35	49.99	25.91	49.47	21.32	50.22	23.30
5/4/12 12:54	33.85	49.07	26.54	49.99	26.05	49.47	21.36	50.23	23.31
5/4/12 12:55	34.07	49.06	26.67	49.99	26.15	49.48	21.37	50.23	23.31
5/4/12 12:56	34.20	49.06	26.77	49.99	26.22	49.47	21.40	50.23	23.30
5/4/12 12:57	34.30	49.05	26.86	49.99	26.28	49.47	21.40	50.23	23.31
5/4/12 12:58	34.37	49.03	26.92	49.99	26.33	49.47	21.40	50.23	23.33
5/4/12 13:00	34.42	49.01	27.00	49.99	26.38	49.47	21.40	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:01	32.77	49.01	26.37	49.97	26.00	49.47	21.40	50.23	23.32
5/4/12 13:02	33.15	49.01	26.02	49.97	25.69	49.46	21.32	50.24	23.33
5/4/12 13:03	33.94	49.01	26.52	49.97	26.01	49.46	21.34	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:04	34.41	49.03	26.86	49.97	26.25	49.46	21.38	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:05	34.74	49.05	27.09	49.94	26.43	49.45	21.40	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:06	34.99	49.06	27.28	49.94	26.56	49.46	21.39	50.24	23.31
5/4/12 13:07	35.14	49.07	27.41	49.97	26.67	49.46	21.41	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:08	35.28	49.08	27.50	49.97	26.74	49.46	21.41	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:09	35.38	49.09	27.57	49.94	26.80	49.46	21.39	50.24	23.31
5/4/12 13:10	35.45	49.11	27.65	49.94	26.84	49.45	21.40	50.24	23.32
5/4/12 13:11	35.53	49.06	27.68	49.94	26.87	49.46	21.42	50.24	23.33
5/4/12 13:15	35.65	49.07	27.74	49.97	26.95	49.45	21.40	50.25	23.32

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 13:20	35.70	49.09	27.79	49.92	27.00	49.44	21.39	50.26	23.32
5/4/12 13:25	35.72	49.14	27.80	49.90	27.05	49.43	21.38	50.27	23.33
5/4/12 13:30	35.75	49.15	27.81	49.94	27.05	49.43	21.39	50.26	23.32
5/4/12 13:35	35.76	49.11	27.83	49.94	27.07	49.43	21.40	50.26	23.33
5/4/12 13:40	35.60	49.13	27.82	49.92	27.03	49.42	21.38	50.26	23.35
5/4/12 13:41	35.53	49.13	27.77	49.88	26.99	49.43	21.39	50.26	23.33
5/4/12 13:42	35.46	49.11	27.71	49.88	26.97	49.42	21.38	50.25	23.33
5/4/12 13:43	35.41	49.12	27.67	49.88	26.94	49.42	21.39	50.26	23.35
5/4/12 13:45	35.38	49.16	27.64	49.97	26.90	49.42	21.39	50.26	23.34
5/4/12 13:47	32.78	49.19	26.39	49.99	26.12	49.41	21.38	50.26	23.35
5/4/12 13:48	30.96	49.19	25.06	49.99	25.15	49.40	21.38	50.26	23.33
5/4/12 13:49	29.66	49.18	24.08	50.01	24.40	49.39	21.29	50.27	23.33
5/4/12 13:50	28.76	49.18	23.38	50.01	23.85	49.37	21.10	50.27	23.34
5/4/12 13:51	28.09	49.16	22.86	49.99	23.42	49.34	20.97	50.34	23.33
5/4/12 13:52	27.60	49.14	22.49	49.99	23.11	49.33	20.82	50.49	23.33
5/4/12 13:53	27.26	49.11	22.22	49.99	22.88	49.32	20.70	50.61	23.36
5/4/12 13:54	27.00	49.10	22.01	49.99	22.71	49.31	20.62	50.70	23.34
5/4/12 13:55	26.81	49.09	21.84	49.99	22.58	49.30	20.55	50.78	23.33
5/4/12 13:56	26.66	49.09	21.69	49.99	22.48	49.30	20.49	50.85	23.35
5/4/12 13:57	26.55	49.10	21.61	49.99	22.39	49.30	20.43	50.90	23.36
5/4/12 13:58	26.46	49.15	21.53	49.97	22.32	49.29	20.39	50.93	23.33
5/4/12 13:59	26.40	49.18	21.47	49.99	22.26	49.30	20.33	50.95	23.35
5/4/12 14:00	26.34	49.19	21.43	49.99	22.23	49.29	20.29	50.96	23.35
5/4/12 14:01	26.27	49.18	21.37	49.99	22.19	49.29	20.25	50.97	23.35
5/4/12 14:05	26.11	49.13	21.22	49.99	22.04	49.29	20.12	50.96	23.35
5/4/12 14:10	25.97	49.17	21.06	50.01	21.93	49.30	19.99	50.94	23.35
5/4/12 14:15	25.89	49.24	20.95	49.99	21.83	49.30	19.88	50.95	23.35
5/4/12 14:17	28.15	49.28	21.98	49.99	22.62	49.29	20.02	50.95	23.35
5/4/12 14:18	30.01	49.29	23.26	49.99	23.62	49.30	20.25	50.96	23.36
5/4/12 14:19	31.48	49.28	24.26	49.97	24.39	49.30	20.43	50.96	23.34
5/4/12 14:20	32.55	49.29	25.02	49.97	24.95	49.30	20.59	50.94	23.35
5/4/12 14:21	33.39	49.29	25.77	49.97	25.41	49.31	20.70	50.89	23.35
5/4/12 14:22	34.01	49.26	26.33	49.97	25.75	49.33	20.78	50.89	23.35
5/4/12 14:23	34.45	49.24	26.67	49.97	26.01	49.33	20.87	50.90	23.37
5/4/12 14:24	34.63	49.21	26.89	49.97	26.18	49.35	20.93	50.91	23.34
5/4/12 14:25	34.77	49.21	27.00	49.97	26.28	49.35	20.97	50.92	23.36
5/4/12 14:26	34.86	49.21	27.11	49.99	26.35	49.36	21.01	50.91	23.34
5/4/12 14:27	34.96	49.19	27.18	49.99	26.41	49.37	21.04	50.89	23.36
5/4/12 14:28	35.06	49.17	27.23	49.99	26.47	49.37	21.05	50.86	23.34
5/4/12 14:30	35.12	49.16	27.32	49.99	26.54	49.38	21.13	50.84	23.34
5/4/12 14:33	35.25	49.09	27.38	49.99	26.61	49.38	21.18	50.82	23.35
5/4/12 14:35	35.29	49.08	27.43	49.97	26.66	49.38	21.21	50.80	23.35
5/4/12 14:36	35.11	49.10	27.47	49.97	26.67	49.38	21.24	50.80	23.35
5/4/12 14:37	34.71	49.09	27.07	49.97	26.42	49.38	21.21	50.78	23.36
5/4/12 14:38	34.59	49.06	27.03	49.97	26.38	49.37	21.21	50.77	23.35
5/4/12 14:39	34.53	49.08	26.99	49.94	26.36	49.38	21.21	50.77	23.35
5/4/12 14:40	34.47	49.07	26.96	49.94	26.34	49.37	21.21	50.75	23.35
5/4/12 14:45	34.41	49.08	26.91	49.90	26.31	49.36	21.25	50.70	23.37
5/4/12 14:50	34.47	49.06	26.91	49.90	26.31	49.34	21.30	50.66	23.35
5/4/12 14:55	34.43	49.09	26.92	49.90	26.33	49.34	21.34	50.62	23.35
5/4/12 15:00	34.49	49.06	26.94	49.83	26.36	49.33	21.36	50.59	23.34
5/4/12 15:05	34.53	49.07	26.93	49.81	26.38	49.33	21.40	50.57	23.35
5/4/12 15:06	34.85	49.05	27.15	49.83	26.51	49.32	21.40	50.56	23.37
5/4/12 15:07	35.09	49.02	27.29	49.85	26.60	49.33	21.39	50.56	23.35
5/4/12 15:08	35.22	49.01	27.41	49.85	26.69	49.33	21.40	50.55	23.36
5/4/12 15:09	35.33	49.04	27.49	49.85	26.75	49.32	21.39	50.55	23.35
5/4/12 15:10	35.39	49.05	27.55	49.85	26.80	49.33	21.41	50.53	23.36
5/4/12 15:11	35.45	49.07	27.59	49.85	26.83	49.32	21.41	50.53	23.36
5/4/12 15:12	35.51	49.07	27.63	49.85	26.86	49.33	21.39	50.53	23.35
5/4/12 15:14	35.56	49.09	27.68	49.88	26.91	49.32	21.39	50.53	23.36
5/4/12 15:15	36.92	49.07	28.25	49.97	27.25	49.33	21.40	50.52	23.36
5/4/12 15:16	38.48	49.07	29.23	51.21	27.91	49.33	21.40	50.52	23.35

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 15:17	39.56	49.06	30.00	51.75	28.45	49.34	21.39	50.51	23.35
5/4/12 15:18	40.35	49.07	30.61	51.96	28.87	49.36	21.39	50.51	23.35
5/4/12 15:19	41.00	49.08	31.08	52.05	29.20	49.37	21.40	50.51	23.35
5/4/12 15:20	41.44	49.07	31.43	52.09	29.46	49.39	21.39	50.51	23.35
5/4/12 15:21	41.79	49.08	31.66	52.14	29.67	49.40	21.40	50.50	23.36
5/4/12 15:22	42.03	49.08	31.92	52.16	29.83	49.42	21.40	50.50	23.36
5/4/12 15:23	42.31	49.08	32.08	52.18	29.97	49.43	21.40	50.49	23.35
5/4/12 15:24	42.48	49.08	32.24	52.20	30.06	49.44	21.39	50.49	23.35
5/4/12 15:25	42.64	49.09	32.28	52.23	30.16	49.44	21.39	50.49	23.35
5/4/12 15:27	42.78	49.11	32.52	52.25	30.31	49.46	21.39	50.48	23.36
5/4/12 15:28	42.91	49.09	32.55	52.27	30.36	49.46	21.38	50.48	23.35
5/4/12 15:30	43.05	49.07	32.67	52.29	30.43	49.48	21.40	50.48	23.37
5/4/12 15:32	43.13	49.09	32.68	52.32	30.51	49.49	21.40	50.46	23.35
5/4/12 15:34	43.19	49.07	32.79	52.34	30.55	49.49	21.39	50.46	23.37
5/4/12 15:35	43.23	49.07	32.76	52.34	30.58	49.50	21.40	50.46	23.36
5/4/12 15:40	43.32	49.09	32.91	52.41	30.68	49.49	21.40	50.45	23.36
5/4/12 15:41	43.26	49.08	32.90	52.43	30.71	49.49	21.39	50.44	23.36
5/4/12 15:43	43.36	49.09	32.93	52.43	30.75	49.48	21.41	50.44	23.35
5/4/12 15:45	43.40	49.07	32.92	52.45	30.78	49.48	21.39	50.44	23.38
5/4/12 15:49	43.46	49.10	32.96	52.50	30.83	49.48	21.40	50.43	23.36
5/4/12 15:50	43.50	49.10	32.96	52.52	30.85	49.47	21.38	50.43	23.37
5/4/12 15:51	43.43	49.11	33.03	52.52	30.85	49.48	21.39	50.42	23.35
5/4/12 15:55	43.49	49.12	33.03	52.57	30.92	49.46	21.39	50.42	23.37
5/4/12 16:00	43.53	49.08	33.10	52.61	30.97	49.46	21.38	50.41	23.39
5/4/12 16:03	43.59	49.08	33.14	52.63	31.00	49.45	21.39	50.40	23.37
5/4/12 16:05	43.55	49.08	33.15	52.66	31.02	49.45	21.39	50.40	23.38
5/4/12 16:09	43.58	49.09	33.20	52.68	31.07	49.45	21.40	50.39	23.37
5/4/12 16:10	43.60	49.09	33.20	52.70	31.07	49.44	21.38	50.39	23.37
5/4/12 16:15	43.65	49.07	33.26	52.75	31.15	49.43	21.38	50.39	23.38
5/4/12 16:20	43.74	49.06	33.28	52.79	31.17	49.43	21.41	50.38	23.38
5/4/12 16:25	43.75	49.08	33.31	52.81	31.23	49.42	21.39	50.37	23.37
5/4/12 16:30	43.76	49.09	33.30	52.86	31.26	49.42	21.39	50.36	23.38
5/4/12 16:35	43.83	49.06	33.36	52.90	31.32	49.41	21.40	50.38	23.39
5/4/12 16:40	43.85	49.07	33.35	52.95	31.37	49.41	21.41	50.36	23.37
5/4/12 16:45	43.87	49.08	33.38	52.97	31.40	49.40	21.40	50.35	23.38
5/4/12 16:50	43.87	49.10	33.45	53.02	31.43	49.39	21.40	50.34	23.40
5/4/12 16:55	43.92	49.10	33.48	53.04	31.47	49.39	21.40	50.33	23.39
5/4/12 17:00	43.95	49.08	33.47	53.09	31.52	49.39	21.40	50.32	23.39
5/4/12 17:05	43.96	49.08	33.54	53.11	31.55	49.38	21.40	50.30	23.40
5/4/12 17:10	44.01	49.08	33.52	53.15	31.58	49.38	21.40	50.30	23.39
5/4/12 17:14	43.80	49.10	33.50	53.18	31.62	49.38	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 17:15	43.57	49.08	33.33	53.18	31.48	49.38	21.39	50.29	23.38
5/4/12 17:16	43.42	49.09	33.21	53.20	31.43	49.38	21.39	50.29	23.38
5/4/12 17:17	43.34	49.10	33.13	53.20	31.40	49.38	21.39	50.29	23.39
5/4/12 17:18	43.24	49.10	33.06	53.22	31.38	49.37	21.41	50.29	23.39
5/4/12 17:19	43.18	49.10	33.04	53.22	31.37	49.37	21.39	50.29	23.39
5/4/12 17:20	43.12	49.10	33.01	53.22	31.37	49.37	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 17:21	43.07	49.09	32.99	53.22	31.37	49.36	21.40	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:25	43.06	49.09	33.01	53.27	31.40	49.36	21.40	50.28	23.38
5/4/12 17:26	43.12	49.11	33.01	53.27	31.42	49.35	21.40	50.28	23.39
5/4/12 17:30	43.12	49.12	33.03	53.29	31.46	49.36	21.40	50.28	23.39
5/4/12 17:35	43.16	49.08	33.05	53.31	31.52	49.36	21.39	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:40	43.15	49.05	33.07	53.33	31.56	49.35	21.40	50.28	23.38
5/4/12 17:45	43.20	49.09	33.09	53.38	31.60	49.35	21.40	50.28	23.39
5/4/12 17:46	41.78	49.10	32.50	53.38	31.28	49.35	21.40	50.27	23.39
5/4/12 17:47	40.50	49.08	31.64	52.38	30.70	49.35	21.40	50.27	23.38
5/4/12 17:48	39.59	49.07	30.97	50.96	30.24	49.34	21.39	50.27	23.38
5/4/12 17:49	38.91	49.07	30.44	50.35	29.88	49.34	21.41	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:50	38.36	49.08	30.05	50.10	29.60	49.33	21.39	50.27	23.40
5/4/12 17:51	37.98	49.09	29.75	50.01	29.38	49.32	21.40	50.28	23.39
5/4/12 17:52	37.68	49.09	29.52	49.97	29.21	49.33	21.41	50.28	23.41
5/4/12 17:53	37.42	49.09	29.34	49.97	29.09	49.32	21.41	50.28	23.40

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 17:54	37.26	49.07	29.20	49.94	28.98	49.32	21.39	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:55	37.11	49.08	29.09	49.94	28.90	49.32	21.39	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:56	37.03	49.11	29.00	49.94	28.85	49.31	21.40	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:57	36.91	49.08	28.93	49.92	28.78	49.31	21.40	50.28	23.40
5/4/12 17:58	36.85	49.07	28.87	49.92	28.74	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.38
5/4/12 17:59	36.77	49.04	28.82	49.92	28.70	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 18:00	36.49	49.02	28.66	49.92	28.59	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 18:01	36.39	49.01	28.56	49.90	28.54	49.32	21.40	50.29	23.39
5/4/12 18:02	36.29	48.99	28.48	49.90	28.46	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.38
5/4/12 18:03	36.22	48.98	28.43	49.90	28.43	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 18:04	36.16	48.99	28.37	49.88	28.38	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.39
5/4/12 18:05	36.11	48.99	28.33	49.88	28.34	49.31	21.40	50.30	23.39
5/4/12 18:06	36.03	48.98	28.30	49.88	28.33	49.31	21.40	50.29	23.40
5/4/12 18:10	35.99	48.98	28.19	49.83	28.26	49.31	21.40	50.30	23.40
5/4/12 18:12	35.89	48.98	28.15	49.83	28.22	49.31	21.39	50.30	23.39
5/4/12 18:15	35.83	48.97	28.10	49.79	28.16	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.38
5/4/12 18:16	35.77	48.99	28.09	49.81	28.14	49.31	21.39	50.30	23.39
5/4/12 18:20	35.76	48.98	28.05	49.81	28.10	49.31	21.39	50.30	23.39
5/4/12 18:24	35.72	48.98	28.01	49.81	28.07	49.31	21.39	50.31	23.40
5/4/12 18:25	35.73	49.00	28.00	49.83	28.07	49.32	21.39	50.31	23.39
5/4/12 18:26	35.68	48.99	28.00	49.83	28.06	49.32	21.40	50.31	23.39
5/4/12 18:30	35.66	48.97	27.97	49.83	28.04	49.31	21.39	50.31	23.36
5/4/12 18:34	35.63	48.96	27.96	49.81	28.01	49.31	21.41	50.31	23.38
5/4/12 18:35	35.61	48.97	27.95	49.81	28.01	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.37
5/4/12 18:40	35.60	48.97	27.93	49.83	27.98	49.31	21.41	50.32	23.36
5/4/12 18:45	35.57	48.97	27.91	49.83	27.96	49.32	21.39	50.32	23.36
5/4/12 18:50	35.53	48.98	27.89	49.83	27.96	49.31	21.40	50.32	23.38
5/4/12 18:55	35.54	48.99	27.88	49.81	27.95	49.32	21.39	50.31	23.37
5/4/12 18:59	35.57	49.00	27.87	49.81	27.94	49.31	21.39	50.32	23.37
5/4/12 19:00	35.58	48.98	27.88	49.81	27.94	49.31	21.39	50.32	23.36
5/4/12 19:05	35.55	48.99	27.89	49.81	27.93	49.31	21.39	50.31	23.36
5/4/12 19:10	35.60	48.97	27.88	49.83	27.93	49.31	21.40	50.32	23.35
5/4/12 19:11	35.51	48.96	27.87	49.83	27.92	49.31	21.42	50.31	23.36
5/4/12 19:15	35.50	49.02	27.86	49.81	27.91	49.30	21.38	50.31	23.37
5/4/12 19:16	35.55	49.01	27.86	49.79	27.90	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.35
5/4/12 19:20	35.49	49.01	27.86	49.79	27.90	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.37
5/4/12 19:25	35.54	49.00	27.86	49.79	27.90	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.36
5/4/12 19:28	35.55	48.98	27.86	49.81	27.90	49.30	21.41	50.31	23.36
5/4/12 19:30	35.54	48.97	27.86	49.83	27.91	49.31	21.40	50.32	23.35
5/4/12 19:35	35.50	49.04	27.86	49.81	27.90	49.30	21.40	50.32	23.35
5/4/12 19:40	35.50	49.02	27.86	49.81	27.90	49.30	21.40	50.32	23.35
5/4/12 19:41	35.55	49.00	27.85	49.81	27.90	49.30	21.40	50.31	23.35
5/4/12 19:45	35.53	49.01	27.85	49.81	27.89	49.30	21.39	50.32	23.34
5/4/12 19:50	35.49	48.99	27.84	49.79	27.88	49.31	21.40	50.32	23.36
5/4/12 19:55	35.48	48.99	27.83	49.79	27.86	49.31	21.41	50.31	23.33
5/4/12 20:00	35.50	48.97	27.84	49.81	27.87	49.31	21.40	50.31	23.33
5/4/12 20:12	35.46	49.00	27.84	49.81	27.87	49.31	21.39	50.31	23.35
5/4/12 20:13	35.52	49.01	27.84	49.81	27.87	49.31	21.39	50.30	23.35
5/4/12 20:21	35.46	49.01	27.82	49.81	27.86	49.31	21.39	50.30	23.35
5/4/12 20:41	35.53	48.98	27.83	49.83	27.87	49.32	21.40	50.30	23.33
5/4/12 20:42	35.48	49.00	27.84	49.81	27.88	49.32	21.40	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:00	35.47	49.01	27.84	49.83	27.88	49.33	21.39	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:04	35.48	48.99	27.85	49.81	27.88	49.33	21.39	50.30	23.31
5/4/12 21:19	35.55	48.99	27.87	49.83	27.90	49.32	21.39	50.30	23.31
5/4/12 21:20	35.50	49.00	27.86	49.81	27.89	49.32	21.40	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:22	35.56	49.02	27.86	49.83	27.90	49.32	21.41	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:27	35.60	49.05	27.87	49.81	27.90	49.33	21.41	50.31	23.31
5/4/12 21:40	35.53	49.00	27.87	49.83	27.89	49.34	21.39	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:57	35.60	49.01	27.89	49.83	27.90	49.34	21.40	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 21:58	35.79	49.01	28.02	49.83	28.01	49.34	21.39	50.30	23.31
5/4/12 21:59	35.88	49.01	28.08	49.83	28.04	49.34	21.40	50.30	23.31
5/4/12 22:00	35.95	49.02	28.12	49.83	28.08	49.35	21.40	50.30	23.30

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/4/12 22:42	36.22	49.02	28.32	49.83	28.28	49.37	21.38	50.31	23.32
5/4/12 23:00	36.16	49.02	28.33	49.88	28.26	49.37	21.40	50.31	23.31
5/4/12 23:04	36.14	49.02	28.32	49.88	28.26	49.37	21.39	50.31	23.32
5/4/12 23:07	36.21	49.02	28.32	49.85	28.28	49.37	21.40	50.30	23.32
5/4/12 23:45	36.17	49.02	28.34	49.88	28.30	49.39	21.41	50.31	23.34
5/5/12 0:00	36.21	49.05	28.34	49.88	28.32	49.40	21.39	50.30	23.34
5/5/12 1:00	36.26	49.05	28.39	49.88	28.38	49.42	21.40	50.32	23.36
5/5/12 1:05	36.30	49.03	28.40	49.83	28.38	49.42	21.40	50.31	23.36
5/5/12 1:09	36.59	49.01	28.55	49.92	28.47	49.42	21.40	50.31	23.35
5/5/12 1:12	36.69	49.02	28.65	49.92	28.51	49.42	21.40	50.31	23.36
5/5/12 2:00	36.59	49.08	28.56	49.92	28.27	49.43	21.38	50.32	23.36
5/5/12 3:00	36.58	49.07	28.52	49.90	28.21	49.45	21.40	50.32	23.39
5/5/12 3:01	36.51	49.08	28.53	49.92	28.21	49.45	21.40	50.33	23.37
5/5/12 4:00	36.49	49.09	28.50	49.94	28.15	49.47	21.39	50.32	23.41
5/5/12 4:50	36.50	49.14	28.48	49.94	28.12	49.49	21.39	50.34	23.38
5/5/12 5:00	36.54	49.14	28.53	49.92	28.13	49.50	21.38	50.33	23.39
5/5/12 5:01	36.48	49.14	28.52	49.92	28.14	49.50	21.39	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 6:00	36.50	49.12	28.52	49.97	28.12	49.52	21.42	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 6:02	36.38	49.16	28.49	49.97	28.10	49.53	21.39	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 6:03	36.02	49.17	28.28	49.97	27.94	49.52	21.39	50.34	23.39
5/5/12 6:04	35.88	49.16	28.22	49.94	27.88	49.53	21.38	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 6:05	35.79	49.17	28.19	49.94	27.81	49.53	21.40	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 6:06	35.69	49.19	28.19	49.94	27.78	49.53	21.39	50.34	23.41
5/5/12 6:11	35.63	49.21	28.26	49.94	27.73	49.54	21.39	50.34	23.38
5/5/12 6:12	35.69	49.21	28.27	49.97	27.73	49.54	21.38	50.34	23.40
5/5/12 7:00	35.77	49.26	28.46	49.97	27.75	49.52	21.40	50.35	23.39
5/5/12 7:23	35.75	49.29	28.48	49.97	27.75	49.56	21.39	50.35	23.39
5/5/12 7:38	35.82	49.27	28.52	49.99	27.74	49.54	21.40	50.31	23.38
5/5/12 8:00	35.78	49.31	28.52	49.99	27.74	49.55	21.38	50.34	23.38
5/5/12 8:11	35.80	49.33	28.53	50.01	27.73	49.58	21.41	50.34	23.38
5/5/12 8:29	35.81	49.27	28.55	50.01	27.74	49.57	21.37	50.36	23.39
5/5/12 9:00	35.79	49.33	28.58	50.01	27.74	49.58	21.38	50.35	23.38
5/5/12 9:14	35.82	49.30	28.59	50.01	27.72	49.59	21.38	50.36	23.37
5/5/12 9:19	35.76	49.32	28.60	50.01	27.73	49.60	21.39	50.35	23.38
5/5/12 9:20	35.81	49.32	28.59	50.01	27.74	49.60	21.39	50.36	23.39
5/5/12 9:26	35.85	49.31	28.60	50.01	27.74	49.60	21.38	50.36	23.39
5/5/12 9:32	35.48	49.34	28.40	50.01	27.63	49.60	21.38	50.36	23.39
5/5/12 9:33	35.33	49.32	28.32	50.01	27.57	49.61	21.39	50.37	23.39
5/5/12 9:34	35.23	49.29	28.24	50.01	27.52	49.61	21.39	50.36	23.40
5/5/12 9:35	35.16	49.28	28.19	50.03	27.53	49.61	21.39	50.36	23.40
5/5/12 9:36	35.10	49.30	28.15	50.03	27.50	49.62	21.39	50.36	23.39
5/5/12 10:00	35.10	49.26	28.16	50.03	27.60	49.61	21.39	50.37	23.40
5/5/12 10:05	35.10	49.34	28.16	49.99	27.60	49.62	21.39	50.38	23.39
5/5/12 10:10	35.10	49.32	28.18	50.01	27.63	49.61	21.41	50.37	23.39
5/5/12 10:12	35.10	49.34	28.18	50.06	27.62	49.60	21.39	50.37	23.39
5/5/12 10:15	35.09	49.33	28.18	50.03	27.63	49.62	21.38	50.37	23.40
5/5/12 10:19	35.07	49.31	28.18	50.01	27.65	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:20	35.10	49.30	28.18	49.99	27.63	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:21	35.12	49.30	28.19	50.01	27.63	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:22	35.12	49.30	28.18	50.01	27.64	49.61	21.38	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:23	35.12	49.31	28.18	50.03	27.65	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:24	35.09	49.31	28.19	50.03	27.64	49.62	21.40	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:25	35.13	49.28	28.18	50.01	27.64	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:26	35.13	49.28	28.19	49.97	27.64	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.38
5/5/12 10:27	35.12	49.27	28.19	49.94	27.64	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:28	33.05	49.30	27.19	49.94	27.01	49.63	21.38	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:29	31.60	49.30	26.10	49.90	26.19	49.62	21.39	50.38	23.39
5/5/12 10:30	30.57	49.29	25.31	49.99	25.61	49.62	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:31	29.87	49.26	24.75	50.08	25.16	49.63	21.39	50.38	23.39
5/5/12 10:32	29.36	49.25	24.34	50.12	24.84	49.63	21.40	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:33	28.98	49.29	24.04	50.15	24.60	49.64	21.40	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:34	28.71	49.33	23.81	50.12	24.42	49.64	21.40	50.39	23.40

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collector No. 3 Job No. : 14606
Post-Maintenance Test Transducer Data

	Ranney Collector No. 3		MW-1		MW-7		MW-2		SCADA
Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Temperature (degrees F)	River Elevation (feet)
5/5/12 10:35	28.51	49.41	23.64	50.12	24.28	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:36	28.35	49.45	23.51	50.15	24.18	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.40
5/5/12 10:37	28.22	49.47	23.41	50.15	24.09	49.65	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:38	28.13	49.47	23.32	50.15	24.02	49.65	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:39	28.05	49.50	23.26	50.15	23.95	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:40	27.99	49.51	23.20	50.17	23.90	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.38
5/5/12 10:41	27.93	49.50	23.15	50.15	23.87	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:45	27.77	49.48	22.98	50.15	23.74	49.64	21.39	50.39	23.38
5/5/12 10:50	27.65	49.43	22.86	50.12	23.61	49.61	21.38	50.39	23.39
5/5/12 10:55	27.54	49.35	22.77	50.10	23.52	49.62	21.39	50.38	23.39
5/5/12 11:00	27.45	49.36	22.70	50.08	23.44	49.60	21.38	50.38	23.41
5/5/12 11:05	27.40	49.39	22.64	50.06	23.38	49.60	21.32	50.40	23.38
5/5/12 11:10	27.34	49.44	22.59	50.06	23.32	49.64	21.24	50.42	23.38
5/5/12 11:15	27.29	49.49	22.55	50.06	23.26	49.64	21.13	50.49	23.39
5/5/12 11:20	27.24	49.42	22.51	50.06	23.21	49.61	21.07	50.60	23.39
5/5/12 11:25	27.20	49.58	22.47	50.06	23.16	49.57	20.99	50.69	23.40
5/5/12 11:30	27.16	49.54	22.44	50.06	23.11	49.60	20.91	50.86	23.40
5/5/12 11:45	27.06	49.70	22.35	50.03	23.00	49.60	20.74	51.26	23.38
5/5/12 12:00	26.98	49.69	22.28	50.06	22.90	49.60	20.61	51.25	23.38
5/5/12 12:30	26.47	49.68	21.58	50.06	22.51	49.57	20.25	51.33	23.38
5/5/12 13:00	26.29	49.74	21.41	50.06	22.33	49.57	20.03	51.29	23.38
5/5/12 14:00	26.07	49.94	21.19	50.06	22.07	49.66	19.73	51.26	23.37
5/5/12 15:00	25.91	49.95	21.05	50.06	21.89	49.65	19.50	51.39	23.39
5/5/12 16:00	25.81	50.04	20.94	50.06	21.75	49.66	19.37	51.12	23.38
5/5/12 17:00	25.73	50.13	20.88	50.06	21.67	49.67	19.27	51.04	23.37
5/5/12 18:00	25.67	50.24	20.83	50.08	21.58	49.72	19.18	51.08	23.38
5/5/12 18:34	25.65	50.24	20.80	50.06	21.55	49.73	19.14	51.02	23.37
5/5/12 18:35			20.80	50.06	21.56	49.74	19.14	51.06	23.36
5/5/12 19:00			20.79	50.08	21.54	49.76	19.12	51.06	23.34
5/5/12 19:04			20.79	50.08	21.53	49.73	19.11	51.02	23.33
5/5/12 19:18					21.52	49.73	19.10	51.04	23.33
5/5/12 19:30							19.07	51.05	23.30
5/5/12 20:00									23.29
5/5/12 21:00									23.25
5/5/12 22:00									23.23
5/5/12 23:00									23.23
5/6/12 0:00									23.21

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test

Flow Meter: Water Specialties Model ML20-D digital flow meter manufactured by McCrometer, Inc

Date/Time	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Totalizer Reading (10000 gal)	Meter Rate (gpm)	Amount Pumped (gallons)	Average Pumping Rate (gpm)	Comments
5/3/12 9:07	0	0	117	8500			Start of Step 1
5/3/12 9:08	1	1		7300			
5/3/12 9:09	2	2		3000			
5/3/12 9:10	3	3	118	3000	10000	3333	
5/3/12 9:14	7	7	120		30000	4569	
5/3/12 9:15	8	8	120	3000	30000	3750	
5/3/12 9:20	13	13	122	3067	50000	3846	
5/3/12 9:25	18	18	123	3085	60000	3333	
5/3/12 9:27	20	20	124		70000	3556	
5/3/12 9:30	23	23	124	2998	70000	3043	
5/3/12 9:35	28	28	126	3018	90000	3214	
5/3/12 9:40	33	33	127	3074	100000	3030	
5/3/12 9:45	38	38	129	3058	120000	3158	
5/3/12 9:50	43	43	129	3016	120000	2791	
5/3/12 9:53	46	46	132		150000	3266	
5/3/12 9:55	48	48	132	3032	150000	3125	
5/3/12 10:00	53	53	132	3028	150000	2830	
5/3/12 10:05	58	58	135	3038	180000	3103	
5/3/12 10:06	59	59	136		190000	3217	Ave. for step = 3050 gpm
5/3/12 10:07	60	60					Start of Step 2
5/3/12 10:09	62	2	137		10000	5607	
5/3/12 10:10	63	3	137	4515	10000	3333	
5/3/12 10:15	68	8	139	4528	30000	3750	
5/3/12 10:20	73	13	142	4563	60000	4615	
5/3/12 10:25	78	18	144	4516	80000	4444	
5/3/12 10:30	83	23	147	4586	110000	4783	
5/3/12 10:34	87	27	149	4568	130000	4824	
5/3/12 10:35	88	28	149	4515	130000	4643	
5/3/12 10:40	93	33	151	4513	150000	4545	
5/3/12 10:45	98	38	153	4490	170000	4474	
5/3/12 10:49	102	42	156	4510	200000	4728	
5/3/12 10:50	103	43	156	4490	200000	4651	
5/3/12 11:00	113	53	161	4543	250000	4693	
5/3/12 11:05	118	58	163	4603	270000	4655	
5/3/12 11:07	120	60	164		280000	4678	Ave. for step = 4650 gpm
5/3/12 11:08	121	61					Start of Step 3
5/3/12 11:10	123	2	166	6058	20000		
5/3/12 11:15	128	7	168	5998	40000	5714	
5/3/12 11:20	133	12	171	6087	70000	5833	
5/3/12 11:25	138	17	174	6123	100000	5882	
5/3/12 11:30	143	22	178	6095	140000	6259	
5/3/12 11:35	148	27	180	6063	160000	5926	
5/3/12 11:40	153	32	184	6080	200000	6186	
5/3/12 11:45	158	37	186	6028	220000	5946	
5/3/12 11:50	163	42	189	6143	250000	5952	
5/3/12 11:55	168	47	192	6021	280000	5957	
5/3/12 11:57	170	49	194		300000	6131	
5/3/12 12:00	173	52	195	6030	310000	5962	
5/3/12 12:02	175	54	197		330000	6121	Ave. for step = 6020 gpm
5/3/12 12:05	178	57	198	6025	340000	5965	
5/3/12 12:08	181	60					Start of Step 4

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test

Flow Meter: Water Specialties Model ML20-D digital flow meter manufactured by McCrometer, Inc

Date/Time	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Totalizer Reading (10000 gal)	Meter Rate (gpm)	Amount Pumped (gallons)	Average Pumping Rate (gpm)	Comments
5/3/12 12:10	183	2	202	7540	40000		
5/3/12 12:15	188	7	205	7390	70000		
5/3/12 12:20	193	12	209	7483	110000	9167	
5/3/12 12:25	198	17	212	7481	140000	8235	
5/3/12 12:30	203	22	216	7404	180000	8182	
5/3/12 12:33	206	25	219		210000	8525	
5/3/12 12:35	208	27	221	7544	230000	8519	
5/3/12 12:40	213	32	224	7344	260000	8125	
5/3/12 12:45	218	37	228	7424	300000	8108	
5/3/12 12:47	220	39	230		320000	8108	
5/3/12 12:50	223	42	231	7518	330000	7857	
5/3/12 12:55	228	47	239	7514	410000	8723	
5/3/12 13:00	233	52	239	7381	410000	7946	
5/3/12 13:05	238	57	242	7302	440000	7719	
5/3/12 13:08	241	60	245		470000	7873	Ave. for step = 7420 gpm
5/3/12 14:45	0						Pump on to flush well
5/3/12 14:50	5		248	3400	30000	6000	
5/3/12 14:57	12		250		50000	4167	
5/3/12 15:22	37		259		140000	3784	
5/3/12 15:53	68		270		250000	3676	
5/3/12 16:10	85		276		310000	3647	
5/3/12 16:18	93		279		340000	3656	
5/3/12 16:25	100		281		360000	3600	
5/3/12 16:28	103		282	5300	370000	3592	
5/3/12 16:32	107		285		400000	3738	
5/3/12 16:41	116		290		450000	3879	
5/3/12 16:43	118			3700			
5/3/12 16:44	119			6500			
5/3/12 16:45	120		292		470000	3917	
5/3/12 16:53	128		297		520000	4063	
5/3/12 17:07	142		306		610000	4296	
5/3/12 17:21	156		315		700000	4487	

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top edge of hatch frame in intermediate floor, 0.5 feet above floor
Measuring Point Elevation: 53.5

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/2/12 15:13	25.30				28.20	
5/2/12 15:55	25.27				28.23	
5/3/12 7:55	26.19				27.31	
5/3/12 8:02	26.20				27.30	
5/3/12 8:48	26.21				27.29	
5/3/12 9:07		0				Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:18	33.32	11		7.11	20.18	
5/3/12 9:19	33.36	12		7.15	20.14	
5/3/12 9:20	33.42	13		7.21	20.08	
5/3/12 9:21	33.47	14		7.26	20.03	
5/3/12 9:22	33.49	15		7.28	20.01	
5/3/12 9:29	33.66	22		7.45	19.84	
5/3/12 9:39	33.79	32		7.58	19.71	
5/3/12 9:59	33.98	52		7.77	19.52	
5/3/12 10:04	34.01	57		7.80	19.49	
5/3/12 10:07		60				Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:11	36.54	64		10.33	16.96	
5/3/12 10:12	36.99	65		10.78	16.51	
5/3/12 10:14	37.52	67		11.31	15.98	
5/3/12 10:15	37.71	68		11.50	15.79	
5/3/12 10:17	37.95	70		11.74	15.55	
5/3/12 10:18	38.26	71		12.05	15.24	
5/3/12 10:20	40.38	73		14.17	13.12	
5/3/12 10:24	39.90	77		13.69	13.60	
5/3/12 10:30	39.15	83		12.94	14.35	
5/3/12 10:52	39.08	105		12.87	14.42	
5/3/12 10:56	39.12	109		12.91	14.38	
5/3/12 11:08		121				Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:12	42.16	125		15.95	11.34	
5/3/12 11:13	42.45	126		16.24	11.05	
5/3/12 11:14	42.75	127		16.54	10.75	
5/3/12 11:15	42.98	128		16.77	10.52	
5/3/12 11:19	43.52	132		17.31	9.98	
5/3/12 11:20	43.67	133		17.46	9.83	
5/3/12 11:21	43.75	134		17.54	9.75	
5/3/12 11:22	43.81	135		17.60	9.69	
5/3/12 11:23	43.87	136		17.66	9.63	
5/3/12 11:27	44.05	140		17.84	9.45	
5/3/12 11:47	44.43	160		18.22	9.07	
5/3/12 11:51	44.47	164		18.26	9.03	
5/3/12 11:54	44.51	167		18.30	8.99	
5/3/12 12:08		181				Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:12	47.50	185		21.29	6.00	
5/3/12 12:13	47.92	186		21.71	5.58	
5/3/12 12:14	48.20	187		21.99	5.30	
5/3/12 12:15	48.43	188		22.22	5.07	
5/3/12 12:16	48.60	189		22.39	4.90	
5/3/12 12:17	48.77	190		22.56	4.73	

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top edge of hatch frame in intermediate floor, 0.5 feet above floor
Measuring Point Elevation: 53.5

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/3/12 12:18	48.91	191		22.70	4.59	
5/3/12 12:19	49.01	192		22.80	4.49	
5/3/12 12:20	49.10	193		22.89	4.40	
5/3/12 12:21	49.20	194		22.99	4.30	
5/3/12 12:23	49.34	196		23.13	4.16	
5/3/12 12:28	49.54	201		23.33	3.96	
5/3/12 12:50	49.93	223		23.72	3.57	
5/3/12 12:55	49.98	228		23.77	3.52	
5/3/12 13:08		241				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/3/12 13:12	34.89	245		8.68	18.61	
5/3/12 13:44	27.32	277		1.11	26.18	
5/3/12 14:45						Pump on to flush well
5/3/12 15:04		357				Level meter 36.4
5/3/12 15:57		410				Level meter 36.0
5/3/12 16:12		425				Level meter 35.9
5/3/12 16:30		443				Level meter 33.0
5/3/12 17:04		477				Level meter 27.7
5/3/2012						
5/4/12 7:09	24.94				28.56	
5/4/12 9:46	25.51				27.99	
5/4/12 9:49		0		0		Start test
5/4/12 9:50	27.85	4		2.91	25.65	
5/4/12 9:51	29.14	5		4.20	24.36	
5/4/12 9:52	30.55	6		5.61	22.95	
5/4/12 9:53	31.21	7		6.27	22.29	
5/4/12 9:54	31.84	8		6.90	21.66	
5/4/12 9:55	32.29	9		7.35	21.21	
5/4/12 9:56	32.63	10		7.69	20.87	
5/4/12 9:57	32.91	11		7.97	20.59	
5/4/12 9:58	33.11	12		8.17	20.39	
5/4/12 9:59	33.25	13		8.31	20.25	
5/4/12 10:00	33.37	14		8.43	20.13	
5/4/12 10:01	33.45	15		8.51	20.05	
5/4/12 10:02	33.51	16		8.57	19.99	
5/4/12 10:03	33.57	17		8.63	19.93	
5/4/12 10:04	33.61	18		8.67	19.89	
5/4/12 10:09	33.74	23		8.80	19.76	
5/4/12 10:20	33.89	34		8.95	19.61	
5/4/12 10:28	33.96	42		9.02	19.54	
5/4/12 10:34	34.01	48		9.07	19.49	
5/4/12 10:39	34.03	53		9.09	19.47	
5/4/12 10:45	34.08	59		9.14	19.42	
5/4/12 10:49	34.10	63		9.16	19.40	
5/4/12 12:48	35.84	182		10.90	17.66	Pump 3.2 off
5/4/12 12:50		184				Pump 3.1 on
5/4/12 12:57	34.34	191		9.40	19.16	
5/4/12 13:00		194				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 13:01		195				Pump 3.2 on

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top edge of hatch frame in intermediate floor, 0.5 feet above floor
Measuring Point Elevation: 53.5

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/4/12 13:11	35.55	205		10.61	17.95	
5/4/12 13:46		240				Pump 3.2 off
5/4/12 14:16		270				Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 14:34	35.31	288		10.37	18.19	
5/4/12 14:36		290				Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 off
5/4/12 14:38	34.45	292		9.51	19.05	
5/4/12 14:43	34.50	297		9.56	19.00	
5/4/12 14:53	34.50	307		9.56	19.00	
5/4/12 15:04		318				Pump 3.2 on, Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 15:11	35.51	325		10.57	17.99	
5/4/12 15:14		328				Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 15:17	39.95	331		15.01	13.55	
5/4/12 15:21	41.85	335		16.91	11.65	
5/4/12 15:26	42.72	340		17.78	10.78	
5/4/12 15:30	43.10	344		18.16	10.40	
5/4/12 15:35	43.27	349		18.33	10.23	
5/4/12 15:42	43.40	356		18.46	10.10	
5/4/12 15:48	43.48	362		18.54	10.02	
5/4/12 16:05	43.66	379		18.72	9.84	
5/4/12 16:17	43.77	391		18.83	9.73	
5/4/12 16:39	43.92	413		18.98	9.58	
5/4/12 17:01	44.05	435		19.11	9.45	
5/4/12 17:14	44.11	448		19.17	9.39	
5/4/12 17:45		479				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 17:50	38.41	484		13.47	15.09	
5/4/12 18:13	35.93	507		10.99	17.57	
5/4/12 18:43	35.65	537		10.71	17.85	
5/4/12 19:10	35.62	564		10.68	17.88	
5/5/12 7:08	35.85	1282		10.91	17.65	
5/5/12 7:48	35.84	1322		10.90	17.66	
5/5/12 8:20	35.85	1354		10.91	17.65	
5/5/12 9:08	35.86	1402		10.92	17.64	
5/5/12 9:27	35.87	1421		10.93	17.63	
5/5/12 9:41	35.09	1435		10.15	18.41	
5/5/12 9:49	35.12	1443		10.18	18.38	
5/5/12 10:04	35.17	1458		10.23	18.33	
5/5/12 10:18	35.17	1472		10.23	18.33	
5/5/12 10:27		1481				Pump 3.2 off Start Recovery
5/5/12 10:28	32.82	1482		7.88	20.68	
5/5/12 10:29	31.80	1483		6.86	21.70	
5/5/12 10:30	30.43	1484		5.49	23.07	
5/5/12 10:31	30.01	1485		5.07	23.49	
5/5/12 10:32	29.46	1486		4.52	24.04	
5/5/12 10:33	29.07	1487		4.13	24.43	
5/5/12 10:34	28.79	1488		3.85	24.71	
5/5/12 10:35	28.58	1489		3.64	24.92	
5/5/12 10:36	28.41	1490		3.47	25.09	
5/5/12 10:37	28.28	1491		3.34	25.22	

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top edge of hatch frame in intermediate floor, 0.5 feet above floor
Measuring Point Elevation: 53.5

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/5/12 10:38	28.18	1492		3.24	25.32	
5/5/12 10:39	28.10	1493		3.16	25.40	
5/5/12 10:40	28.03	1494		3.09	25.47	
5/5/12 10:41	27.98	1495		3.04	25.52	
5/5/12 10:42	27.93	1496		2.99	25.57	
5/5/12 10:47	27.77	1501		2.83	25.73	
5/5/12 10:52	27.63	1506		2.69	25.87	
5/5/12 10:57	27.56	1511		2.62	25.94	
5/5/12 11:11	27.37	1525		2.43	26.13	
5/5/12 11:17	27.32	1531		2.38	26.18	
5/5/12 11:22	27.27	1536		2.33	26.23	
5/5/12 11:27	27.23	1541		2.29	26.27	
5/5/12 12:03	27.02	1577		2.08	26.48	
5/5/12 13:34	26.20	1668		1.26	27.30	
5/5/12 14:23	26.05	1717		1.11	27.45	
5/5/12 15:27	25.91	1781		0.97	27.59	
5/5/12 16:31	25.81	1845		0.87	27.69	
5/5/12 17:27	25.75	1901		0.81	27.75	
5/5/12 18:30	25.69	1964		0.75	27.81	

Well ID: MW-1 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 186 feet east of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of protective casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 49.25 estimated

Date/Time	Difference	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/2/12 16:27					24.31	
5/2/12 16:49	0.00				28.73	
5/3/12 7:15	-0.15				27.72	
5/3/12 7:32	-0.15				27.72	
5/3/12 8:42	-0.15				27.70	
5/3/12 9:07		0				Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:44	-1.81	37		3.83	23.87	
5/3/12 10:07		60				Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:45	-3.12	98		6.13	21.57	
5/3/12 11:08		121				Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:38	-7.09	151		5.76	21.94	
5/3/12 12:08		181				Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:39	-9.41	212		6.68	21.02	
5/3/12 13:08		241				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/3/12 14:30	-0.41				28.05	
5/4/12 7:29	-0.35				29.45	
5/4/12 9:34	-0.52				28.99	
5/4/12 9:49		0				Start Test
5/4/12 13:16	-2.54	207		4.94	24.05	
5/4/12 15:14						Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 16:34	-6.71	405		6.34	22.65	
5/4/12 17:45		476				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 18:30	-2.57	521		5.14	23.85	
5/4/12 19:26	-2.44	577		5.15	23.84	
5/5/12 7:31	-2.32	1302		5.92	23.07	
5/5/12 8:30	-2.45	1361		5.84	23.15	
5/5/12 9:31	-2.38	1422		5.94	23.05	
5/5/12 10:09	-1.97	1460		5.95	23.04	
5/5/12 10:27		1478				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/5/12 11:02	-0.16	1513		2.26	26.73	
5/5/12 11:32	-0.20	1543		1.97	27.02	
5/5/12 12:11	-0.12	1582		1.46	27.53	
5/5/12 13:23	-0.09	1654		0.96	28.03	
5/5/12 14:36	-0.08	1727		0.76	28.23	
5/5/12 15:33	-0.07	1784		0.66	28.33	
5/5/12 16:36	-0.06	1847		0.58	28.41	
5/5/12 16:52	-0.07	1863		0.56	28.43	
5/5/12 17:34	-0.05	1905		0.54	28.45	
5/5/12 19:02	-0.05	1993		0.48	28.51	

Well ID: MW-1 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 186 feet east of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of protective casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 49.25 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/2/12 16:27	24.94				24.31	
5/2/12 16:49	20.52				28.73	
5/3/12 7:15	21.53				27.72	
5/3/12 7:32	21.53				27.72	
5/3/12 8:42	21.55				27.70	
5/3/12 9:07		0				Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:44	25.38	37		3.83	23.87	
5/3/12 10:07		60				Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:45	27.68	98		6.13	21.57	
5/3/12 11:08		121				Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:38	27.31	151		5.76	21.94	
5/3/12 12:08		181				Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:39	28.23	212		6.68	21.02	
5/3/12 13:08		241				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/3/12 14:30	21.20				28.05	
5/4/12 7:29	19.80				29.45	
5/4/12 9:34	20.26				28.99	
5/4/12 9:49		0				Start Test
5/4/12 13:16	25.20	207		4.94	24.05	
5/4/12 15:14						Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 16:34	26.60	405		6.34	22.65	
5/4/12 17:45		476				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 18:30	25.40	521		5.14	23.85	
5/4/12 19:26	25.41	577		5.15	23.84	
5/5/12 7:31	26.18	1302		5.92	23.07	
5/5/12 8:30	26.10	1361		5.84	23.15	
5/5/12 9:31	26.20	1422		5.94	23.05	
5/5/12 10:09	26.21	1460		5.95	23.04	
5/5/12 10:27		1478				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/5/12 11:02	22.52	1513		2.26	26.73	
5/5/12 11:32	22.23	1543		1.97	27.02	
5/5/12 12:11	21.72	1582		1.46	27.53	
5/5/12 13:23	21.22	1654		0.96	28.03	
5/5/12 14:36	21.02	1727		0.76	28.23	
5/5/12 15:33	20.92	1784		0.66	28.33	
5/5/12 16:36	20.84	1847		0.58	28.41	
5/5/12 16:52	20.82	1863		0.56	28.43	
5/5/12 17:34	20.80	1905		0.54	28.45	
5/5/12 19:02	20.74	1993		0.48	28.51	

Well ID: MW-7 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 221 feet west of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 48.85 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/2/12 16:09	20.95				27.90	
5/2/12 17:15	20.92				27.93	
5/3/12 7:19	21.77				27.08	
5/3/12 7:37	21.77				27.08	
5/3/12 8:45	21.78				27.07	
5/3/12 9:07		0				Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:48	26.05	41		4.27	22.80	
5/3/12 10:07		60				Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:39	27.81	92		6.03	21.04	
5/3/12 11:08		121				Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:34	31.37	147		9.59	17.48	
5/3/12 12:08		181				Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:44	34.40	217		12.62	14.45	
5/3/12 13:08		241				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/3/12 14:07	23.05				25.80	
5/4/12 7:33	20.87				27.98	
5/4/12 9:41	21.19				27.66	
5/4/12 9:49		0		0.00		Start Test
5/4/12 10:14	25.77	25		4.58	23.08	
5/4/12 13:26	27.10	217		5.91	21.75	
5/4/12 15:14		325				Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 16:22	31.28	393		10.09	17.57	
5/4/12 17:45		476				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 18:34	28.08	525		6.89	20.77	
5/4/12 19:32	27.97	583		6.78	20.88	
5/5/12 7:36	27.82	1307		6.63	21.03	
5/5/12 8:44	27.82	1375		6.63	21.03	
5/5/12 9:34	27.60	1425		6.41	21.25	
5/5/12 10:13	27.70	1464		6.51	21.15	
5/5/12 10:27		1478				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/5/12 11:07	23.41	1518		2.22	25.44	
5/5/12 11:37	23.12	1548		1.93	25.73	
5/5/12 12:16	22.70	1587		1.51	26.15	
5/5/12 13:27	22.26	1658		1.07	26.59	
5/5/12 14:33	22.03	1724		0.84	26.82	
5/5/12 15:39	21.86	1790		0.67	26.99	
5/5/12 16:42	21.75	1853		0.56	27.10	
5/5/12 17:39	21.67	1910		0.48	27.18	
5/5/12 19:17	21.58	2008		0.39	27.27	

Well ID: MW-2 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: 29.5 feet west of outside wall of collector well caisson
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Measuring Point Elevation: 46.26 estimated

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Elapsed Time from Start of Pumping (minutes)	Elapsed Time from Start of Step (minutes)	Observed Drawdown (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)	Comments
5/2/12 16:44	18.68				27.58	
5/2/12 17:01	18.67				27.59	
5/3/12 7:35	19.12				27.14	
5/3/12 8:43	19.13				27.13	
5/3/12 9:07		0				Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:46	20.55	39		1.42	25.71	
5/3/12 10:07		60				Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:35	21.79	88		2.66	24.47	
5/3/12 11:08		121				Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:32	23.05	145		3.92	23.21	
5/3/12 12:08		181				Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:34	24.50	207		5.37	21.76	
5/3/12 13:08		241				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/4/12 7:25	18.39				27.87	
5/4/12 9:37	18.50				27.76	
5/4/12 9:49		0				Start Test
5/4/12 13:18	21.67	209		3.17	24.59	
5/4/12 15:14		325				Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 16:31	23.88	402		5.38	22.38	
5/4/12 17:45		476				Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 18:37	23.29	528		4.79	22.97	
5/4/12 19:29	23.07	580		4.57	23.19	
5/5/12 7:34	23.33	1305		4.83	22.93	
5/5/12 8:40	23.32	1371		4.82	22.94	
5/5/12 9:37	23.25	1428		4.75	23.01	
5/5/12 10:16	23.19	1467		4.69	23.07	
5/5/12 10:27		1478				Pump off, Start Recovery
5/5/12 11:05	21.38	1516		2.88	24.88	
5/5/12 11:42	20.81	1553		2.31	25.45	
5/5/12 12:14	20.47	1585		1.97	25.79	
5/5/12 13:25	19.91	1656		1.41	26.35	
5/5/12 14:31	19.63	1722		1.13	26.63	
5/5/12 15:36	19.44	1787		0.94	26.82	
5/5/12 16:40	19.31	1851		0.81	26.95	
5/5/12 17:37	19.23	1908		0.73	27.03	

Well ID: Ranney Collector No. 3 **Job No. :** 14606
Client: Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Authority
Location: North side of Mad River approx. 3600 feet southeast of the WTP
Test Information: Post-maintenance pumping test
Sampling Point: Tap at turbidity meter

Date/Time	Thermometer Temperature (degrees F)	Thermometer Temperature (degrees C)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Turbidity (1) (NTU)	Comments
5/3/12 9:07					Start Step 1
5/3/12 9:34	51.8	11	139		
5/3/12 10:02	51.4	10.8	127		
5/3/12 10:07					Start Step 2
5/3/12 10:28	50.9	10.5	123		
5/3/12 10:54	50.4	10.2	122		
5/3/12 11:08					Start Step 3
5/3/12 11:26	49.8	9.9	123		
5/3/12 11:50	49.6	9.8	123		
5/3/12 12:08					Start Step 4
5/3/12 12:26	49.5	9.7	126		
5/3/12 12:53	49.3	9.6	125		
5/3/12 13:08					Pump off, Start Recovery
5/3/12 15:05	49.6	9.8	121	11.8	
5/3/12 14:45					Pump on to flush well
5/3/12 15:56				3.9	
5/3/12 16:12				3.4	
5/3/12 16:30				2.8	
5/3/12 17:04				4.0	
5/4/12 9:49					Start Test
5/4/12 13:06	49.5	9.7	124	1.8	
5/4/12 14:36				1.3	
5/4/12 14:58	49.6	9.8	125	1.3	
5/4/12 15:14					Pump 3.1 on, Pump 3.2 on
5/4/12 15:33	49.6	9.8	127	1.9	
5/4/12 16:08	49.5	9.7	128	1.8	
5/4/12 16:42	49.3	9.6	129	1.5	
5/4/12 17:03	49.6	9.8	128	1.4	
5/4/12 17:45					Pump 3.1 off
5/4/12 17:53	49.6	9.8	126	1.4	
5/4/12 18:17	49.6	9.8	127	1.2	
5/4/12 18:46	49.5	9.7	129	1.1	
5/4/12 19:14	49.5	9.7	127	1.1	
5/5/12 7:14	49.6	9.8	130	0.9	
5/5/12 7:51	49.6	9.8	128	1.0	
5/5/12 8:22	49.6	9.8	129	1.0	
5/5/12 9:15	49.6	9.8	130	1.0	
5/5/12 9:43	49.8	9.9	130	1.0	
5/5/12 10:21	49.6	9.8	129	1.0	
5/5/12 10:27					Pump off, Start Recovery

INSPECTION REPORT
COLLECTOR WELLS
1A, 1, 3 and 4





Prepared For:

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District
Eureka, California

Prepared By:

Collector Wells International, Inc.
A Layne Christensen Company

Columbus, Ohio
January 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0 Introduction 1
- 1.1 Background 1
- 1.2 Report Organization 2
- 1.3 Limitations 2

2.0 Collector Well Inspection Procedures 3

3.0 Collector Well Conditions and Inspection Results 5
- 3.1 Collector Well 1A Inspection Results 5
- 3.2 Collector Well 1 Inspection Results 7
- 3.3 Collector Well 3 Inspection Results 9
- 3.4 Collector Well 4 Inspection Results 12
- 3.5 Water Quality Results 14

4.0 Collector Well 3 Test Drilling Activities 16

5.0 Summary and Recommendations 20
- 5.1 Summary 20
- 5.2 Recommendations 22

6.0 References 25

LIST OF FIGURES (Rear of Report)

Figure 1 – Project Location Map

Figure 2 – Well Location Map

Figure 3 – Collector Well 1A Inspection Hydrograph

Figure 4 – Collector Well 1 Inspection Hydrograph

Figure 5 – Collector Well 3 Inspection Hydrograph

Figure 6 – Collector Well 4 Inspection Hydrograph

LIST OF TABLES (Rear of Report)

Table 1 – Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3 and 4 Design Summary

Table 2 – Collector Well Inspections Water Level and Pumping Rate Summary

Table 3 – Collector Well 1A Lateral Condition Summary

Table 4 – Collector Well 1A Lateral Flow Analysis

Table 5 – Collector Well 1 Lateral Condition Summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table 6 – Collector Well 3 Lateral Condition Summary

Table 7 – Collector Well 3 Lateral Flow Analysis

Table 8 – Collector Well 4 Lateral Condition Summary

Table 9 – Collector Well 4 Lateral Flow Analysis

Table 10 – Water Quality Results

LIST OF APPENDICES (Rear of Report)

Appendix A – Collector Well Information

Appendix B – Water Level Data

Appendix C – Diver Observations

Appendix D – Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video – Collector Well 1A

Appendix E – Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video – Collector Well 1 (PS1)

Appendix F – Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video – Collector Well 3 (PS3)

Appendix G – Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video – Collector Well 4 (PS4)

Appendix H – Water Quality Laboratory Reports

Appendix I – Collector Well 3 Test Boring Data

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Collector Wells International, Inc. (CWI), a Layne Christensen Company, recently completed inspections of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (District) Collector Wells (Pump Stations) 1A, 1, 3 and 4. The work was conducted the as authorized by Professional Services Agreement No. 52-RCE-1 dated October 11, 2006, in accordance with the CWI proposal dated October 10, 2006.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The District has six (6) collector wells (1, 1A, 2, 3, 4 and 5) located along the Mad River in Arcata, California (Figures 1 and 2). The District designates Collector Wells 1 through 5 as pumping stations (PS) with their respective numbers (e.g. PS1 for Collector Well 1). Construction of Collector Wells 1, 2, 3 and 4 was begun in 1961 and performance testing was conducted in 1962. Collector Well 5 is not currently in service. Collector Well 1 is connected to Collector Well 1A by a siphon line, and there are no pumps installed in Collector Well 1A. Nearly all of laterals in Collector Well 1 are plugged or have the valves closed, so effectively, the water pumped from Collector Well 1 is derived almost entirely from Collector Well 1A.

Each Collector Well consists of a reinforced concrete caisson with an inner diameter of 13 feet and an outer diameter of 16 feet (Ranney, 1962). The Collectors vary in the numbers and lengths of laterals installed. A summary of the available information on the collector wells is presented in Table 1, and the original construction diagrams for Collectors 1, 3 and 4 are presented in Appendix A. The laterals in the wells were constructed with 12-inch OD, punch-slotted steel well screen with 3/8-inch by 1-1/16-inch rectangular slots.

During an inspection of Collector Well 2 (PS2) (Reynolds, Inc., 2003), and during subsequent maintenance on this collector well (CWI, 2005), it was determined that several of the laterals have substantial upward deflections and there are sand lines that had been left in place in some of the laterals from the original construction. The deflection of the laterals and the presence of the sand lines prohibit access to the laterals for maintenance. Because of the age of the collector wells, and the condition of some of the laterals in Collector Well 2, it was considered prudent to

ascertain the condition of Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3 and 4 in order to plan for future water supply and maintenance needs.

In April 2006, a test boring was drilled adjacent to Collector Well 3 and a short-term pumping test was conducted in this boring. This work was directed by Winzler & Kelly Consulting Engineers of Eureka, California to evaluate the feasibility of the installation of additional laterals in Collector Well 3. An assessment of the results of the drilling and testing of this boring are included in this report.

1.2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

Section 1.0 – Introduction – presents the purpose, background and limitations of the project.

Section 2.0 – Collector Well Inspection Procedures – presents the field procedures used to conduct the inspections.

Section 3.0 – Collector Well Conditions and Inspection Results – presents a summary of the current conditions of the collector wells and the results of the inspections.

Section 4.0 – Collector Well 3 Test Drilling Activities – presents a summary of the drilling and testing activities and interpretation of the results

Section 5.0 – Summary and Recommendations – presents a summary of the findings and recommendations for maintenance and improvements in the collector wells.

Section 6.0 – References cited in the report

1.3 LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District for the specific applications and the purposes specified in the report. Conclusions reached in this report are based upon the objective data available to us at the time of forming our opinions and the accuracy of the report depends upon the accuracy of these data. Every effort is made to evaluate the information by the methods generally recognized to constitute accepted standard practices for ground water investigations at the time of rendering the report and the conclusions reached therein to represent our opinions. The company cannot be responsible for actual conditions proved to be materially at variance with the data collected or supplied to us, upon which our opinions are based.

2.0 COLLECTOR WELL INSPECTION PROCEDURES

The inspections of Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3 and 4 were conducted by a professional diver and support crew experienced in collector well inspections including a CWI hydrogeologist. Diving services were provided by MM Diving of Crescent City, California. The inspections were conducted from October 17 through October 20, 2006 and included the following tasks:

1. Placement of temporary screens over each of the operating pumps in each well and the siphon line in Collector Well 1A during the inspection for protection of the diver and equipment.
2. Video inspection of each caisson to determine an accurate count and condition of the control valves and laterals screens in each collector well.
3. Video inspection of each accessible lateral to observe the condition of the lateral well screen, presence and location of sand lines, degree of mineral precipitation on the screens, and accumulation of sand, silt and sediment in each lateral.
4. Measurement of the flow and temperature for each lateral under pumping conditions.
5. Video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Wells 1 and 1A.
6. Preparation of a report detailing procedures, findings and recommendations.

During the inspections, the hydrogeologist measured water levels in the collector well caissons and accessible adjacent monitoring wells using an electric water level meter. Water levels were obtained in the wells with the pumps turned on to reflect the normal operating conditions for the wells, and static water levels were obtained before the pumps were turned on when possible. For the period during which the well inspections were conducted, the District provided CWI with caisson water level records for Collector Wells 1, 2, 3 and 4; river level records; and total production values from their Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) system. River level data were also obtained from the US Geological Survey (USGS) Mad River gage station number 11481000 that is located approximately 2000 feet downstream of the water treatment plant.

The hydrogeologist also inspected above-water conditions in the caisson and in the pump house where the pumps and motors are installed. The diver inspected the underwater portion of the well including such features as: the lateral control valves, caisson walls, the bottom of the caisson and the pump column and intake area. The diver also inspected the inside of the lateral

screens in the section nearest the caisson in order to observe the amount and type of encrustation present, and to estimate the structural condition of the screens.

The diver assisted with measurements of the relative rate of flow and water temperature from each accessible lateral utilizing a specially-designed hand-held flow meter/temperature sensor. These measurements were used to determine the relative productivity of the individual laterals. During the flow measurements, the District operated only the well being inspected. This allowed determination of the total pumping rate from the collector well at the time of the flow measurements.

A color video camera was inserted into each accessible lateral to visually inspect the lateral screen to its full accessible length. The camera used was a static camera system that was projected into the laterals using a length of flexible high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe. MM Diving provided the camera system. This camera was also used to inspect the siphon line between Collector Well 1A and Collector Well 1. DVD format copies of the videos taken during the inspections are provided with this report.

Water samples were collected from Collector Wells 1A, 3 and 4 and from the river, and these samples were submitted to a laboratory for chemical screening analysis of inorganic constituents, metals and volatile organic chemicals. In Collector Well 1A, the diver collected the water samples in the caisson. Because none of the laterals are open in Collector Well 1 and the water in the well is derived from Collector Well 1A via the siphon line, no water samples were collected from this well. In Collector Wells 3 and 4, the water samples were collected from the taps in the well pump houses after allowing the water lines to purge for several minutes. The water quality analyses are for informational purposes only and are not intended for regulatory compliance.

The findings, conclusions and recommendations have been compiled in this report, prepared and reviewed by our technical personnel. This report includes analysis of the pumping data, observations and results from the inspection, water quality analyses and recommendations for activities.

3.0 COLLECTOR WELL CONDITIONS AND INSPECTION RESULTS

This section presents a brief description of the as-built design of each of the collector wells that were inspected along with a summary of the inspection results. Drawings of the construction details for Collector Wells 1, 3 and 4 from the performance testing report (Ranney, 1962) are presented in Appendix A, and a summary of information on the collector wells is presented in Table 1. Water level data collected during the inspections by CWI are presented in Appendix B.

3.1 COLLECTOR WELL 1A INSPECTION RESULTS

The inspection of Collector Well 1A was conducted on October 17, 2006. Collector Well 1A is located about 700 feet northeast of the water treatment plant and about 200 feet east southeast of Collector Well 1 (PS1). There are no pumps installed in Collector Well 1A and no pump house. The well is connected to Collector Well 1 by a siphon pipe line. Pumping of Collector Well 1 draws water from Collector Well 1A through this siphon line.

Only limited information on the construction details and no information on performance testing of Collector Well 1A was available at the time of the inspection or report preparation. The measured depth from the top of the top slab to the caisson floor was 72.7 feet at the access hatch. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 8 feet above the caisson floor. There are six laterals in each tier. The laterals in both tiers are roughly equally spaced around the perimeter of the caisson with the upper tier staggered from the lower tier so that horizontal position of each upper tier lateral is about halfway between the horizontal positions of two of the lower tier laterals. Based on the distances that the diver was able to advance the video camera in the laterals, it appears that they are all about 61 to 62 feet in length. Each lateral is equipped with a valve and there is a valve stem on each valve that leads to the top of the caisson top slab.

A summary of the visual and video inspection observations in Collector Well 1A is presented in Table 3. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 1A are presented in Appendix D. Visual inspection showed no apparent problems with the caisson or

valves. There is about 6-inches to 1 foot of loose sand and gravel and probably some small chunks of concrete on the caisson floor. The lower end of the ladder from the access hatch is loose from the caisson wall.

The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1 is located just to the left of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line consists of a 30-inch (approximate) diameter pipe. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is about 11 feet above the caisson floor.

Video inspection of the laterals showed that the interiors of the lateral screens are generally coated with black and reddish-colored bacterial and/or mineral deposits. These deposits appear to be less than ½-inch to more than 1-inch thick. In portions of the laterals the deposits are thick enough so that the screen slot openings are not visible. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of all of the laterals except the fourth lateral from the right of the ladder in the lower tier (designated as A4 in the tables). This lateral was partially blocked by what appeared to be sand and gravel at about 55 feet from the caisson wall. There were no obvious problems with the integrity of the other laterals in Collector Well 1A, however, the deposits coating the inside of the laterals prevented observation of the well screen material. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the any of the laterals in Collector Well 1A, nor were sand lines observed remaining in the laterals in Collector Wells 3 and 4. This is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations.

During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in the Collector Well 1A caisson and the closest monitoring well MW-1. These water levels and water levels provided by the District from their SCADA system for their river intake and Collector Well 1 and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the hydrographs in Figure 3. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. As indicated in Table 2, the average pumping rate from Collector Well 1 during the inspection was 6.3 million gallons per day (MGD) or about 4350 gallons per minute (gpm). Because most

of the lateral valves in Collector Well 1 are closed or the laterals are plugged, the majority of the water pumped from Collector Well 1 is obtained from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line. After 3.6 hours of pumping during the inspection, there was an observed drawdown in Collector Well 1A of 12.3 feet giving an observed specific capacity of approximately 350 gallons per minute per foot of drawdown (gpm/ft) assuming all of the water pumped was from Collector Well 1A.

Lateral flow and temperature measurements conducted in Collector Well 1A are summarized in Table 4. The results indicate that the flow from the individual laterals ranges from a minimum of about 2% of the total flow to a maximum of about 16% of the total flow. The combined flow from the lower tier makes up about 58% of the total and the combined flow from the upper tier makes up about 42% of the total. The water temperature from the individual laterals ranged from about 61° to about 65° F.

3.2 COLLECTOR WELL 1 (PS1) INSPECTION RESULTS

The inspection of Collector Well 1 (PS1) was conducted on October 18, 2006. Collector Well 1 is located about 600 feet northeast of the water treatment plant. There are three pumps installed in Collector Well 1, designated 1-2, 1-3 and 1-4. Pumping of Collector 1 draws water from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line between the two wells.

A diagram showing the construction details for Collector Well 1 is presented in Appendix A, however, the number and orientation of the laterals observed during the inspection do not completely correspond to this diagram. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 10 feet above the caisson floor. However, there are six (6) laterals (counting a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe through the caisson wall) in the lower tier instead of the three shown on the drawing. There are seven (7) laterals in the upper tier as indicated on the construction diagram, however, the orientation of these laterals as described by the divers does not seem to conform to the orientation indicated on the construction diagram.

A summary of the inspection observations in Collector Well 1 is presented in Table 5. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 1 are presented in Appendix E.

Visual inspection showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. The housekeeping in the pump house is generally very good. There is a large amount of debris on the floor of the caisson including scrap metal, wood, sand and gravel and chunks of concrete. There is what appears to be a 6-inch or 8-inch diameter stilling pipe just to the left of the access ladder. This pipe is corroded through in one spot and is just barely attached to the caisson wall. The siphon line leading to Collector Well 1 is located to the right of the ladder leading from the access hatch. The siphon line has a 90-degree elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to a belled opening. The opening is about 10 feet above the caisson floor.

All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged, capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. Consequently no video inspection of the insides of the laterals was conducted, their conditions were not ascertained, and no flow tests were performed. Numbering clockwise from the right of the ladder, in the lower tier, laterals A1 and A2 have no valves and are plugged with wood. Lateral A3 does not have a valve and is capped with a metal cap that has a pipe fitting in the center of the cap and another on top of the cap. There is a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe extending through the caisson wall that is identified as A4 in Table 4. This pipe is plugged with wood. Lateral A5 has two valves fitted together in parallel on the end of the flange, with the outer valve closed. The valve on lateral A6 is partially opened about 1 inch, and there was very strong flow of water from this lateral. In the upper tier, the valve on lateral B1 is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral. The valves on laterals B2 through B5 are closed. The valve on lateral B6 is closed, but the diver indicated that there was a slight amount of flow leaking through the valve seal. The valve on lateral B7 is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it.

During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in the Collector Well 1 caisson, a 12-inch diameter vertical well located 104 feet west of the west side of Collector Well 1 and in monitoring well MW-1. These water levels and water levels provided by the District from their SCADA system for their river intake and Collector Well 1 and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the hydrographs in Figure 4. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. As indicated in Figure 4 and Table 2, the average pumping rate from Collector Well 1 during the inspection was 5.6 MGD or about 3900 gpm with pump 1-2 running. After 1.7 hours of pumping during the inspection, the water level in Collector Well 1 decreased 12.0 feet below the static level. Because most of the lateral valves in Collector Well 1 are closed or the laterals are plugged, the majority of the water pumped from Collector Well 1 is obtained from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line, and consequently the specific capacity of Collector Well 1 could not be established during the inspection.

During the inspection of Collector Well 1, a video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Well 1 and Collector Well 1A was conducted. The diver was able to advance the camera up the siphon 170 feet from Collector Well 1 toward Collector Well 1A. At this point, the friction on the HDPE pipe prevented further advance of the camera. The measured distance from the closest side of the outside of the Collector Well 1 caisson to the closest side of the outside of the Collector Well 1A caisson is about 186 feet. As seen from the video camera, the inside of the siphon pipe has a relatively uniform thin coating of gray and reddish-colored deposits. The camera appeared to scrape this coating loose, so it is apparently relatively soft. There were no apparent obstructions in the siphon pipe or obvious problems observed. However, the end of the HDPE pipe on which the camera was attached flexed so that the camera pointed at a slight angle as it was advanced, and the full diameter of the pipe cannot be observed in the video.

3.3 COLLECTOR WELL 3 (PS3) INSPECTION RESULTS

The inspection of Collector Well 3 (PS3) was conducted on October 19, 2006. Collector Well 3 is located about 3600 feet east southeast of the water treatment plant on the north side of the Mad River. There are two pumps installed in Collector Well 3, designated 3-1 and 3-2.

A diagram showing the construction details for Collector Well 3 is presented in Appendix A. The number and orientation of the laterals observed during the inspection do appear to correspond to this diagram. There are two tiers of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the lower tier about 3 feet above the caisson floor, and the centerline of the upper tier about 4 feet above the caisson floor. There are a total of five (5) laterals in the well with four (4) laterals in the lower tier and one (1) lateral in the upper tier.

A summary of the inspection observations in Collector Well 3 is presented in Table 6. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 3 are presented in Appendix F.

Visual inspection showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. The housekeeping in the pump house is generally very good. The floor of the caisson is relatively free of debris. There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly in Collector Well 3 about 20 feet below the static water level (approximate elevation 15 feet) that is attached to the wall at two points and suspended by a cable on the free end. Also in this well, there is a valve port in the side of the caisson that might be for a surface water intake. The top of this port is at an elevation of approximately 20 feet, and the valve is capped off. The lateral valves in Collector Well 3 have long-stemmed actuator assemblies, and all but the valve on lateral A3 have a cable attached to the actuator that leads up to the intermediate floor. Also, there are pipes leading to the bottom of the caisson that are attached to what appears to be some type of venturi lift system.

Video inspection of the laterals in Collector Well 3 showed that the interiors of the lateral screens are generally coated with gray and reddish-colored bacterial and/or mineral deposits. These deposits generally appear to be less than ½-inch thick. The screen slot openings are generally visible in most of the laterals, but the deposits coating the inside of the laterals prevented observation of the well screen material. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of all of the laterals except the A3 and A5. There is a 90-degree elbow on the end of lateral A3 that points downward. The valve on this lateral is opened only about 1 to 1-1/2 inches

so no video inspection of the interior of lateral A3 could be conducted. The diver observed that there is flow from the lateral. Lateral A3 is the only lateral in Collector Well 3 that does not have a cable attached to the valve actuator. Lateral A5 was partially blocked by what appeared to be sand and gravel at about 58 feet and 62 feet from the caisson wall. The camera could not be advanced beyond 62 feet, whereas the reported length of lateral A5 is 68 feet. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the Collector Well 3 laterals that were videoed, which is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations.

During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in an 8-inch diameter vertical well located 39 feet west of the west side of the Collector Well 3 caisson. These water levels and water levels provided by the District for the river intake and Collector Well 3 and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the hydrographs in Figure 5. Because of pump lubricating oil floating on the surface of the water in the Collector Well 3 caisson, water level measurements could not be accurately made in the caisson with the electric water level meter during the inspection. There is also a monitoring well located about 200 feet to the east of Collector Well 3, but water levels were not monitored in this well during the inspection. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. As indicated in Table 2, the average pumping rate from Collector Well 3 during the inspection was 4.8 MGD or about 3330 gpm with pump 3-1 running. After 2 hours of pumping during the inspection, there was an observed drawdown in Collector Well 3 of 13.4 feet. This gives an apparent specific capacity of 250 gpm/ft. The 1962 performance testing results indicate an apparent specific capacity of about 250 gpm/ft after 2 hours of pumping, but the reported pumping rate for the performance test was 10.2 to 10.4 MGD (Ranney, 1962). Given that valve is not fully opened on the lateral that is reported to be the longest, the performance of the well is quite good considering its age.

Lateral flow and temperature measurements conducted in Collector Well 3 are summarized in Table 7. The results indicate that the flow from the individual laterals ranges from a minimum of about 18% of the total flow in lateral B2 to a maximum of about 36% of the total flow in lateral

A2. This assumes that all of the flow is from laterals A2, A4, A5 and B2. With the valve on lateral A3 partially open, there is some flow from this lateral. However, because the flow estimates are based on water velocity measurements, it is difficult to quantify the amount of flow from the lateral with the valve partially opened. The water temperature from the individual laterals ranged from about 61° to about 63° F.

3.4 COLLECTOR WELL 4 (PS4) INSPECTION RESULTS

Collector Well 4 (PS4) is located about 4500 feet east southeast of the water treatment plant on the south side of the Mad River. There are two pumps installed in Collector Well 4, designated 4-1 and 4-2.

A diagram showing the construction details for Collector Well 4 is presented in Appendix A. The number and orientation of the laterals observed during the inspection do appear to correspond to this diagram. There is a single tier of laterals in the well, with the centerline of the laterals about 3 feet above the caisson floor.

A summary of the inspection observations in Collector Well 4 is presented in Table 8. A summary of the diver's observations during the inspections is presented in Appendix C. Photographs and still images from the video inspection of Collector Well 4 are presented in Appendix G.

Visual inspection of Collector Well 4 showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. The housekeeping in the pump house is generally very good. There is 2 to 8 inches of loose sand and gravel on the caisson floor. There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly in Collector Well 4 similar to the one in Collector Well 3. However the I-beam assembly in Collector Well 4 is lying in the bottom of the caisson with one end resting on the valve actuator of lateral A2, one end against the side of valve actuator on lateral A6 and the third end resting on the caisson floor. Similar to the one observed in Collector Well 3, there is a valve port in the side of the caisson well that might be for a surface water intake. This port is at approximately 35 feet below the intermediate floor and is located above a position between laterals A1 and A2. The valve on the port is capped. The lateral valves in Collector 4 have long-

stemmed actuator assemblies, and all have a cable attached to the actuator that leads up to the intermediate floor. There are pipes leading to the bottom of the caisson that are attached to what appears to be some type of venturi lift system similar to the one in Collector Well 3. The lower end of the ladder is loose from the caisson wall.

Video inspection of the laterals showed that the interiors of the lateral screens are generally coated with gray-colored bacterial and/or mineral deposits. These deposits generally appear to be less than ½-inch to more than 1-inch thick. The deposits coating the inside of the laterals prevented observation of the well screen material. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of all of the laterals except the A1 and A3. The valve on lateral A1 is opened about 40%, and the valve on lateral A3 is opened only about 1 inch so the camera could not be inserted into either of these laterals. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the Collector Well 4 laterals that were videoed, which is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations.

In lateral A2 there are relatively uniform gray deposits on the screen that are greater than 1/2 inches thick. The thickness of these deposits generally increases away from the caisson. The screen slot openings are generally not visible in this lateral. Some of the deposits are loose and silty especially past 30 feet from the caisson. The camera appeared to reach the end of lateral A2 at 68 feet from caisson but visibility was poor. There are similar deposits in lateral A4, and especially past 40 feet from the caisson, some of the deposits are loose and silty. The camera was advanced to 108 feet from caisson in lateral A4, but visibility was lost at 100 feet because of silty material being stirred up by the camera. The deposits on the screen in lateral A5 are generally less than 1/2 inch thick, and the slot openings are generally visible. The deposits on the screen in lateral A6 are generally more than 1/2 inch thick, and the slot openings are generally not visible.

During the inspection, water levels were obtained using an electric water level meter in the Collector Well 4 caisson. These water levels and water levels provided by the District for their river intake and Collector Well 4 and also for the USGS river gage are depicted in the

hydrographs in Figure 6. There are no monitoring wells adjacent to Collector Well 4. A summary of water level data and pumping rates during the inspection are presented in Table 2. The average pumping rate from Collector Well 4 during the inspection was 6.0 MGD or about 4180 gpm with pump 4-1 running. After 2 hours of pumping during the inspection, there was an observed drawdown in Collector Well 4 of 13.4 feet. This gives an apparent specific capacity of 310 gpm/ft. The 1962 performance testing results indicate an apparent specific capacity of about 450 gpm/ft at the end of the test with the reported pumping rate of 11.7 MGD (Ranney, 1962). Even without all of the lateral vales open the performance of the well is quite good considering its age, but there may have been some reduction in the capacity due to the accumulation of deposits in the laterals.

Lateral flow and temperature measurements conducted in Collector Well 4 are summarized in Table 9. The results indicate that the flow from the individual laterals ranges from a minimum of about 1% of the total flow in lateral A6 (which is 35 feet long) to a maximum of about 43% of the total flow in lateral A2. This assumes that all of the flow is from laterals A2, A4, A5 and A6. With the valves on lateral A1 and A3 partially open, there is some flow from these laterals. However, because the flow estimates are based on water velocity measurements, it is difficult to quantify the amount of flow from these laterals with the valves partially opened. The water temperature from the individual laterals ranged from about 60° to about 61° F.

3.5 WATER QUALITY RESULTS

3.5.1 INSPECTION SAMPLING RESULTS

Water samples were collected from Collector Wells 1A, 3, 4 and the Mad River during the inspection activities. The samples from Collector Wells 3 and 4 were collected from the taps in the pump houses after flushing the lines for several minutes. The sample from Collector Well 1A was collected in the caisson by the diver. No sample was obtained from Collector Well 1 because most of the laterals are plugged or the valves are closed. The water samples were submitted to National Testing Laboratories, Ltd., of Ypsilanti, Michigan for analysis for selected metals, inorganic and indicator parameters and volatile organic compounds. The WaterCheck analyses from National Testing Laboratories are conducted for information/screening purposes and are not intended for regulatory compliance. The laboratory methods are not necessarily

those that would be used by a certified lab for public water supply analysis. A summary of the results is presented in Table 10 and the laboratory reports are presented in Appendix H.

The water quality results from Wells 1A, 3 and 4 are indicate that in general the water quality from the wells is similar to the water quality of the river. The hardness levels ranged from 83 to 89 milligrams per liter (mg/l) as $CaCO_3$. The total dissolved solids values ranged from 91 to 100 mg/l. The iron concentrations ranged from 0.041 mg/l in the sample from Collector Well 3 to 0.34 mg/l in the sample from Collector Well 4. The iron concentration in Collector Well 4 (0.34 mg/l) slightly exceeds the secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) for this constituent, which is 0.30 mg/l. The manganese in Collector Well 4 at 0.072 mg/l also exceeds the SMCL for this constituent (0.050 mg/l). Secondary maximum contaminant levels are generally based on aesthetic concerns and are not based on health affects.

Lead was reported in the samples from Collector Wells 3 and 4 at concentrations of 0.058 mg/l and 0.010 mg/l, respectively. The maximum contaminant level for (MCL) for lead in drinking water is 0.015 mg/l. Lead was less than the detection level of 0.002 mg/l in the sample from Well 1A. As the analytical methods used for these samples were not intended for regulatory compliance, these results should not be interpreted to mean that the discharge water from Collector Wells 3 and 4 exceeds drinking water limits. When the laboratory results were received following the inspection activities, it was recommended to the District to conduct additional testing of the lead concentrations in the raw water supplied from these Collector Wells 3 and 4.

3.5.2 FOLLOW-UP SAMPLING RESULTS

As recommended, the District obtained additional water samples from Collector Well 3 (PS3) and Collector Well 4 (PS4) on December 20, 2006. The samples were submitted North Coast Laboratories, Ltd., a certified laboratory, and analyzed for lead. Additionally, the sample from Collector Well 4 was analyzed for manganese. These results are also included in Table 10 and Appendix H. As indicated, the re-sampling results did not detect lead above the detection level (0.001 mg/l) in the samples from either Collector Well 3 or Collector Well 4. The manganese result from the re-sample of Collector Well 4 was 0.013 mg/l, which is substantially lower than

the manganese result for this well from the inspection sample (0.072 mg/l) and is below the SMCL for manganese (0.050 mg/l). It is possible that the detection of lead in the inspection sample from Collector Well 3 and the detection of lead and elevated manganese in the inspection sample from Collector Well 4 were the result of constituents present in the taps and pipes used to obtain the samples from these wells. Because the re-sample analyses were conducted by a certified laboratory using drinking water methods, while the inspection samples were conducted by a non-certified laboratory using screening techniques, the re-sample results are considered more representative of the raw water quality of Collector Wells 3 and 4 than are the inspection sample results.

4.0 COLLECTOR WELL 3 TEST DRILLING ACTIVITIES

As part of an evaluation of the potential to increase the yield of Collector Well 3, test drilling activities were conducted adjacent to the well in April 2006. The drilling activities were conducted under the direction of Winzler & Kelly, Consulting Engineers of Eureka, California. A boring, designated B-1, was drilled at a location sixty (60) feet north of the Collector Well 3 caisson. The boring was drilled using rotasonic methods to a total depth of 88 feet. After the boring was drilled, a temporary well was installed, and a short-term multiple-rate step test was performed. Information on the drilling and testing results are presented in Appendix I.

The drilling results indicate that the upper 11 feet of materials is comprised of fill and relatively fine-grained sediments. Below 11 feet, the materials generally consist of sand and gravel in varying proportions. The interval from 60 to 88 feet was reportedly comprised of 20 to 30 percent sand and 60 to 70 percent gravel. The upper portion of this interval from 60 to 70 feet reportedly contained 5 to 20 percent silt and/or clay, while the lower portion of the interval from 70 to 88 feet had little or no fines. The centerlines of the existing laterals in Collector Well 3 are at depths of approximately 73 to 74 feet, and the caisson floor is at a depth of approximately 77 feet. Consequently, if new laterals were to be installed in the well, they would need to be installed above the existing laterals, and the interval from 60 to 70 feet is the optimum zone for the installation of new laterals based on the drilling results, considering available drawdown, aquifer materials and permeability.

For the step pumping test conducted by Winzler & Kelly in boring B-1 adjacent to the Collector Well 3 the temporary well was screened from depths of 60 to 70 feet. The observed specific capacity values at the end of each step of: 86.4, 58.9, 47.6 and 32.9 gpm/ft, at reported pumping rates of 19, 33, 50 and 71 gpm. The transmissivity of the aquifer can be estimated from specific capacity values using the following equation (Driscoll, 1986):

$$T = 1500 * Q/s$$

Where: T = transmissivity, gallons per day per foot (gpd/ft)
Q/s = specific capacity, gallons per minute per foot (gpm/ft)

This approximation assumes a fully efficient, fully penetrating well.

For the first step of the pumping test for which the specific capacity was 86.4 gpm/ft the above approximation would give a transmissivity of about 130,000 gpd/ft. The large decrease in the specific capacity with increasing pumping rates is an indication that the test well was not 100% efficient. Also the short well screen length relative to the aquifer thickness would result in partial penetration effects.

In order to estimate the transmissivity of the aquifer, the specific capacity data from the interval tests were adjusted for well loss and the effects of partial penetration effects using an equation by Kozeny (Driscoll, 1986), such that:

$$T = \frac{1500 \cdot Q/s}{X \cdot E}$$

$$X = L \cdot \left[1 + 7 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{r}{2 \cdot b \cdot L}} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi \cdot L}{2} \right) \right]$$

Where:

	r	= well radius, in feet
	b	= aquifer thickness, feet
	L	= well screen length as a fraction of aquifer thickness
	E	= efficiency, obtained from analysis of the step test

Analysis of the step test data using the Bruin and Hudson (1955) method gives a well efficiency of approximately 73% during the first step. Using this result would give a specific capacity of 119 gpm/ft if the well was fully efficient, and this would indicate a transmissivity of about 178,000 gpd/ft with the above approximation.

The temporary well used for the step test was screened at depths from 60 to 70 feet. The static water level was 18.7 feet below the ground surface. Assuming that the bottom of the boring (88 feet) is the base of the aquifer, the 10-foot screened length is 14% of the aquifer thickness (69.3 feet). Using these values in the Kozeny equation gives a partial penetration correction factor of 0.23. However, when this factor is applied to the previous transmissivity value the result is a

transmissivity of about 760,000, which is unrealistically high. This is likely due to stratification in the aquifer, which could cause the Kozeny equation to overestimate the partial penetration effects. The step test results indicate that the aquifer transmissivity is probably at least 180,000 gpd/ft. Due to partial penetration effects, the value is probably higher, but it is difficult to quantify these effects. The testing in the vicinity of the collector wells in 1960 (Ranney, 1960) gave reported values of transmissivity of 98,300, 172,000 and 227,000 gpd/ft. The step test results indicate that the transmissivity adjacent to Collector Well 3 is probably near the upper end of this range.

Using these testing results, an estimate the yield of Collector Well 3 can be calculated if additional laterals were installed. The theoretical drawdown under steady-state pumping conditions in a collector well near a stream in an unconfined aquifer is calculated using the following equation developed by Hantush and Papadopulos (1962):

$$s_{cs} \geq \left(\frac{Q}{2\pi Kb}\right) \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\Gamma}}{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{b}{\pi r_w}\right)^2}{2\left(1 - \cos\frac{\pi}{b}\left(2z_i + r_w\right)\right)}\right)^{\frac{b}{4l}}\right)$$

where:

s_{cs}	=	Drawdown in collector well, ft
Q	=	Yield of collector, gal/day
K	=	Hydraulic Conductivity, gal/day/ft^2
b	=	Saturated thickness of aquifer, ft
Γ	=	$(2\,(a - r_c))/l$
a	=	Effective distance to a line of recharge, ft
l	=	Average length of laterals, ft
r_c	=	Radius of collector caisson, ft
ε	=	$(2a - r_c - l)/l$
r_w	=	Effective radius of each lateral, ft
z_i	=	Depth of lateral below static water level, ft

Using a variation of the above equation, the potential yield of Collector Well 3 was estimated using the following assumptions:

Grade Elevation	46 ft, msl

Top of Aquifer Elevation	27.3 ft, msl
Base of Aquifer	-37.4 ft, msl
Centerline of Laterals	-16.0 ft, msl
Inside Diameter of Caisson	13 ft
Static Water Level	27.3 ft, msl
Hydraulic Conductivity	2900 gpd/ft^2
Saturated Aquifer Thickness	69 ft
Recommended Minimum Pumping Level	-6 ft, msl
Radius of Laterals	0.5 ft
Design distance to line source of recharge	400 ft
Average Lateral Length	150 ft
Number of Laterals	5

These assumptions consider the installation of five (5) new laterals at a depth of 62 feet (elevation –16.0 feet, assuming grade at 46 feet). This would put the laterals in the cleanest sand and gravel interval observed between the depths of 60 to 70 feet in boring B-1. It was assumed that these new laterals could be projected to an average length of 150 feet. For the purposes of estimating the collector well yield, the effective distance to the recharge boundary represented by the river was set at 400 feet. This value was chosen to give a conservative estimate of the potential collector well yield. The effective distance to the source of recharge may vary seasonally with changes in river level, water temperature and streambed conditions. To ensure that the full length of all of the laterals remains below the water level, the recommended minimum pumping level in the collector caisson is specified as 10 feet above the centerline of the laterals.

Using the above equation and assumptions, it is calculated that Collector Well 3 would have a sustained yield of up to 8,100 gpm (11.6 MGD). This assumes all of the production from the new laterals and does not take into account additional potential yield from the existing laterals. Actual yields would depend on how well the aquifer conditions match the assumed conditions, and would vary with changes in river level and ground water temperature. Also, it may be difficult to project the laterals to an average length of 150 feet due to the aquifer characteristics.

5.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Collector Wells International, Inc., recently completed inspections of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Collector Wells (Pump Stations) 1A, 1, 3 and 4. The inspections of these wells included visual inspection by a diver, video inspection of the accessible portions of the laterals, lateral flow and temperature analysis and the collection of water samples from Collector Wells 1A, 3 and 4.

5.1 SUMMARY

The inspections of Collector Wells 1A, 1, 3 and 4 were conducted from October 17 to October 20, 2006. In general the above ground condition of the collector wells was found to be good, and the housekeeping practices in the pump houses to be very good.

Collector Well 1A was found to be generally in good condition. There are bacterial/mineral deposits on the lateral screens, but it appeared that all but one of the twelve laterals are open for their entire length. The apparent specific capacity of this well during the inspection was 350 gpm/ft. No sharp deviations or deflections from horizontal in the lateral orientations were observed, although there could be gradual deviations. There are no sand lines remaining in the any of the laterals in Collector Well 1A, nor were sand lines observed remaining in the laterals in Collector Wells 3 and 4. This is further indication that there are no significant deviations from horizontal in the lateral orientations.

There were no apparent problems observed with the Collector Well 1 caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. There is a large amount of debris on the floor of the caisson including scrap metal, wood, sand and gravel and chunks of concrete. There is what appears to be a stilling pipe just to the left of the access ladder that is corroded through in one spot and is just barely attached to the caisson wall. All of the laterals in Collector Well 1 are either plugged, capped or have the valves closed or nearly closed. Consequently no video inspection of the insides of the laterals was conducted, and no flow measurements were conducted.

In the lower tier of laterals in Collector Well 1, two of the laterals have no valves and are plugged with wood. One lateral does not have a valve and has a metal cap over the flange. One lateral has two valves fitted together on the end of the flange, the outer of which is closed. The valve on one lower tier lateral is partially opened about 1 inch, and there was very strong flow of water from this lateral. There is also a 24-inch diameter corrugated pipe extending through the caisson wall that is plugged with wood. In the upper tier, the valve one lateral is partially opened about 1/4-inch, and there was some flow from this lateral; the valve on another lateral is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it; and the valve one lateral is closed, but the diver indicated that there was a slight amount of flow leaking through the valve seal. The valves on the other four upper tier laterals are closed and do not appear to be leaking.

During the inspection of Collector Well 1, a video inspection of the siphon line between Collector Well 1 and Collector Well 1A was conducted. The diver was able to advance the camera up the siphon 170 feet from Collector Well 1 toward Collector Well 1A. There were no apparent obstructions in the siphon pipe or obvious problems observed.

Visual inspection of Collector Well 3 showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. The floor of the caisson is relatively free of debris. There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly about 20 feet below the static water level that is attached to the wall at two points and suspended by a cable on the free end. The interiors of the lateral screens in Collector Well 3 are generally coated with gray and reddish-colored bacterial and/or mineral deposits. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of three of the five laterals. There is a 90° elbow on the end of lateral A3, and the valve is opened only about 1 to 1-1/2 inches. Lateral A5 is partially blocked by what appeared to be sand and gravel at about 58 feet and 62 feet from the caisson wall, and the camera could not be advanced beyond 62 feet, whereas the reported length of this lateral is 68 feet. The apparent specific capacity of this well during the inspection was 250 gpm/ft.

The inspection of Collector Well 4 showed no apparent problems with the caisson, pump house, pumps and pump columns. There is 2 to 8 inches of loose sand and gravel on the caisson floor. There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly in Collector Well 4 similar to the one in that is suspended in

Collector Well 3. However the I-beam assembly in Collector Well 4 is lying in the bottom of the caisson with end resting on the valves of two of the laterals. The interiors of the lateral screens are generally coated with gray-colored bacterial/mineral deposits. The diver was able to advance the camera to the end of all but two of the six laterals in Collector Well 4. The valve on lateral A1 is opened about 40%, and the valve on lateral A3 is opened only about 1 inch so the camera could not be inserted into either of these laterals. In laterals A2 and A4 some of the deposits on the screens are loose and silty. The camera was advanced to 108 feet from caisson in lateral A4, but visibility was lost at 100 feet because of silty material being stirred up by the camera. The apparent specific capacity of this well during the inspection was 310 gpm/ft.

The water quality results are generally good, and the quality of water from the wells is similar to the river water quality. The total dissolved solids and hardness values are low and the iron concentrations are relatively low. Lead was reported in the samples collected during the inspection from Collector Wells 3 and 4 at concentrations of 0.058 mg/l and 0.010 mg/l, respectively. Lead was less than the detection level of 0.002 mg/l in the sample from Well 1A. The analytical methods used for the inspection samples were not intended for regulatory compliance. After receiving the inspection sampling results, the District obtained additional water samples from Collector Wells 3 and 4 on December 20, 2006, and these samples were analyzed for lead by a certified laboratory. The re-sampling results did <u>not</u> detect lead above the detection level (0.001 mg/l) in the samples from either Collector Well 3 or Collector Well 4.

An evaluation of the test drilling conducted adjacent to Collector Well 3 showed that below a depth of 11 feet, the materials generally consist of sand and gravel in varying proportions. The interval from 60 to 88 feet was reportedly comprised of 20 to 30 percent sand and 60 to 70 percent gravel. The centerlines of the existing laterals in Collector Well 3 are at depths of approximately 73 to 74 feet, and the caisson floor is at a depth of approximately 77 feet. Consequently, if new laterals were to be installed in the well, they would need to be installed above the existing laterals, and the interval from 60 to 70 feet is the optimum zone for the installation of new laterals based on the drilling results. Based in part on the results of the short-term pumping test conducted in the boring adjacent to Collector Well 3, preliminary estimates

show that the well could yield at least 8100 gpm (11.6 MGD) with the addition of five new laterals installed at a depth of 62 feet below grade.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

There does not appear to be any pressing maintenance requirements for Collector Well 1A. There are deposits in the lateral screens that could be removed by cleaning and redevelopment. However, the observed specific capacity of Collector Well 1A during the inspection was relatively high, and it is possible that redevelopment of the well would not significantly improve its performance.

If it is desired to continue to operate Collector Well 1 with the laterals closed, it might be advisable to more permanently seal the laterals. The wooden plugs in some of the lower tier laterals and the 24-inch diameter pipe are showing evidence of decay. For safety reasons, the corroded stilling pipe next to the access ladder would need to be removed prior to any maintenance work in this well. It is advisable that the debris be cleared from the floor of the caisson also.

A slight improvement of the performance of Collector Well 3 might be made by fully opening the valve on lateral A3. A more substantial increase in yield could be made by the installation of new laterals in the interval from depths of 60 to 70 feet below ground surface. A more detailed pumping test using the collector well and the adjacent observation wells may be necessary to more fully evaluate the potential yield of additional laterals. It is understood that Winzler & Kelly has developed a computer-based ground water flow model for the District's well field. This model could also be used to help estimate the maximum potential yield of Collector Well 3, and the affect that additional pumping from Collector Well 3 could have on the performance of the other collector wells. If new laterals were installed in Collector Well 3, the existing laterals could be cleaned and redeveloped at the same time. Any maintenance work on Collector Well 3 would require the removal of the I-beam assembly that is suspended in the caisson. If this I-beam assembly was intended to secure the pump columns, such as to prevent damage during a seismic event, it might be prudent to replace this assembly. It is also recommended that the pump lubricating oil observed floating on the water inside Collector Well 3 be removed.

An improvement in the performance of Collector Well 4 could be made by fully opening the valves on laterals A1 and A3. There are deposits in the lateral screens that could be removed by cleaning and redevelopment. The observed specific capacity of Collector Well 4 during the inspection was lower than the reported specific capacity from the 1962 performance testing. Some of this apparent reduction in specific capacity could simply be the result of the lateral valves not being fully opened. However, redevelopment of the well would likely improve its performance. Any maintenance work on Collector Well 4 would require the removal of the I-beam assembly that in the bottom of the caisson. If this I-beam assembly was intended to secure the pump columns, such as to prevent damage during a seismic event, it might be prudent to replace this assembly.

The condition of Collector Well 5 (PS5) is unknown at this time. Because the pumps were previously removed, CWI was unable to conduct a meaningful inspection and flow evaluation during the recent inspection activities. It is recommended that a temporary pump be installed to facilitate an inspection and flow evaluation of Collector Well 5. This work could be conducted in conjunction with future maintenance work on the other collector wells to minimize costs.

6.0 REFERENCES

Bruin, J. and H. E. Hudson, Jr., 1955. Selected Methods for Pump Test Analysis, Illinois State Water Survey, Report of Investigations, No. 25.

Driscoll, F. G., 1986. *Groundwater and Wells, 2nd Edition.* Johnson Division, St. Paul, MN.

Collector Wells International, Inc. (CWI), October 26, 2005. Maintenance Report, Collector Well Pumping Station No. 2, Prepared for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by Collector Wells International, Inc., Columbus, Ohio.

Hantush, M. S. and I. S. Papadopulos, 1962. Flow of Ground Water to Collector Wells, Proceedings Am. Society Civil Eng., Hydraulics Div. Journal, p. 221- 244.

Ranney Method Western of California, Inc. (Ranney), September 23, 1960. Report on Hydrogeological Survey for Bechtel Corporation, Prepared for Bechtel Corporation Consulting Engineers and Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by Ranney Method Western of California, Inc., Sacramento, California.

Ranney Method Western of California, Inc. (Ranney), May 31, 1962. Report on Performance Tests – Ranney Collector System, Prepared for Bechtel Corporation Consulting Engineers and Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by Ranney Method Western of California, Inc., Sacramento, California.

Reynolds, Inc., February 2003. Report of Inspection & Pumping Test of Ranney Well No. 2 (PS2), Prepared for Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Eureka, California, Prepared by RANNEY Method Water Supplies, Reynolds, Inc., Orleans, Indiana.

FIGURES



Collector Wells International, Inc.
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 1

PROJECT NUMBER	DATE	FILE NAME	SCALE
7303	10/24/06	7303-01	1" = 2500'



LEGEND

- COLLECTOR WELL
- MONITORING WELL
- OBSERVATION WELL

Base Source: Color Infared Air Photo Image Obtained from www.Topozone.com
Photo sources: NAPP 1282 198, NAPP 1282 200, NAPP 1282 201
Flight Date: August 18, 1988

NORTH

SCALE IN FEET

0 250 500 1000 1500

Collector Wells International, Inc.
6360 HUNTLEY ROAD
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43229
(614) 888-6263 / FAX (614) 888-9208

WELL LOCATION MAP

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

FILE NAME: 7303-02	DATE: 10/24/06	FIGURE
PROJECT #: N.T.S.	SCALE: 1" = 500'	2

FIGURE 3
Collector Well 1A Inspection Hydrographs
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District



File: CWI_10_17_06_1.xls Print Date: 01/10/07

FIGURE 4
Collector Well 1 Inspection Hydrographs
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District



File: CWI_10_18_06_1.xls Print Date: 01/10/07

FIGURE 5
Collector Well 3 Inspection Hydrographs
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District



File: Humboldt Collector Well Data.xls Print Date: 01/10/07

FIGURE 6
Collector Well 4 Inspection Hydrographs
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District





File: Humboldt Collector Well Data.xls Print Date: 01/10/07

TABLES

TABLE 1
Collector Well Information Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Well	Top Slab Elevation (feet)		Bottom of Caisson Elevation (feet)		Top of Plug Elevation (feet)	Total Depth of Caisson [4] (feet)		Total Depth of Well [5] (feet)	Centerline Elevation of B-tier (upper) Laterals (feet)		Centerline Elevation of A-tier (lower) Laterals (feet)	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)		Number of Laterals	Reported Total Lateral Length (feet)		Approximate Open Lateral Length (feet)
	Source [1]	Source [2]	Source [1]	Source [2]	Source [1]	Source [1]	Source [2]	Source [1]	Source [1]	Source [2]	Source [1]	Source [1]	Source [2]		Source [1]	Source [2]	
1A		40.8		-33			73.8			-30			-25	12			725 Est. Current Inspection
1	48 [3]		-46.2	-41.7	-39.9	92.2	89.7	87.9	-29.0	-38.7	-36.9	27	23	10	672	586	604 All laterals closed
2	50 [3]		-71.7	-64.2	-65.2	119.7	114.2	115.2	-53.2	-62.2	-62.2	30	25	9	602	586	451 Based on 2005 Maintenance
3	53 [3]		-37.4		-30.9	88.4		83.9	-26.9	-30.9	-27.9	46	29	5	430		301 Est. Current Inspection
4	54 [3]		-47.7	-40	-41.2	99.7	94	95.2	n/a	-37	-38.2	34	30	6	478		299 Est. Current Inspection
5		56		-23			79			-20			31	6		378	

1) From Installation Report (Ranney, 1962)
2) From well illustration from inside water treatment building at wellfield.
3) Top slab elevations assume that top slab thickness is 2 feet, so 2 feet was added to the elevation shown for "top of caisson" in 1962 performance report.
4) Total depth from top of caisson to bottom of cutting shoe.
5) Total depth from top of top slab to top of plug.

TABLE 2
Collector Well Inspections Water Level and Pumping Rate Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Well	Date	Approx. Pumping Start Time	Approx. Pumping End Time	Duration of Pumping (hours)	Caisson Water Elevation Before Start of Pumping (feet)	Caisson Water Elevation at end of Pumping (feet)	Observed Drawdown at End of Pumping (feet)	Average Meter Pumping Rate (MGD)	Average Meter Pumping Rate (gpm)	Approximate Specific Capacity at End of Pumping (gpm/ft)
Collector Well 1A	10/17/06	11:02	14:38	3.6	21.69	9.35	12.34	6.268 (1)	4350 (1)	350
Collector Well 1 (PS1)	10/18/06	10:43	12:23	1.7	20.44	8.42	12.01	5.623 (1)	3900 (1)	n/a
	10/18/06	14:15	15:49	1.6	20.80	14.65	6.15	3.413 (1)	2370 (1)	n/a
Collector Well 3 (PS3)	10/19/06	10:01	12:01	2.0	25.25	11.83	13.42	4.799	3,330	250
Collector Well 4 (PS4)	10/20/06	8:49	10:47	2.0	23.69	10.32	13.37	6.023	4,180	310

1) Pumping from Collector Well 1, with the majority of the water obtained from Collector Well 1A through the siphon line.

TABLE 3
Collector Well 1A Lateral Condition Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Inspection Date: October 17, 2006 Number of Laterals: 12

Lateral ID [1]	Estimated Total Length (feet)	Videoed Length (feet)	Observations
A1	61	60	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1 inches thick. The lumps appear to form in between the slot openings which are only partially visible. The deposits appear slightly thicker at the caisson end of the lateral. The camera was advanced to 60 feet and the end was visible at about 61 to 62 feet
A2	61	57	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. The camera was advanced to 57 feet and the end was visible at about 60 to 62 feet
A3	61	60	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The deposits appear slightly thicker farther from the caisson end of the lateral. The slot openings are generally visible. The camera was able to reach the end of the lateral about 60 feet from the caisson and the end was visible at about 61 feet from the caisson.
A4	62 ?	55	There are relatively thin uniform black and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inch thick. The slot openings are visible. There is loose gravel on the bottom of the screen starting at about 40 feet from the caisson. The gravel increases in thickness so that by 55 feet the lateral is more than half full. Did not reach the end of the lateral during video inspection, because the camera could not be pushed past the gravel at 55 feet.
A5	61.5	61.5	There are relatively thin (less than 1/2 inch) uniform black and reddish deposits on the screen, similar to the deposits in B4. The slot openings are visible. There is some loose gravel on the bottom of the screen starting at about 50 feet from the caisson and extending to the end of the lateral. The camera reached the end of the lateral at about 61.5 feet from the caisson.
A6	61	61	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The deposits appear slightly thicker farther from the caisson end of the lateral. The slot openings are generally visible. There is some gravel on the bottom of the lateral from about 50 feet. The camera was able to reach the end of the lateral about 61 feet from the caisson.
B1	62	62	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. The visibility was poor where the camera stopped, but the end of the lateral appeared to be at about 62 feet.
B2	61	60	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. There is some gravel on the bottom of the lateral from about 55 to 60 feet. The camera was advanced to 60 feet, and the end of the lateral was visible at about 61 feet.

TABLE 3
Collector Well 1A Lateral Condition Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Inspection Date: October 17, 2006 Number of Laterals: 12

Lateral ID [1]	Estimated Total Length (feet)	Videoed Length (feet)	Observations
B3	62	61	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. There is some gravel on the bottom of the lateral from about 55 to 60 feet. The camera was advanced to 61 feet, and the end of the lateral was visible at about 62 feet.
B4	61.5	61	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. The camera was advanced to 61 feet, and the end of the lateral was visible at about 61.5 feet.
B5	62	60	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are partially visible. There is some relatively clean gravel visible in the lower portion of the lateral about 55 to 60 feet. The camera was advanced to 60 feet, and the end of the lateral was visible at about 62 feet.
B6	62	61	There are relatively uniform lumpy black and reddish deposits on the screen probably about 1 inches thick. The slot openings are only partially visible. There is some gravel on the bottom of the lateral from about 50 to 60 feet from the end. The camera was advanced to 61 feet and the end was visible at about 62 feet
Total	**739**	**719.5**	
			There are no pumps in this well.
			The siphon line leading to PS1 is just to the left of the ladder. The siphon line has a 90° elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to the belled opening.
			There is about 6-inches to 1 foot of loose sand and gravel and probably some small chunks of concrete on the caisson floor.
			The lower end of the ladder is loose from the caisson wall.
			All of the valves have actuator rods that lead up through the top slab.

1) The original lateral identifications were not available at the time of the inspection and report preparation.
The laterals have been labeled with the A-tier for the lower laterals, and the B-tier for the upper laterals.
The laterals have been numbered clockwise from the access ladder starting with number 1 being the first one to the right of the ladder.

TABLE 4

Lateral Information and Flow Analysis
Collector Well 1A - Inspection, October 17, 2006
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Lateral No. (1)	Tier	Total Estimated Lateral Length, feet from inside wall	Lateral Velocity (2) kilometers/hour	Water Temperature (2) ° F	Per Cent of Total Flow	Approx. Flow gpm	Approximate Flow, gpm per foot of Screen
B1	Upper	62	0.60	64.3	4.3%	190	3.1
B2	Upper	61	0.49	65.2	3.5%	150	2.5
B3	Upper	62	1.73	64.7	12.3%	530	8.5
B4	Upper	61.5	1.42	62.5	10.1%	440	7.2
B5	Upper	62	1.22	63.5	8.7%	380	6.1
B6	Upper	62	0.43	62.9	3.0%	130	2.1
A1	Lower	61	0.23	63.6	1.6%	70	1.1
A2	Lower	61	1.22	64.1	8.7%	380	6.2
A3	Lower	61	1.39	64.7	9.9%	430	7.0
A4	Lower	62	2.19	61.3	15.6%	680	11.0
A5	Lower	61.5	2.30	63.6	16.3%	710	11.5
A6	Lower	61	0.86	63.4	6.1%	260	4.3
AVERAGE		62		63.4 (3)			5.9

Pumping Rate During Flow Measurements = 4,350 gpm

Notes:
1) The original lateral identifications were not available at the time of the inspection and report preparation.
The laterals have been labeled with the A-tier for the upper laterals, and the B-tier for the lower laterals.
The laterals have been numbered clockwise from the access ladder starting with number 1 being the first one to the right of the ladder.
2) Lateral velocity and water temperature are the average of two readings.
3) Average temperature weighted for lateral flow

TABLE 5
Collector Well 1 Lateral Condition Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Inspection Date: October 18, 2006 Number of Laterals: 11

Lateral ID [1]	Reported Length (feet)	Videoed Length (feet)	Observations
A1	?	0	There is no valve on this lateral. It is plugged with wood.
A2	?	0	There is no valve on this lateral. It is plugged with wood.
A3	48	0	There is no valve on this lateral. It is capped with a flange that has a pipe fitting in the middle. There are small diameter pipes sticking up on both sides of the flange.
A4	56	0	24-inch diameter corrugated pipe without a flange. It is plugged with wood. The wood is decaying.
A5	?	0	There are 2 valves fitted together on the end of this lateral. The outer valve is closed.
A6	12	0	The valve on this lateral is partially open about 1-inch. There is very strong flow from this lateral.
B1	88	0	This valve on this lateral is partially open about 1/4-inch. There is some flow from this lateral.
B2	88	0	The valve on this lateral is closed.
B3	50	0	The valve on this lateral is closed.
B4	80	0	The valve on this lateral is closed.
B5	72	0	The valve on this lateral is closed.
B6	93	0	The valve on this lateral is closed. There is a slight amount of flow through the valve seal.
B7	85	0	The valve on this lateral is cracked open and has a small amount of flow coming through it.
Total	**739**	**0**	
			Pump 1-3 had a basket screen, Pumps 1-2 and 1-4 did not have basket screens.
			The siphon line leading from Collector Well 1A is to the right of the ladder. The siphon line has an 90° elbow where it comes through the caisson wall and about 20 feet of vertical pipe leading down to the belled opening that is about 10 feet above the caisson floor.
			There are small diameter pipes sticking up behind the flanges on the laterals.
			There is a large amount of debris on the caisson floor including scrap metal, wood, chunks of concrete, etc.
			What appears to be a stilling pipe is corroded through and is just barely attached.

1) The number of laterals and their orientations does not match the drawing in the installation report.
The laterals have been labeled with the A-tier for the lower laterals, and the B-tier for the upper laterals.
The laterals have been numbered clockwise from the access ladder starting with number 1 being the first one to the right of the ladder.

TABLE 6
Collector Well 3 Lateral Condition Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Inspection Date: October 19, 2006 Number of Laterals: 5

Lateral ID [1]	Reported Length (feet)	Videoed Length (feet)	Observations
A2	104	100	There are relatively uniform gray and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings were visible near the caisson end of the laterals, but were generally covered by deposits from about 90 feet out from the caisson. The end of the lateral is visible at 100 feet from the caisson.
A3	110	0	There is a 90° elbow on the end of this lateral pointing down. The valve open only about 1 to 1-1/2 inches so there is no video of the lateral. There is flow from the lateral. This is the only lateral in PS3 that does not have a cable attached to the valve actuator.
A4	84	79	There are relatively uniform gray and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings were visible near the caisson end of the laterals, but were generally covered by deposits from about 40 feet out from the caisson. The end of the lateral is visible at about 79 feet from the caisson.
A5	68	62	There are relatively uniform gray and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings were visible near the caisson end of the laterals, but were generally covered by deposits from about 40 feet out from the caisson. There is some debris, probably sand and gravel, on the lower portion of the lateral at about 58 feet and 62 from the caisson. Could not advance the camera past 62 feet from the caisson, and the end of the lateral was not visible at this point.
B2	64	61	There are relatively uniform gray and reddish deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings were visible near the caisson end of the laterals, but were generally covered by deposits from about 40 feet out from the caisson. There is some debris in the lower part of the lateral about 52 feet from the caisson, probably sand and gravel. The end of the lateral is visible at 61 feet from the caisson.
Total	**430**	**302**	
			Neither pump has basket screens.
			There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly about 20 feet below the static water level (approx. elevation 15 feet) that is attached to the wall at two points and suspended by a cable on the free end.
			There is a valve port in this well that appears to be for a surface water intake. The port is at approx. elevation of 20 feet.
			The valves have long-stemmed actuator assemblies, and all but the valve on lateral A3 have a cable attached to the actuator that leads up to the intermediate floor.
			There are pipes leading to the bottom of the caisson that are attached to what appears to be some type of venturi lift system.
			The floor of the caisson has relatively little debris.

1) The number, lengths and orientations of the laterals generally matches the drawing in the installation report.

TABLE 7

Lateral Information and Flow Analysis
Collector Well 3 - Inspection, October 19, 2006
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Lateral No.	Tier	Total As-Built Lateral Length, feet from inside wall	Lateral Velocity (1) kilometers/hour	Water Temperature (1) ° F	Per Cent of Total Flow (2)	Approx. Flow gpm (3)	Flow, gpm per foot of Screen (3)
A2	Upper	104	4.45	61.2	36.4%	1,210	11.6
A3	Upper	110	Lateral Valve Partially Opened	61.7	Lateral Valve Partially Opened		
A4	Upper	84	2.62	61.8	21.4%	710	8.5
A5	Upper	68	2.92	63.0	23.9%	800	11.8
B2	Lower	64	2.24	62.3	18.3%	610	9.5
AVERAGE		86		61.9 (4)			10.3

Pumping Rate During Flow Measurements = 3,330 gpm

Notes:
1) Lateral velocity and water temperature are the average of two readings.
2) Percentage of flow from laterals A2, A4, A5 and B2.
3) Assumes all flow is from laterals A2, A4, A5 and B2.
4) Average temperature weighted for lateral flow

TABLE 8
Collector Well 4 Lateral Condition Summary
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Inspection Date: October 20, 2006 Number of Laterals: 6

Lateral ID [1]	Reported Length (feet)	Videoed Length (feet)	Observations
A1	40	0	Valve about 40% open, no video taken inside the lateral.
A2	70	68	There are relatively uniform gray deposits on the screen greater than 1/2 inches thick. Thickness of deposits generally increases away from the caisson. The slot openings are generally not visible. Some of the deposits are loose and silty especially past 30 feet. Camera appeared to reach the end of the lateral at 68 feet from caisson but visibility was poor.
A3	121	0	Valve open only about 1-inch, no video taken inside the lateral.
A4	120	108	There are relatively uniform gray deposits on the screen greater than 1/2 inches thick. Thickness of deposits generally increases away from the caisson. The slot openings are generally not visible. Some of the deposits are loose and silty especially past 40 feet. Camera reached 108 feet from caisson but lost visibility at 100 feet.
A5	89	88	There are relatively uniform gray deposits on the screen less than 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are generally visible. Camera reached the end of the lateral at 88 from the caisson.
A6	38	35	There are relatively uniform gray deposits on the screen approx. 1/2 inches thick. The slot openings are generally not visible. Camera reached the end of the lateral at 35 feet from the caisson.
Total	**478**	**299**	
			Neither pump has basket screens.
			There is a t-shaped I-beam assembly with one end resting on the valve actuator of lateral A2, one end against the side of valve actuator on lateral A6 and the third end resting on the caisson floor.
			There is a valve port in this well that appears to be for a surface water intake. The port is at approx. 35 feet below the intermediate floor and is located between laterals A1 and A2.
			The valves have long-stemmed actuator assemblies, and all have a cable attached to the actuator that leads up to the intermediate floor.
			There are pipes leading to the bottom of the caisson that are attached to what appears to be some type of venturi lift system.
			The lower end of the ladder is loose from the caisson wall.
			There is 2 to 8 inches of loose sand and gravel on the caisson floor.

1) The number, lengths and orientations of the laterals generally matches the drawing in the installation report.

TABLE 9

Lateral Information and Flow Analysis
Collector Well 4 - Inspection, October 20, 2006
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Lateral No.	Tier	Total As-Built Lateral Length, feet from inside wall	Lateral Velocity (1) kilometers/hour	Water Temperature (1) ° F	Per Cent of Total Flow (2)	Approx. Flow gpm (3)	Flow, gpm per foot of Screen (3)
A1	Upper	40	Lateral Valve About 40% Open	59.9	Lateral Valve About 40% Open		
A2	Upper	70	4.99	60.4	42.6%	1,780	25.4
A3	Upper	120	Lateral Valve Open About 1-inch	60.8	Lateral Valve Open About 1-inch		
A4	Upper	120	2.12	60.9	18.1%	750	6.3
A5	Upper	89	4.53	60.7	38.6%	1,610	18.1
A6	Upper	38	0.09	59.6	0.7%	30	0.8
AVERAGE		80		60.4 (4)			12.6

Pumping Rate During Flow Measurements = 4,180 gpm

Notes:
1) Lateral velocity and water temperature are the average of two readings.
2) Percentage of flow from laterals A2, A4, A5 and A6.
3) Assumes all flow is from laterals A2, A4, A5 and A6.
4) Average temperature weighted for lateral flow

TABLE 10
Laboratory Water Quality Analysis Results
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District

Constituent	Units	MCL	NTL/NCL Detection Limits	Collector Well 1A 10/17/06	Collector Well 3 10/19/06	NCL Analysis Collector Well 3 12/20/06	Collector Well 4 10/20/06	NCL Analysis Collector Well 4 12/20/06	Mad River 10/20/06
Aluminum	mg/l	0.2	0.1	ND	ND		ND		ND
Arsenic	mg/l	0.01	0.005	ND	ND		ND		ND
Barium	mg/l	2.00	0.30	ND	ND		ND		ND
Cadmium	mg/l	0.005	0.002	ND	ND		ND		ND
Calcium	mg/l		2.0	**26**	**27**		**28**		**27**
Chromium	mg/l	0.1	0.010	ND	ND		ND		ND
Copper	mg/l	1.3	0.004	ND	**0.096**		**0.017**		ND
Iron	mg/l	0.3	0.020	**0.048**	**0.041**		**0.34 ***		**0.024**
Lead	mg/l	0.015	0.002/ 0.001	ND	**0.058 ***	ND	**0.010**	ND	ND
Magnesium	mg/l		0.10	**4.4**	**4.5**		**4.6**		**4.3**
Manganese	mg/l	0.05	0.004/ 0.001	ND	ND		**0.072 ***	**0.013**	ND
Mercury	mg/l	0.002	0.001	ND	ND		ND		ND
Nickel	mg/l	0.1	0.02	ND	ND		ND		ND
Selenium	mg/l	0.05	0.020	ND	ND		ND		ND
Silver	mg/l	0.1	0.002	ND	ND		ND		ND
Sodium	mg/l		1	**4**	**4**		**4**		**4**
Zinc	mg/l	5	0.004	ND	**0.040**		**0.015**		**0.007**
Alkalinity, Total, as CaCO3	mg/l		20	**78**	**92**		**86**		**82**
Chloride	mg/l	250.0	5.0	ND	ND		ND		ND
Fluoride	mg/l	4	0.5	ND	ND		ND		ND
Total Hardness as CaCO3	mg/l		10	**83**	**86**		**89**		**85**
Nitrate as N	mg/l	10	0.5	ND	ND		ND		ND
Nitrite as N	mg/l	1	0.5	ND	ND		ND		ND
pH	S.U.	6.5-8.5		**7.0**	**6.8**		**6.9**		**7.9**
Sulfate	mg/l	250	5.0	**10**	**10**		**10**		**10**
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/l	500	20	**91**	**100**		**99**		**95**
Trihalomethanes and VOCs									
See Laboratory Reports for Parameter List				ND	ND		ND		ND

* The MCL (Maximum Contaminant Level) or SMCL (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level) has been exceeded for this parameter. SMCL values are based on aesthetic concerns and are not related to health affects.
ND - The contaminant was not detected at or above the stated detection limit.

APPENDIX A
Collector Well Information



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM

(Scale: 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 672 feet (Effective = 604 feet)

—— Tier B

---- Tier A

Revised 4/15/64

Revised 12/21/62

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	+46.00
Ground surface	+27.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	−29.0
℄ of laterals - Tier A	−36.9
Top of plug	−39.9
Cutting shoe	−46.2

RANNEY METHOD
WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
SACRAMENTO, CALIF.

BECHTEL CORPORATION
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
COLLECTOR NO. 1

DRAWN: J.S.	APPROVED: J.E.M.
DATE: 5/22/62	FIGURE: JW-17-02



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM

(Scale: 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 430 feet (Effective = 430 feet)

—— Tier B

- - - - Tier A

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	+51.00
Ground surface	+46.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	-26.9
℄ of laterals - Tier A	-27.9
Top of plug	-30.9
Cutting shoe	-37.4

RANNEY METHOD
WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
SACRAMENTO, CALIF.

BECHTEL CORPORATION
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

COLLECTOR NO. 3

DRAWN: J.S.	APPROVED: F.C.M.
DATE: 5 / 22 / 62	FIGURE: JW-17-04



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM

(Scale: 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 478 feet (Effective = 478 feet)

---- Tier B

—— Tier A

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	+ 52.00
Ground surface	+ 34.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	—
℄ of laterals - Tier A	- 38.2
Top of plug	- 41.2
Cutting shoe	- 47.7

RANNEY METHOD
WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
SACRAMENTO, CALIF.

BECHTEL CORPORATION
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
COLLECTOR NO. 4

DRAWN: J.S.	APPROVED: FSM
DATE: 5 / 22 / 62	FIGURE: JW-17-05

APPENDIX B
Water Level Data

Humboldt Bay Water Municipal Water District
Water Level Measurements

Well: Collector Well 1A

Measuring Point: Top of caisson top slab

Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 40.8 feet

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/17/06 9:46	19.11	21.69
10/17/06 11:05	19.11	21.69
10/17/06 11:07	21.00	19.80
10/17/06 11:08	22.95	17.85
10/17/06 11:09	24.00	16.80
10/17/06 11:10	25.37	15.43
10/17/06 11:11	26.10	14.70
10/17/06 11:12	26.93	13.87
10/17/06 11:13	27.46	13.34
10/17/06 11:14	27.88	12.92
10/17/06 11:15	28.31	12.49
10/17/06 11:16	28.68	12.12
10/17/06 11:23	30.01	10.79
10/17/06 11:29	30.47	10.33
10/17/06 11:33	30.63	10.17
10/17/06 11:37	30.73	10.07
10/17/06 11:44	30.87	9.93
10/17/06 11:58	30.98	9.82
10/17/06 12:46	31.22	9.58
10/17/06 13:04	31.28	9.52
10/17/06 13:50	31.35	9.45
10/17/06 14:39	31.45	9.35
10/17/06 14:48	23.23	17.57
10/17/06 14:53	21.00	19.80
10/17/06 15:16	19.67	21.13
10/17/06 15:19	19.62	21.18

Humboldt Bay Water Municipal Water District
Water Level Measurements

Well: Monitoring Well MW-1

Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 37.05

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/17/06 8:50	16.28	20.77
10/17/06 9:23	16.25	20.80
10/17/06 9:45	16.25	20.80
10/17/06 10:17	16.23	20.82
10/17/06 11:18	21.16	15.89
10/17/06 11:21	21.51	15.54
10/17/06 11:27	21.85	15.20
10/17/06 11:31	21.98	15.07
10/17/06 11:35	22.06	14.99
10/17/06 11:41	22.18	14.87
10/17/06 11:48	22.25	14.80
10/17/06 11:56	22.33	14.72
10/17/06 12:48	22.69	14.36
10/17/06 13:06	22.76	14.29
10/17/06 13:22	22.79	14.26
10/17/06 14:41	23.02	14.03
10/17/06 14:43	22.11	14.94
10/17/06 14:44	21.31	15.74
10/17/06 14:45	20.67	16.38
10/17/06 14:46	20.08	16.97
10/17/06 14:55	17.80	19.25
10/17/06 14:59	17.47	19.58
10/17/06 15:11	17.05	20.00
10/17/06 15:27	16.81	20.24
10/18/06 7:32	18.93	18.12
10/18/06 8:41	16.12	20.93
10/18/06 9:44	16.17	20.88
10/18/06 10:26	16.17	20.88
10/18/06 10:29	18.06	18.99
10/18/06 10:30	18.49	18.56
10/18/06 11:36	22.36	14.69
10/18/06 14:38	19.19	17.86

Humboldt Bay Water Municipal Water District
Water Level Measurements

Well: Collector Well 1 (PS1)
Measuring Point: Edge of hatch curb 7-1/2 inches above intermediate floor.
Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 48 feet

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/18/06 9:19	27.70	20.30
10/18/06 9:22	27.70	20.30
10/18/06 10:06	27.74	20.26
10/18/06 10:20	27.75	20.25
10/18/06 10:40	38.20	9.80
10/18/06 11:02	39.67	8.33
10/18/06 11:18	39.85	8.15
10/18/06 11:48	40.05	7.95
10/18/06 11:51	40.05	7.95

River at Collector Well 1 (PS1)
Measuring Point: Top of caisson top slab
Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 58.51 feet

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/18/06 9:24	37.07	21.44
10/18/06 11:46	37.08	21.43
10/18/06 13:52	37.05	21.46

Well: 12-inch Diameter Well 104 feet west of Collector Well 1
Measuring Point: Top of well cap fitting
Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 25.98 feet

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/18/06 7:34	7.41	18.57
10/18/06 8:36	5.61	20.37
10/18/06 9:42	5.59	20.39
10/18/06 10:25	5.58	20.40
10/18/06 10:32	7.40	18.58
10/18/06 10:33	7.78	18.20
10/18/06 11:33	9.43	16.55
10/18/06 14:35	7.52	18.46

Humboldt Bay Water Municipal Water District
Water Level Measurements

Well: 8-inch well 39 feet west of Collector Well 3
Measuring Point: Top of steel casing
Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 50.8 feet

Date/Time	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/18/06 15:20	25.62	25.18
10/19/06 7:52	25.45	25.35
10/19/06 8:34	25.52	25.28
10/19/06 9:05	25.56	25.24
10/19/06 9:19	25.57	25.23
10/19/06 10:00	25.55	25.25
10/19/06 10:04	25.55	25.25
10/19/06 10:05	25.61	25.19
10/19/06 10:06	25.67	25.13
10/19/06 10:07	25.74	25.06
10/19/06 10:08	25.81	24.99
10/19/06 10:12	26.18	24.62
10/19/06 10:40	28.09	22.71
10/19/06 10:57	28.58	22.22
10/19/06 11:26	29.10	21.70
10/19/06 12:03	29.55	21.25
10/19/06 12:17	28.34	22.46
10/19/06 12:25	27.62	23.18
10/19/06 12:43	26.79	24.01
10/19/06 12:56	26.45	24.35
10/19/06 13:05	26.30	24.50
10/19/06 13:12	26.18	24.62

Humboldt Bay Water Municipal Water District
Water Level Measurements

Well: Collector Well 4

Measuring Point: Top of curb for pump column 6-inches above the intermediate floor

Assumed Measuring Point Elevation: 54.38 feet

Date/Time	Pump House Level Indicator	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Elevation (feet)
10/19/06 14:41	46.5		
10/20/06 7:59	41.7	33.12	21.26
10/20/06 8:33	43.1		
10/20/06 8:36		31.26	23.12
10/20/06 8:49	43.6	30.90	23.48
10/20/06 8:51	41.80		
10/20/06 8:52	39.1	35.20	19.18
10/20/06 8:53	37.3	37.51	16.87
10/20/06 8:54	36	38.75	15.63
10/20/06 8:55	34.9	39.75	14.63
10/20/06 8:56	34.1	40.50	13.88
10/20/06 8:57	33.5	41.08	13.3
10/20/06 8:59	32.7		
10/20/06 9:16	30.9		
10/20/06 9:28	30.7		
10/20/06 9:37	30.6		
10/20/06 9:45	30.4	43.95	10.43
10/20/06 9:54	30.4	43.97	10.41
10/20/06 10:09	30.4	44.03	10.35
10/20/06 10:48		40.10	14.28
10/20/06 10:58	42	32.55	21.83
10/20/06 11:03	42.6	31.88	22.5
10/20/06 11:18	43.3	31.24	23.14
10/20/06 11:55	44.30	30.20	24.18

APPENDIX C
Diver Observations

MM DIVING SERVICES

UNDERWATER CONSTRUCTION
CA. Class A-General Engineering Licensee # 817400
325 Sleepy Hollow Road
Crescent City, CA 95531
Telephone: (707) 465-0909
Fax: (707) 464-5200



Customer: Collector Wells
Location: HBMWD
Date: 10/20/06
Job #: 137

Job Summary

Diving commenced 10/17/06 at HBMWD collector wells 1A, 1, 3 & 4. Normal Lockout/Tag out and confined space safety procedures were carried out daily prior to divers entering.
All data provide with this report from the field notes. The videos provided from each well site must be reviewed to ensure data accuracy.
In addition to the Well reports it should be brought to HBMWD attention that:
Well #1 has a section of 6"pipe which corroded through 100% secured with rope by divers.
Well #3 pump I-beam stabilizer is loose, hanging at an angle and partially supported with a ¼ inch wire 20 feet off bottom.
Well #4 pump I-beam stabilizer is on bottom at an angle and resting on lateral valve bodies.

Each of the above items represents unsafe working conditions. Removal of the items must be considered prior to re entry.
Underwater burning would cut each piece into a size for recovering through the entry point. An air winch would be used for the vertical lift if not accessible by a crane.

A full report on the wells conditions will be forth coming from Collector Wells.

Please do not hesitate to call with any questions or if I may be of further assistance.

MM Diving Services
Owner
Vic Markytan 24 hr (707) 954-0623
markytan@charter.net

MM DIVING SERVICES

Job report for: Collector Wells
Location: HBMWD
Job Number: 137 **Diver in charge:** Markytan

Date	Time	Description of Work
10/17/06	0730	Arrive HBMWD - inspect Well #1A.
		Video interior and all Laterals to end, approx 61 feet.
	1600	Depart.
10/18/06	0730	Inspect Well #1, Install pump safety cage, video interior and laterals.
		Lateral's are closed or plugged SEE VIDEO.
		Moderate debris on bottom- ladder unsecured below water line. 20 of 6 inch pipe corroded to separation. Secured in the vertical position with rope.
	1530	Depart.
		Lateral's are closed or plugged SEE VIDEO.
10/19/06	0730	Inspect Well #3. Install suction safety cage.
		Video internal conditions and 5 laterals.
		Unsecured I beam pump brace hanging loosely.
		Will require burning to remove.
		All valves have 3/16" wire supporting in open position.
		Relocate dive spread and set up to dive at Well#4.
	1530	Depart.
10/20/06	0730	Inspect Well #4. Install suction cage.
		Video laterals. 6 laterals total.
		No internal video on #4&#6. Valves not open enough for camera.
		Pump I beam stabilizer on bottom resting on valves. Requires burning.
		All valves have wire supporting open position
	1520	Arrive CC.

MM Diving Services

Class A-General Engineering #817400

325 Sleepy Hollow Road
Crescent City, Ca 95531
Telephone: 707 465-0909
Fax: 707 464-5200
E-mail: markytan@charter.net

10/19/06
HBMWD Well #1A-Row
Ladder is used as the 12:00 position

A ROW		Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1		1:00	Open		X	X	60
2		2:00	Open		X	X	60
3		5:00	Open		X	X	60
4		6:00	Open		X	X	55
5		7:00	Open		X	X	61
6		10:00	Open		X	X	61

B ROW	Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1	1:00	Open		X	X	62
2	2:00	Open		X	X	60
3	5:00	Open		X	X	61
4	6:00	Open		X	X	61
5	7:00	Open		X	X	61
6	10:00	Open		X	X	61

A full color video was provided of the Well #1A inspection. The specific information on each lateral will need to be reviewed to double check Data recorded as the diving took place. The video will answer any questions you may have on Well # 1A, 1, 3 & 4

Vic Markytan
Cell 707-954-0623

MM Diving Services

Class A-General Engineering #817400

325 Sleepy Hollow Road
Crescent City, Ca 95531
Telephone: 707 465-0909
Fax: 707 464-5200
E-mail: markytan@charter.net

10/19/06
HBMWD Well #1.
Ladder for reference represents the 12:00 position.

A ROW	Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1	1:00	No valve	N-?	N	X	NA
2	3:00	No Valve	N-?	N	X	NA
3	5:00	Blinded	N	N	X	NA
4	7:00	Stub-plug	N-?	N	X	NA
5	9:00	Closed	N	N	X	NA
6	11:00	1" Open	Y	N	X	NA

B ROW	Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1	12:30	Closed	1/4"open	N	External	NA
2	2:00	Closed	N-?	N	External	NA
3	3:00	Closed	N-?	N	External	NA
4	6:00	Closed	N-?	N	External	NA
5	8:00	Closed	N-?	N	External	NA
6	9:00	Closed	N-?	N	External	NA
7	11:00	Closed	1" open	N	External	NA

20ft 6" pipe found corroded through, secured with rope. Will require burning to extract. Camera traveled 170 feet from Well# 1 siphon towards Well # 1A. See Video to confirm field data.

MM Diving Services
Vic Markytan
(707)465-0909

MM Diving Services

Class A-General Engineering #817400

325 Sleepy Hollow Road
Crescent City, Ca 95531
Telephone: 707 465-0909
Fax: 707 464-5200
E-mail: markytan@charter.net

10/19/06
HBMWD Well #3.
Ladder for reference represents the 12:00 position.

ROW	Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1-B	12:00	Open		Y	X	61
2-A	1:00	Closed	1"open	Y	X	NA
3-A	3:00	Open		Y	X	79
4-B	5:00	Open		Y	X	66
5-A	11:00	Open		Y	X	100

Broken I beam pump stabilizer. Hanging on angle with previously installed 3/16" cable to help remaining bolts support. Will require burning to extract from well. Elevation difference between A&B row is minimal. See video. All lateral valves have wire supporting open position.

MM Diving Services
Vic Markytan
Cell 707-954-0623

MM Diving Services

Class A-General Engineering #817400

325 Sleepy Hollow Road
Crescent City, Ca 95531
Telephone: 707 465-0909
Fax: 707 464-5200
E-mail: markytan@charter.net

10/20/06
HBMWD Well #4.
Ladder for reference represents the 12:00 position.

A-ROW	Location	Open/Closed	Leaking	Tested	Video	Depth
1-A	1:00	Open		Y	X	108
2-A	3:00	Open		Y	X	88
3-A	6:00	Open		Y	X	35
4-A	9:00	Open	40% open	Y	external	?
5-A	10:00	Open		Y	X	68
6-A	11:00	Closed	5% open	Y	external	?

I-beam pump stabilizer framework lying on bottom at an angle and resting on lateral valve bodies. Recommend removal for safe working conditions.

Vic Markytan
Cell 707-954-0623

APPENDIX D
Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video Collector Well 1A



Collector Well 1A, top of caisson



Collector Well 1A, access hatch on top of caisson



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A1



Collector Well 1A, Interior of lateral A1 near caisson



Collector Well 1A, Lateral A1 about 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral A1



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A2



Collector Well 1A, Interior of lateral A2 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral A2 about 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral A2



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A3

Collector Well 1A, Interior of lateral A3 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral A3 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral A3



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A4



Collector Well 1A, Interior of lateral A4 near caisson



Collector Well 1A, Lateral A4 about 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 1A, Debris blocking Lateral A4



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A5



Collector Well 1A, Interior of lateral A5 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral A5 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, Sand and gravel in Lateral A5

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral A5



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral A6



Collector Well 1A, Lateral A6 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, Sand and gravel in Lateral A6



Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral A6



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B1



Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B1 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral B1 about 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B1



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B2



Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B2 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral B2 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B2



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B3



Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B3 near caisson



Collector Well 1A, Lateral B3 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B3



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B4



Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B4 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral B4 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B4



Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B5

Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B5 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral B5 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B5

Collector Well 1A, Valve on lateral B6



Collector Well 1A, Interior of Lateral B6 near caisson

Collector Well 1A, Lateral B6 about 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 1A, End of Lateral B6

APPENDIX E
Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video Collector Well 1 (PS1)



Collector Well 1 (PS1)



Collector Well 1 pump



Collector Well 1 (PS1) Looking down access hatch



Collector Well 1, Lateral A1



Collector Well 1, Lateral A2



Collector Well 1, Lateral A3

Collector Well 1, "Lateral A4" 24-inch corrugated pipe

Collector Well 1, "Lateral A4" 24-inch corrugated pipe



Collector Well 1, Lateral A5 Valves



Collector Well 1, Lateral A5 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral A6 Valve



Collector Well 1, Lateral A6 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral B1 Valve opening

Collector Well 1, Lateral B2 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral B2 Behind Valve

Collector Well 1, Lateral B3 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral B3 Behind Valve



Collector Well 1, Lateral B4 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral B4 Behind Valve



Collector Well 1, Lateral B5 Valve opening

Collector Well 1, Lateral B5 Behind Valve



Collector Well 1, Lateral B6 Valve opening

Collector Well 1, Lateral B6 Behind Valve



Collector Well 1, Lateral B7 Valve opening



Collector Well 1, Lateral B7 Behind Valve



Collector Well 1, Corroded pipe by access ladder

APPENDIX F
Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video Collector Well 3 (PS3)



Collector Well 3 (PS3)



Collector Well 3, looking down access hatch

Collector Well 3, valve port, possibly for surface intake



Collector Well 3, access hatch

[redacted]



Collector Well 3, Lateral A2 Valve opening

Collector Well 3, Lateral A2 Behind valve



Collector Well 3, A2 Interior near caisson

Collector Well 3, A2 Interior 10 feet from caisson

Collector Well 3, A2 Interior 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 3, A2 Interior at end of lateral



Collector Well 3, Lateral B2 Valve opening

Collector Well 3, Lateral B2 Behind Valve



Collector Well 3, Lateral B2 Interior near caisson

Collector Well 3, Lateral B2 about 10 feet from caisson



Collector Well 3, Lateral B2 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 3, End of Lateral B2



Collector Well 3, Lateral A3 valve and elbow



Collector Well 3, Lateral A3 valve opening



Collector Well 3, Lateral A4 Valve

Collector Well 3, Lateral A4 Behind Valve



Collector Well 3, Lateral A4 Valve opening



Collector Well 3, Lateral A4 interior near caisson



Collector Well 3, Lateral A4 about 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 3, End of Lateral A4



Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Valve

Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Behind Valve



Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Valve opening



Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Interior near caisson



Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Interior 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 3, Lateral A5 Interior at end



Collector Well 3, I-beam assembly
where it is suspended by a cable

Collector Well 3, End of I-beam assembly
where it is attached to caisson



Collector Well 3, venturi assembly



Collector Well 3, venturi assembly



Collector Well 3, lateral valve actuator



Collector Well 3, lateral valve actuator

APPENDIX G
Inspection Photographs and Still Images from Video Collector Well 4 (PS4)

Collector Well 4 (PS4)



Collector Well 4, Looking down access hatch

Collector Well 4, Lateral A1 Valve opening



Collector Well 4, Lateral A1 Behind Valve



Collector Well 4, Lateral A2 Valve opening

Collector Well 4, Lateral A2 Behind Valve



Collector Well 4, Lateral A2 Interior 10 feet from caisson



Collector Well 4, Lateral A2 Interior 40 feet from caisson

Collector Well 4, Lateral A4 Valve opening



Collector Well 4, Lateral A4 Behind Valve



Collector Well 4, Lateral A4 Interior 10 feet from caisson



Collector Well 4, Lateral A2 Interior 40 feet from caisson



Collector Well 4, Lateral A5 Valve opening



Collector Well 4, Lateral A5 Behind Valve



Collector Well 4, Lateral A5 Interior 10 feet from caisson



Collector Well 4, Lateral A5 Interior at end



Collector Well 4, Lateral A6 Valve opening



Collector Well 4, Lateral A6 Behind Valve



Collector Well 4, Lateral A6 Interior 10 feet from caisson



Collector Well 4, Lateral A6 Interior at end



Collector Well 4, I-beam resting on
Lateral A2 valve actuator



Collector Well 4, I-beam against side
of Lateral A6 valve actuator

APPENDIX H
Water Quality Laboratory Reports

DATE COLLECTED	DATE RECEIVED	DATE COMPLETED	SAMPLE CODE
10/19/06	10/23/06	10/27/06	660960

NATIONAL TESTING LABORATORIES LTD.
6571 Wilson Mills Road
Cleveland, OH 44143
(440) 449-2525

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

HUMBOLDY BAY WATER DIST.

DEALER ADDRESS

COLLECTOR WELLS INTL
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OH 43229-

DRINKING WATER ANALYSIS RESULTS

ID: WELL WATER
COLLECTOR WELL 3

NOTE: "*" The MCL (Maximum Contaminant Level) or an established guideline has been exceeded for this contaminant.
"**" Bacteria results may be invalid due to lack of collection information or because the sample has exceeded the 30-hour holding time.
"ND" This contaminant was not detected at or above our stated detection level.
"NBS" No bacteria submitted. "NBR" No Bacteria Required.
"P" = PRESENCE "A" = ABSENCE
"EP" = E. COLI PRESENCE "EA" = E. COLI ABSENCE
"NA" Not Analyzed

Analysis Performed	MCL (mg/l)	Det. Level	Level Detected
Total coliform	P	P	NBR
Inorganic chemicals - metals:			
Aluminum	0.2	0.1	ND
Arsenic	0.010	0.005	ND
Barium	2	0.30	ND
Cadmium	0.005	0.002	ND
Calcium	---	2.0	27
Chromium	0.1	0.010	ND
Copper	1.3	0.004	0.096
Iron	0.3	0.020	0.041
Lead	0.015	0.002	0.058*
Magnesium	---	0.10	4.5
Manganese	0.05	0.004	ND
Mercury	0.002	0.001	ND
Nickel	---	0.02	ND
Selenium	0.05	0.020	ND
Silver	0.1	0.002	ND
Sodium	---	1	4
Zinc	5	0.004	0.040
Inorganic chemicals - other, and physical factors:			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	---	20	92
Chloride	250	5.0	ND
Fluoride	4	0.5	ND
Hardness (suggested limit = 100)		10	86
Nitrate as N	10	0.5	ND
Nitrite as N	1	0.5	ND
pH (Standard Units)	6.5-8.5	---	6.8
Sulfate	250	5.0	10
Total Dissolved Solids	500	20	100
Turbidity (Turbidity Units)	1.0	0.1	0.6
Organic chemicals - trihalomethanes:			
Bromodichloromethane	---	0.002	ND
Bromoform	---	0.004	ND
Chloroform	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloromethane	---	0.004	ND
Total THMs	0.080	0.002	ND

Analysis performed	MCL (mg/l)	Detection Level	Level Detected
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2	0.001	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.007	0.001	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07	0.002	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.005	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	---	0.001	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.075	0.001	ND
2,2-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
2-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
4-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
Benzene	0.005	0.001	ND
Bromobenzene	---	0.002	ND
Bromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.005	0.001	ND
Chlorobenzene	0.1	0.001	ND
Chloroethane	---	0.002	ND
Chloromethane	---	0.002	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.07	0.002	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	---	0.001	ND
Dibromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichloromethane	0.005	0.002	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.7	0.001	ND
Ethylenedibromide (EDB)	---	0.001	ND
Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether	---	0.004	ND
Styrene	0.1	0.001	ND
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.005	0.002	ND
Toluene	1	0.001	ND
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.1	0.002	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.005	0.001	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Vinyl Chloride	0.002	0.001	ND
Xylene	10	0.001	ND

We certify that the analyses performed for this report are accurate, and that the laboratory tests were conducted by methods approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or variations of these EPA methods.

These test results are intended to be used for informational purposes only and may not be used for regulatory compliance.

National Testing Laboratories Ltd.

DATE COLLECTED	DATE RECEIVED	DATE COMPLETED	SAMPLE CODE
10/17/06	10/19/06	10/26/06	660823

NATIONAL TESTING LABORATORIES LTD.
6571 Wilson Mills Road
Cleveland, OH 44143
(440) 449-2525

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

HUMBOLDT BAY WATER DIST.

DEALER ADDRESS

COLLECTOR WELLS INTL
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OH 43229-

DRINKING WATER ANALYSIS RESULTS

ID: COLLECTOR WELL 1 A

NOTE: "*" The MCL (Maximum Contaminant Level) or an established guideline has been exceeded for this contaminant.
"**" Bacteria results may be invalid due to lack of collection information or because the sample has exceeded the 30-hour holding time.
"ND" This contaminant was not detected at or above our stated detection level.
"NBS" No bacteria submitted. "NBR" No Bacteria Required.
"P" = PRESENCE "A" = ABSENCE
"EP" = E. COLI PRESENCE "EA" = E. COLI ABSENCE
"NA" Not Analyzed

Analysis Performed	MCL (mg/l)	Det. Level	Level Detected
Total coliform	P	P	NBR
Inorganic chemicals - metals:			
Aluminum	0.2	0.1	ND
Arsenic	0.010	0.005	ND
Barium	2	0.30	ND
Cadmium	0.005	0.002	ND
Calcium	---	2.0	26
Chromium	0.1	0.010	ND
Copper	1.3	0.004	ND
Iron	0.3	0.020	0.048
Lead	0.015	0.002	ND
Magnesium	---	0.10	4.4
Manganese	0.05	0.004	ND
Mercury	0.002	0.001	ND
Nickel	---	0.02	ND
Selenium	0.05	0.020	ND
Silver	0.1	0.002	ND
Sodium	---	1	4
Zinc	5	0.004	ND
Inorganic chemicals - other, and physical factors:			
Alkalinity (Total as $CaCO_3$)	---	20	78
Chloride	250	5.0	ND
Fluoride	4	0.5	ND
Hardness (suggested limit = 100)		10	83
Nitrate as N	10	0.5	ND
Nitrite as N	1	0.5	ND
pH (Standard Units)	6.5-8.5	---	7.0
Sulfate	250	5.0	10
Total Dissolved Solids	500	20	91
Turbidity (Turbidity Units)	1.0	0.1	0.4
Organic chemicals - trihalomethanes:			
Bromodichloromethane	---	0.002	ND
Bromoform	---	0.004	ND
Chloroform	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloromethane	---	0.004	ND
Total THMs	0.080	0.002	ND

Analysis performed	MCL (mg/l)	Detection Level	Level Detected
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2	0.001	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.007	0.001	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07	0.002	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.005	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	---	0.001	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.075	0.001	ND
2,2-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
2-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
4-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
Benzene	0.005	0.001	ND
Bromobenzene	---	0.002	ND
Bromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.005	0.001	ND
Chlorobenzene	0.1	0.001	ND
Chloroethane	---	0.002	ND
Chloromethane	---	0.002	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.07	0.002	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	---	0.001	ND
Dibromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichloromethane	0.005	0.002	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.7	0.001	ND
Ethylenedibromide (EDB)	---	0.001	ND
Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether	---	0.004	ND
Styrene	0.1	0.001	ND
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.005	0.002	ND
Toluene	1	0.001	ND
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.1	0.002	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.005	0.001	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Vinyl Chloride	0.002	0.001	ND
Xylene	10	0.001	ND

We certify that the analyses performed for this report are accurate, and that the laboratory tests were conducted by methods approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or variations of these EPA methods.

These test results are intended to be used for informational purposes only and may not be used for regulatory compliance.

National Testing Laboratories Ltd.

DATE COLLECTED	DATE RECEIVED	DATE COMPLETED	SAMPLE CODE
10/20/06	10/23/06	10/27/06	660961

NATIONAL TESTING LABORATORIES LTD.
6571 Wilson Mills Road
Cleveland, OH 44143
(440) 449-2525

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

HUMBOLDT BAY WATER DIST.

DEALER ADDRESS

COLLECTOR WELLS INTL
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OH 43229-

DRINKING WATER ANALYSIS RESULTS

ID: WELL WATER
COLLECTOR WELL NO 4

NOTE: "*" The MCL (Maximum Contaminant Level) or an established guideline has been exceeded for this contaminant.
"**" Bacteria results may be invalid due to lack of collection information or because the sample has exceeded the 30-hour holding time.
"ND" This contaminant was not detected at or above our stated detection level.
"NBS" No bacteria submitted. "NBR" No Bacteria Required.
"P" = PRESENCE "A" = ABSENCE
"EP" = E. COLI PRESENCE "EA" = E. COLI ABSENCE
"NA" Not Analyzed

Analysis Performed	MCL (mg/l)	Det. Level	Level Detected
Total coliform	P	P	NBR
Inorganic chemicals - metals:			
Aluminum	0.2	0.1	ND
Arsenic	0.010	0.005	ND
Barium	2	0.30	ND
Cadmium	0.005	0.002	ND
Calcium	---	2.0	28
Chromium	0.1	0.010	ND
Copper	1.3	0.004	0.017
Iron	0.3	0.020	0.34*
Lead	0.015	0.002	0.010
Magnesium	---	0.10	4.6
Manganese	0.05	0.004	0.072*
Mercury	0.002	0.001	ND
Nickel	---	0.02	ND
Selenium	0.05	0.020	ND
Silver	0.1	0.002	ND
Sodium	---	1	4
Zinc	5	0.004	0.015
Inorganic chemicals - other, and physical factors:			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	---	20	86
Chloride	250	5.0	ND
Fluoride	4	0.5	ND
Hardness (suggested limit = 100)		10	89
Nitrate as N	10	0.5	ND
Nitrite as N	1	0.5	ND
pH (Standard Units)	6.5-8.5	---	6.9
Sulfate	250	5.0	10
Total Dissolved Solids	500	20	99
Turbidity (Turbidity Units)	1.0	0.1	2.4*
Organic chemicals - trihalomethanes:			
Bromodichloromethane	---	0.002	ND
Bromoform	---	0.004	ND
Chloroform	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloromethane	---	0.004	ND
Total THMs	0.080	0.002	ND

Analysis performed	MCL (mg/l)	Detection Level	Level Detected
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2	0.001	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.007	0.001	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07	0.002	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.005	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	---	0.001	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.075	0.001	ND
2,2-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
2-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
4-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
Benzene	0.005	0.001	ND
Bromobenzene	---	0.002	ND
Bromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.005	0.001	ND
Chlorobenzene	0.1	0.001	ND
Chloroethane	---	0.002	ND
Chloromethane	---	0.002	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.07	0.002	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	---	0.001	ND
Dibromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichloromethane	0.005	0.002	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.7	0.001	ND
Ethylenedibromide (EDB)	---	0.001	ND
Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether	---	0.004	ND
Styrene	0.1	0.001	ND
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.005	0.002	ND
Toluene	1	0.001	ND
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.1	0.002	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.005	0.001	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Vinyl Chloride	0.002	0.001	ND
Xylene	10	0.001	ND

We certify that the analyses performed for this report are accurate, and that the laboratory tests were conducted by methods approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or variations of these EPA methods.

These test results are intended to be used for informational purposes only and may not be used for regulatory compliance.

National Testing Laboratories Ltd.

DATE COLLECTED	DATE RECEIVED	DATE COMPLETED	SAMPLE CODE
10/20/06	10/23/06	10/27/06	660962

NATIONAL TESTING LABORATORIES LTD.
6571 Wilson Mills Road
Cleveland, OH 44143
(440) 449-2525

CUSTOMER ADDRESS

HUMBOLDT BAY WATER DIST.

DEALER ADDRESS

COLLECTOR WELLS INTL
6360 HUNTLEY RD
COLUMBUS, OH 43229-

DRINKING WATER ANALYSIS RESULTS

ID: RIVER WATER
MAD RIVER

NOTE: "*" The MCL (Maximum Contaminant Level) or an established guideline has been exceeded for this contaminant.
"**" Bacteria results may be invalid due to lack of collection information or because the sample has exceeded the 30-hour holding time.
"ND" This contaminant was not detected at or above our stated detection level.
"NBS" No bacteria submitted. "NBR" No Bacteria Required.
"P" = PRESENCE "A" = ABSENCE
"EP" = E. COLI PRESENCE "EA" = E. COLI ABSENCE
"NA" Not Analyzed

Analysis Performed	MCL (mg/l)	Det. Level	Level Detected
Total coliform	P	P	NBR
Inorganic chemicals - metals:			
Aluminum	0.2	0.1	ND
Arsenic	0.010	0.005	ND
Barium	2	0.30	ND
Cadmium	0.005	0.002	ND
Calcium	---	2.0	27
Chromium	0.1	0.010	ND
Copper	1.3	0.004	ND
Iron	0.3	0.020	0.024
Lead	0.015	0.002	ND
Magnesium	---	0.10	4.3
Manganese	0.05	0.004	ND
Mercury	0.002	0.001	ND
Nickel	---	0.02	ND
Selenium	0.05	0.020	ND
Silver	0.1	0.002	ND
Sodium	---	1	4
Zinc	5	0.004	0.007
Inorganic chemicals - other, and physical factors:			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	---	20	82
Chloride	250	5.0	ND
Fluoride	4	0.5	ND
Hardness (suggested limit = 100)		10	85
Nitrate as N	10	0.5	ND
Nitrite as N	1	0.5	ND
pH (Standard Units)	6.5-8.5	---	7.9
Sulfate	250	5.0	10
Total Dissolved Solids	500	20	95
Turbidity (Turbidity Units)	1.0	0.1	0.2
Organic chemicals - trihalomethanes:			
Bromodichloromethane	---	0.002	ND
Bromoform	---	0.004	ND
Chloroform	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloromethane	---	0.004	ND
Total THMs	0.080	0.002	ND

Analysis performed	MCL (mg/l)	Detection Level	Level Detected
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.2	0.001	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	---	0.002	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.007	0.001	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	---	0.002	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.07	0.002	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.005	0.001	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.002	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	---	0.001	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.075	0.001	ND
2,2-Dichloropropane	---	0.002	ND
2-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
4-Chlorotoluene	---	0.001	ND
Benzene	0.005	0.001	ND
Bromobenzene	---	0.002	ND
Bromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.005	0.001	ND
Chlorobenzene	0.1	0.001	ND
Chloroethane	---	0.002	ND
Chloromethane	---	0.002	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.07	0.002	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	---	0.001	ND
Dibromomethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Dichloromethane	0.005	0.002	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.7	0.001	ND
Ethylenedibromide (EDB)	---	0.001	ND
Methyl-Tert-Butyl-Ether	---	0.004	ND
Styrene	0.1	0.001	ND
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.005	0.002	ND
Toluene	1	0.001	ND
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.1	0.002	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	---	0.002	ND
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.005	0.001	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	---	0.002	ND
Vinyl Chloride	0.002	0.001	ND
Xylene	10	0.001	ND

We certify that the analyses performed for this report are accurate, and that the laboratory tests were conducted by methods approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or variations of these EPA methods.

These test results are intended to be used for informational purposes only and may not be used for regulatory compliance.

National Testing Laboratories Ltd.

NORTH COAST LABORATORIES LTD.

January 03, 2007

HBMWD/Humboldt Routine
7270 West End Rd.
Arcata, CA 95521-9279

Attn:

RE:

Order No.: 0612551
Invoice No.: 63467
PO No.:
ELAP No. 1247-Expires July 2008

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Fraction	Client Sample Description
01A	PS3
02A	PS4

ND = Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Limit = Reporting Limit

All solid results are expressed on a wet-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

REPORT CERTIFIED BY

Laboratory Supervisor(s) | QA Unit | Jesse G. Chaney, Jr. Laboratory Director

Date: 03-Jan-2007
WorkOrder: 0612551

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client Sample ID: PS3 **Received:** 12/20/06 **Collected:** 12/20/06 9:30
Lab ID: 0612551-01A

Test Name: ICP-MS Metals **Reference:** EPA 200.8

Parameter	Result	Limit	Units	DF	Extracted	Analyzed
Lead	ND	1.0	µg/L	1.0	12/28/06	01/02/07

Client Sample ID: PS4 **Received:** 12/20/06 **Collected:** 12/20/06 10:00
Lab ID: 0612551-02A

Test Name: ICP-MS Metals **Reference:** EPA 200.8

Parameter	Result	Limit	Units	DF	Extracted	Analyzed
Lead	ND	1.0	µg/L	1.0	12/28/06	01/02/07
Manganese	13	1.0	µg/L	1.0	12/28/06	01/02/07

APPENDIX I
Collector Well 3 Test Boring Data

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501-0417
(707) 443-8326 / FAX (707) 444-8330

By THIESSEN Date 4/13/06 Client HUMBOLDT BAY MUN. WATER DIST. Sheet No. ____ of ____

Subject SONIC BORING LOG @ RANNEY COLLECTOR #3 Job No. ____



CONSULTING ENGINEERS
633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501-0417
(707) 443-8326 / FAX (707) 444-8330

By TNES Date 4/13/6 Client NBMWD Sheet No. ____ of ____

Subject B-1 MK II BORING LOG Job No. ____

DEPTH FEET	% FINES	% SAND	% GRAVEL	TEXTURE	MOISTURE	NOTES
0-3½	40	40	20	LOOSE	DRY	BASE ROCK
3½-7½	70	30	0	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	MOIST	OVERBANK S
7½-9	20	60	20	LOOSE	MOIST	
9-11	60	30	10	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	MOIST	
11-18	20	60	20	LOOSE	LOW MOISTURE	COBBLES TO 4"
18-20	40	40	20	LOOSE	MOIST	
20-30	20	30	50	LOOSE	MOIST	CLAST-SUPPOR CLASTS TO 4"
30-31	40	20	40	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	WET	TRANSITION ZONE
31-36½	20	80	0	LOOSE TO PARTIALLY CONESIVE	WET	MINOR ORGANICS
36½-40	20	30	50	LOOSE	MOIST	
41-49	10	10	80	LOOSE	WET	
49-60	20	20	60	PARTIALLY CONESIVE	WET	MANY P GRAVEL
60-63	5	25	70	LOOSE	WET	
63-~69	20	20	60	~~loo~~ PARTLY CONESIVE	WET	PEA-2"
69-86	0	30	70	LOOSE	WET	CLEAN GRA
86-88	20	20	60	LOOSE	WET	

END OF HOLE 88'

NOTE- EXISTING CAVERNS ~74 FT BGS IN CLEAN GRAVEL



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM
(Scale 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 430 feet (Effective = 430 feet)

—— Tier B

- - - - Tier A

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	- 11.00
Ground surface	+ 46.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	- 26.9
℄ of laterals - Tier A	- 27.9
Top of plug	- 30.9
Cutting shoe	- 37.4

RANNEY METHOD
WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
SACRAMENTO, CALIF

BECHTEL CORPORATION
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

COLLECTOR NO. 3

DRAWN J.S.	APPROVED [illegible]
DATE 5/22/62	FIGURE: JW-17-04

Step-drawdown aquifer test from boring 60 feet north of Ranney #3, HBMWD, Essex CA. 4/14/06

Aquifer test data from 60 to 70 foot interval.
19 gallons per minute (~25% pump capacity)

Minute	DTW (ft)	Q out (gpm)	Notes
1	20.550	19	
2	20.560	19	
3	20.550	19	
4	20.560	19	
5	20.560	19	
6	20.560	19	
8	20.560	19	
10	20.570	19	
12	20.570	19	
15	20.570	19	
20	20.570	19	
25	20.570	19	
30	20.570	19	

Notes:
Depth to water data taken from top of well casing 20 inches (1.67 feet) above ground surface.

Initial static depth to water following well development = 20.35 ft 3:23 PM 4/14/06.

Aquifer test started at 3:24 PM 4/14/06.

Pump used = 3 hp borrowed from local driller (Prosonic 5 hp pump inoperable)

Water entered pump from 4" continuous slot wire well screen and gap between well screen and bottom of 8" casing. (no packer used)

Kenneth Thiessen, Geologist
Winzler & Kelly Consulting Engineers

Aquifer test data from 60 to 70 foot interval.
33 gallons per minute (~50% pump capacity)

Minute	DTW (ft)	Q out (gpm)	Notes
1	20.660	33	
2	20.780	33	
3	20.780	33	
4	20.790	33	
5	20.790	33	
6	20.790	33	
8	20.795	33	
10	20.800	33	
12	20.800	33	
15	20.790	33	
20	20.800	33	
25	20.850	33	wind starts
30	30.910	33	

Note: Depth to water data taken from top of well casing 20 inches (1.67 feet) above ground surface.

Aquifer test data from 60 to 70 foot interval.
50 gallons per minute (~75% pump capacity)

Minute	DTW (ft)	Q out (gpm)	Notes
1	21.260	50	
2	21.270	50	
3	21.280	50	
4	21.300	50	
5	21.300	50	
6	21.310	50	
8	21.330	50	
10	21.350	50	
12	21.360	50	
15	21.360	50	
20	21.380	50	
25	21.390	50	
30	21.400	50	

Note: Depth to water data taken from top of well casing 20 inches (1.67 feet) above ground surface.

Aquifer test data from 60 to 70 foot interval.
71 gallons per minute (100% pump capacity)

Minute	DTW (ft)	Q out (gpm)	Notes
1	22.080	71	
2	22.120	71	
3	22.130	71	
4	22.130	71	
5	22.140	71	
6	22.130	71	
8	22.140	71	
10	22.110	71	gusty strong winds
12	22.130	71	
15	22.140	71	
20	22.150	71	
25	22.160	71	
30	22.160	71	

Note: Depth to water data taken from top of well casing 20 inches (1.67 feet) above ground surface.

Aquifer test data from 60 to 70 foot interval.
Recovery test, pump stopped (standpipe not allowed to drain)

Minute	DTW (ft)	Q out (gpm)	Notes
1	20.710	0	
2	20.690	0	
3	20.680	0	
4	20.660	0	
5	20.640	0	
6	20.640	0	
8	20.620	0	
10	20.620	0	gusty strong winds
12	20.621	0	
15	20.615	0	
20	20.620	0	
25	20.615	0	
30	20.615	0	

Note: Depth to water data taken from top of well casing 20 inches (1.67 feet) above ground surface.

01055-07003-11025

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
RANNEY COLLECTOR FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

June 2008

Prepared for:
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District
828 Seventh Street
Eureka, CA 95501
(707) 443-5018

Prepared by:

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
633 Third Street
Eureka, CA 95501
(707) 443-8326

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0 Introduction 1
2.0 MONITORING WELL DRILLING AND DEVELOPMENT 2
3.0 Estamation of hydrogeologic modeling parameters and MONITORING WELL DRAWDOWN DATA 5
3.1 Analysis of Observed Pumping Data 5
3.2 Experimental Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity/Transmissivity 8
4.0 MODEL DEVELOPMENT 10
4.1 Numeric Model Domain and Discretization 10
4.2 Aquifer Parameters 12
4.3 Boundary Conditions 12
5.0 MODEL CALIBRATION 12
6.0 MODEL RESULTS 14
6.1 Evaluation of Individual Collector Capacities 17
6.2 Evaluation of Collective Capacity 18
7.0 Conclusions and Recommendations 19

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1. Pumping periods used for estimating hydraulic conductivity. 7
Table 2. Hydraulic conductivity estimates from Cooper-Jacobs straight-line method analysis. ... 9
Table 3. Summary table of aquifer parameters. 12
Table 4. Tabular Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown 15

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1. HBMWD Ranney Collector Location Map 3
Figure 2. HBMWD Site Map and Monitoring Well Locations. 4
Figure 3. Pumping schedules at HBMWD collectors over period of monitoring well data collection. 6
Figure 4. Drawdown data sets from Collector 3 monitoring wells used in estimating hydraulic conductivity. 7
Figure 5. Drawdown data sets from Collector 4 monitoring wells used in estimating hydraulic conductivity. 8
Figure 6. Illustration of difference in drawdown between point source wells and Ranney Collectors. 10
Figure 7. Model domain and horizontal discretization as depicted by the graphical user interface Groundwater Vistas. 11
Figure 8. Vertical layers of the groundwater model. 12
Figure 9. Model results and observed drawdown at MW-7 for Collector 3 Set 1 data. 13

Figure 10. Model results and observed drawdown at MW-5 for Collector 4 Set 1 data. 14
Figure 11. Model Results of Existing Groundwater Heads for Pumping at PS-4 15
Figure 12. Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown Curve for PS-3 16
Figure 13. Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown Curve for PS-4 17
Figure 14. Graphical illustration of well interference caused by overlapping cones of depression. 18
Figure 15. Model Results of Existing Groundwater Heads for Combined Pumping at PS-3 and PS-4..... 19

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A 2006 WINZLER & KELLY GROUNDWATER STUDY FOR HBMWD
Appendix B BORING LOGS FOR NEW MONITORING WELLS
Appendix C COOPER-JACOB RESULTS

HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
RANNEY COLLECTOR FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (District) began recent investigations and studies of the Ranney Collector Wells that provide the source groundwater to the District from the Mad River in an effort to understand the physical condition of the Ranney Collectors and to aid in future planning efforts as they relate to the District's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). This report serves as a focused engineering study to provide information for the maintenance and replacement of the District's infrastructure and facilities as described in the HBMWD Infrastructure and Capital Improvement Program. The work recently completed has included focused physical assessments of collectors, the development of a groundwater model and several reports to provide an understanding of the condition of the system and is summarized in the following completed documents:

1. 2002 – Video Inspection and Pump Test of Pump Station 2, Reynolds, Inc.
2. 2003 – Ranney Collector Rehabilitation Feasibility Report, Winzler & Kelly
3. 2005 – Pump Station 2 Cleaning and Rehabilitation, Maintenance Report, Collector Well Pumping Station No.2, Collector Wells International, Inc.
4. 2006 – Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Groundwater Study, Winzler & Kelly
5. 2006 - Pump Station 2 Evaluation Final Report, Winzler & Kelly
6. 2006 – Inspection Report Collector Wells 1, 1A, 3, 4, Collector Wells International, Inc.

As discussed in the previously completed reports listed above, the long term use, condition and maintenance of the existing Ranney Collectors is of significant importance to the District. The collectors represent the foundation of the District's domestic water supply and must be maintained and rehabilitated so that they remain in use another 40 or 50 years. To date, the District has experienced a reasonable and useful life from the collectors and with good planning and maintenance should be able to operate the collectors for an extended time in the future. Installation of new laterals will be required to provide added operational life to the system and may also allow for an increase in production if system demands increase in the future. Replacement of laterals is necessary to the District primarily for the continued use of the Ranney Collectors and for meeting current and future demands. Additional capacity from the installation of replacement and new laterals would be an additional benefit if realized.

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Groundwater Study completed by Winzler & Kelly in 2006 developed a groundwater model of the District's Ranney Collectors that focused on Collectors 1, 1A, and 2. A location map of the location of District's collectors is shown in Figure 1 for reference. The Groundwater Study completed in 2006 was developed under a Department of Water Resources Local Groundwater Assistance Grant and is included for reference in Appendix A. The purpose of this report is to: 1) update and refine the previously developed groundwater model with additional data near Collectors 3 and 4 not included in the original groundwater modeling efforts; 2) to complete a final evaluation to determine the potential yields from Collectors 3 and 4, and 3) to provide a recommendation to the District regarding at which

Collector the District should start the lateral replacement program under the broader Ranney Rehabilitation program being developed in the CIP.

As a part of this final evaluation, Winzler & Kelly oversaw the coordination of the drilling of several new monitoring wells, collected extensive drawdown data at the new monitoring wells and refined the existing groundwater model to incorporate the new boring log and drawdown data from the monitoring wells around Collectors 3 and 4.

This report summarizes the results of the data collection, analysis, modeling efforts and makes recommendations for future capacity and installation of laterals at Collectors 3 and 4. The report is organized systematically in Sections 2 through 6 to describe the development of the data collected through installation of monitoring wells, technical development and analysis of collected drawdown data, additional model development including calibration and model results. Additional technical data regarding the model can be found in Appendix A. Section 7 provides conclusions and recommendations based on the results of the work completed to date.

2.0 MONITORING WELL DRILLING AND DEVELOPMENT

One of the major limitations identified in the previous groundwater modeling effort was the lack of boring logs and monitoring wells in the vicinity of Collectors 3 and 4 to characterize the hydraulic properties of the aquifer in this region. As a result, the previous model extrapolated all soil properties from Collectors 1/1A and 2 and applied them in these regions with limited data. One of the major advances realized through this current effort was the drilling and development of several new monitoring wells around Collectors 3 and 4 to better characterize the aquifer properties in this region and improve the models' predictive capabilities.

In October 2007, one new monitoring well (MW-7) was drilled approximately 235 feet northwest of Collector 3, and two new monitoring wells (MW-5 & MW-6) were drilled at Collector 4. MW-5 is located approximately 170 feet east-southeast of Collector 4, while MW-6 is located approximately 195 feet southwest of Collector 4. All new monitoring wells were drilled by Cascade Drilling with a Winzler & Kelly geologist on site responsible for logging of the wells. The boring logs for the three new monitoring wells are located in Appendix B.

In addition to the three new monitoring wells drilled as part of this project, two other monitoring wells surrounding Collector 3 were installed since completion of the 2006 groundwater modeling report as part of another project. These wells are known as MW-1 and MW-4, and provided further soils information for refinement of the groundwater model. Boring logs for these wells are also included in Appendix B.

A site plan showing the location of the new monitoring wells is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1. HBMWD Ranney Collector Location Map



Figure 2. HBMWD Site Map and Monitoring Well Locations.

3.0 ESTIMATION OF HYDROGEOLOGIC MODELING PARAMETERS AND MONITORING WELL DRAWDOWN DATA

The computer modeling of the groundwater system performed in this study employs the use of several hydrogeologic parameters. These parameters represent physical properties of the groundwater system. Of these the parameters, model output is most sensitive to the hydraulic conductivity. This sensitivity was identified in previous studies and is also confirmed in a review of pertinent literature. This section of the report gives in in-depth summary of the estimation of the hydraulic conductivity values used in this model. The discussion and summary of the estimation of hydraulic parameters is important because it establishes the technical basis of review for other agencies such as the Department of Water Resources(DWR) and others.

Hydraulic conductivity is the soil property that describes the ease with which groundwater can move through pore spaces in the soil. In the case of the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Ranney Collectors, it describes the groundwater flow from the Holocene River Deposits to the Ranney Collector laterals. The hydraulic conductivity in this study was determined by several different methods: direct estimation, experimental estimation, and matching observed operational drawdown. Direct estimation relies on relating soil classifications from boring logs to conductivity values for similar types of soils. Experimental estimation employs methodologies developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). These methods involve pumping groundwater at a known rate and measuring the impacts on groundwater elevations. These methods are described in greater detail later in this section. Matching observed operational drawdown involves adjusting the hydraulic conductivities used in the model so that the modeled results accurately represent the observed drawdown. The adjustment of the hydraulic conductivity was restricted to a range of values that were determined in the previous two estimation methods.

Some of the USGS experimental methods used in this study estimate a parameter, *transmissivity*, which is closely related to the hydraulic conductivity. The transmissivity is a measure of how much water can be transmitted horizontally through an aquifer. The transmissivity is the hydraulic conductivity times the saturated thickness of the aquifer.

$$T = k_s b$$

where:

T = transmissivity

k_s = saturated hydraulic conductivity

b = thickness of the saturated aquifer

3.1 Analysis of Observed Pumping Data

Following the drilling and development of the new monitoring wells at Collectors 3 and 4, level transducers with data loggers were installed in each of the wells to collect continuous drawdown data during regular pumping and operation of the collectors. Continuous data was collected for seventeen days between November 20, 2007 and December 6, 2007 at each of the five new monitoring wells. Several periods of pumping and associated drawdown data were analyzed to estimate hydraulic conductivity using several established USGS hydrogeologic methods.

A figure showing drawdown at each of the collectors during the period of record for which transducer data was being collected at the monitoring wells is shown below in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Pumping schedules at HBMWD collectors over period of monitoring well data collection.

As shown in the above figure, the District pumped from multiple collectors nearly over the entire period of record. In determining which pumping schedules and associated monitoring well drawdown data would be used for input in estimating hydraulic conductivities, efforts were made to identify periods in which either Collector 3 or Collector 4 were operating independently, so as to isolate the drawdown effects in the monitoring wells to a single collector and minimize interference between the wells. There were a total of three occurrences when Collector 3 was operating independently, and two occurrences when Collector 4 was operating independently. These were the data sets used in estimating the conductivity of the Mad River's underlying gravels in the vicinity of Collector 3 and 4. Table 1 summarizes these data sets, and Figures 4 and 5 show the monitoring well drawdown data from these data sets.

Table 1. Pumping periods used for estimating hydraulic conductivity.

Collector	Data Sets	Date	Pump Start Time	Pump Stop Time	Pumping Rate (MGD)
3	Set 1	12/5/2007	10:17AM	4:05PM	5.68
	Set 2	11/25/2007	4:57AM	8:27AM	9.23
	Set 3	12/4/2007	8:21PM	11:58PM	4.08
4	Set 1	11/30/2007	1:47PM	7:55PM	6.26
	Set 2	11/29/2007	3:26PM	5:55PM	4.04



Figure 4. Drawdown data sets from Collector 3 monitoring wells used in estimating hydraulic conductivity.



Figure 5. Drawdown data sets from Collector 4 monitoring wells used in estimating hydraulic conductivity.

3.2 Experimental Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity/Transmissivity

The USGS has developed several spreadsheets for the analysis of aquifer pumping and drawdown data. One common method is the Cooper-Jacob straight-line method for analyzing data from a single pumping well. In this method, drawdown from a nearby observation well is plotted with an arithmetic scale on the y-axis versus time plotted on a logarithmic scale on the x-axis. The transmissivity of the aquifer can then be derived from the slope of a straight-line drawn through this plot using Equation 1:

$$T = \frac{2.3Q}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\Delta s}$$

where Δs is the change in drawdown per log-cycle of time.

The hydraulic conductivity can then be determined from the transmissivity by multiplying times the saturated depth of the aquifer. The hydraulic conductivity estimates resulting from the Cooper-Jacob analysis on the data sets described above are shown below in Table 2.

Table 2. Hydraulic conductivity estimates from Cooper-Jacobs straight-line method analysis.

Data Set	Monitoring Well ID	Estimated K	Figure Location
Collector 3 Set 1	MW1	1500	Appendix C Page 1
	MW4	2300	Appendix C Page 2
	MW7	1700	Appendix C Page 3
Collector 3 Set 2	MW1	3300	Appendix C Page 4
	MW4	1500	Appendix C Page 5
	MW7	4300	Appendix C Page 6
Collector 3 Set 3	MW1	1600	Appendix C Page 7
	MW4	1400	Appendix C Page 8
	MW7	980	Appendix C Page 9
Collector 4 Set 1	MW5	1900	Appendix C Page 10
	MW6	2600	Appendix C Page 11
Collector 4 Set 2	MW5	1400	Appendix C Page 12
	MW6	1700	Appendix C Page 13

The results of the Cooper-Jacob analysis indicates that the hydraulic conductivity estimates are extremely sensitive to the pumping rate and resulting drawdown curve data, which should not be the case for this method. In actuality, different pumping rates should result in a different drawdown curve, such that the straight line slope and pumping rate input into Equation 1 on the preceding page result in the same transmissivity value.

The reason the Cooper-Jacob analysis failed to provide consistent results is because the Ranney Collectors do not satisfy the underlying assumptions behind the analytical solution for point source wells. Since water is pulled from such a large area through the laterals, high pumping flow rates in the Collector result in less drawdown than would result in the case of a point source well. This is illustrated graphically below in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Illustration of difference in drawdown between point source wells and Ranney Collectors.

Several other USGS methods were tested resulting in the same conclusion – equations developed for point source wells cannot be used to estimate hydraulic conductivity from drawdown data resulting from pumping of a Ranney Collector. However, the drawdown data collected from the new monitoring wells did serve an important role in setting a range of values that were used to bracket hydraulic conductivity values that were determined during the calibrations process. The refining and calibrating the groundwater model is described in Section 5.

4.0 MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The existing HBMWD groundwater model developed by Winzler & Kelly in 2004 has been updated and refined based on newly acquired monitoring well boring logs and drawdown data in the vicinity of Collectors 3 and 4. MODFLOW-SURFACT was once again selected as the model of choice for the District due to its robustness in simulating interactions between groundwater and surface water and its variably saturated flow capabilities. Groundwater Vistas, a graphical user interface, was used for all pre- and post-processing.

The following sections describe the numeric model domain, model layer discretization, aquifer parameters, and boundary conditions used in the model.

4.1 Numeric Model Domain and Discretization

The 2004 groundwater model originally developed for the District lacked predictive capabilities around Collectors 3 and 4 as the model was calibrated using drawdown data from monitoring wells focused around Collectors 1, 1A, and 2. With the addition of monitoring wells around Collector 3 and 4 and additional data with which to refine the model, the model domain for this study was expanded due to the proximity of the boundary conditions to Collector 4. The

expanded model domain increased the distance between the upstream boundary condition and Collector 4 resulting in a more stable model with less interference. Figure 2 shows the extents of both the previous and the refined model domains.

The new model domain is comprised of a grid of rectangular computational cells as in the previous model, but the discretization of the model has also been refined. The previous model domain covered an area of 5,800 feet by 2,600 feet with 100 foot grid spacing that decreased to a finer resolution of between 25 and 50 feet approaching the Collectors. The updated model domain covers an area nearly four times as large measuring 10,500 feet by 5,750 feet with a grid spacing of 50 feet that decreases to within 5 feet and 25 feet approaching the Collectors. Overall, the updated model domain is comprised of 184 rows by 284 columns.

Figure 7 below shows the entire updated model domain and its horizontal discretization.



Figure 7. Model domain and horizontal discretization as depicted by the graphical user interface Groundwater Vistas.

The vertical discretization, or layering, of the model has not changed since the previous model. Horizontal cells are bounded by the top and bottom of individual layers to create volumetric cells, each having the capability of being assigned specific hydraulic parameters. The vertical extent of the model is comprised of the Holocene River Channel deposits, ranging from the confining bedrock below to the surface. This hydrologic unit is divided into eight separate layers in the model to simulate the various zones of the river and the two tiers of laterals present within Collectors 3 and 4. Figure 8 below is a graphical representation of the model's vertical layers.



Figure 8. Vertical layers of the groundwater model.

4.2 Aquifer Parameters

Aquifer parameters, including specific storage, specific yield, porosity, and transmissivity, are required input for the model. The previous model utilized three zones each with unique values for each of these parameters – one zone for the Holocene River Channel deposits, a second zone for the laterals and siphon, and a third zone for the Franciscan bedrock.

During calibration of the previous model, it was found that the model results were not sensitive to variations in specific yield, specific storage, and porosity. Given this, the refined and updated model utilized a single zone for specific storage, specific yield, and porosity with values of 0.01 (1/ft), 0.01 (ft^3/ft^3), and .28 (ft^3/ft^3), respectively. Due to the model's sensitivity to values of transmissivities, three zones were maintained in which the transmissivities were varied. The following table summarizes the aquifer parameters used in the model.

Table 3. Summary table of aquifer parameters.

Hydrologic Zone	Model Transmissivity (ft^2/day)			Specific Storage (1/ft)	Specific Yield (ft^3/ft^3)	Porosity (ft^3/ft^3)
	T_x	T_y	T_z			
Holocene River Channel Deposits @ Collector 3	850	850	0.6	0.1	0.1	.28
Holocene River Channel Deposits @ Collector 4	1600	1600	1.4			
Collector Laterals	500,000	500,000	500,000			
Franciscan Bedrock	5E-6	5E-6	5E-6			

4.3 Boundary Conditions

Boundary conditions between the previous and current models did not change significantly. The primary boundary conditions used in both models were river boundaries, constant head boundaries, extraction wells, and no flow boundaries. For further discussion on boundary conditions, refer to the 2004 report included in Appendix A.

5.0 MODEL CALIBRATION

Numerical and parameter calibrations were performed in a similar manner with the refined model as they were with the original model. The numerical calibration refers to the ability of the model to accurately converge on a solution system and is assessed by checking the conservation of mass within the model. The numeric calibration standard used in the model with regard to mass balance was 0.001 ft^3. Therefore, the model continues running until this standard is achieved.

Parameter calibration refers to adjusting aquifer parameters in the model to achieve accurate results. Localized adjustments were made to the transmissivity zones within the model until model results matched the observed drawdown data within the monitoring wells and collectors. Parameter calibration results are shown below in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Model results and observed drawdown at MW-7 for Collector 3 Set 1 data.



Figure 10. Model results and observed drawdown at MW-5 for Collector 4 Set 1 data.

The results of the calibration, as shown in the above figures, indicate that the model closely predicts the observed drawdown during each of the pumping scenario data sets presented earlier in Table 1.

6.0 MODEL RESULTS

The HBMWD groundwater model has been updated and refined in the vicinity of Collectors 3 and 4 to enhance its predictive capabilities in this region. The main purpose behind this update was to develop a model with the resolution needed to be used as a predictive tool to:

- estimate the increase in individual and collective capacity possible from the Collectors;
- simulate interactions between Collectors 3 and 4; and
- make recommendations with respect to when and where to project new laterals.

An example model simulation result for the first pumping scenario listed in Table 1 is shown in Figure 10. The color flood map represents the pressure head within each node of the model.



Figure 11. Model Results of Existing Groundwater Heads for Pumping at PS-4

Several simulations using the model were preformed to predict the total yield for the groundwater aquifer and to evaluate the groundwater interactions between the pumping stations.

To evaluate the impacts on drawdown due to various pumping rates the model was used to simulate the drawdown in the aquifer. The model simulated the drawdown for a given pumping rate and the drawdown at the pump station was recorded. The simulated pumping rate was then increased and a new drawdown level was determined. These results are used to create pumping rate drawdown curve for a given configuration. Pumping drawdown curves were created or PS-3 and PS-4 and are shown in Figures 12 and 13, respectively. This data is also shown in tabular form in Table 4. The table lists PS-3 initial conditions (PS-3 IC), PS-3 with additional laterals (PS-3 OPT1), PS-4 initial conditions (PS-4 IC), PS-4 with additional laterals (PS-4 OPT1), combined pumping effects at PS-3 (PS-3 Combined), and combined pumping effects at PS-4 (PS-4 Combined). Three configurations were simulated: existing lateral configuration with the pump stations pumping separately, 200 feet of additional laterals for PS-3 and PS-4 with the pump stations pumping separately, and 200 feet of additional laterals for PS-3 and PS-4 with the pump stations pumping concurrently.

Table 4. Tabular Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown

Pumping Rate MGD	Drawdown (ft)					
	PS-3 IC	PS-3 OPT 1	PS-3 Combined	PS-4 IC	PS-4 OPT 1	PS-4 Combined
2	5.29	4.76	5.02	3.73	3.38	3.52
4	11.86	10.43	11.27	7.73	6.72	7.23
6	16.30	13.92	14.99	11.38	9.34	10.07
8	24.36	20.28	22.27	16.21	12.62	14.18
10	30.00	24.86	26.91	18.06	14.14	16.31



Figure 12. Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown Curve for PS-3



Figure 13. Model Results, Pumping Rate Drawdown Curve for PS-4

6.1 Evaluation of Individual Collector Capacities

One of the main goals of this final groundwater evaluation was to estimate the maximum individual capacities of Collectors 3 and 4. Pumping rates at each collector were systematically increased to estimate the maximum individual capacity for each. Extraction capacity at each collector is limited by two main constraints – the physical constraint of the minimum submergence requirement of the pump's bowl assemblies and a water quality constraint of increased turbidity at increased drawdown levels. Turbidity is introduced to the groundwater system by creating localized regions of higher vertical infiltration rates. For continued production of high quality groundwater it is advantageous to maintain horizontal flow into the laterals/collectors. As pumping rates increase, drawdown near the collectors causes increased vertical flow potential. Therefore, vertical flow velocities become the main limiting factor for the groundwater production.

The modeling results indicate that in order to maintain high quality groundwater under the current Pump Station's lateral configuration the maximum aquifer production rates for PS-3 are approximately 10 MGD and 8 MGD for PS-4. The modeling results indicate that there is approximately a 1 MGD increase in production per 100 feet of additional lateral.

Modeling results also indicate that portions of the laterals closer to the Pump Station caisson wall have a cumulative effect of increasing drawdown near the Pump Station. This situation could be mitigated by installing fewer but longer laterals, if possible, to achieve the total lateral replacement length. Additionally, laterals could be installed with the screened interval starting 15

feet away from the caisson wall and regular pipe for the first 15 feet in order to reduce velocities near the caisson.

6.2 Evaluation of Collective Capacity

In addition to the individual collector capacity evaluations, a separate analysis was conducted with the aim of estimating the collective capacity of the collectors. In this investigation, it was critical to consider interference between the Collectors, which is caused when the zones of capture or cones of depression of the wells overlap. The velocity vectors shown in the previous figure are also useful in visualizing the zone of capture for the Collectors and for assessing any potential interference between pumping of the Collectors. Figure 14 below demonstrates the concept of interference between wells.



Figure 14. Graphical illustration of well interference caused by overlapping cones of depression.

Well interference is very important from an operational perspective since overlapping zones of capture lead to diminishing returns with respect to extraction rates. Once zones begin to overlap, less water is available collectively per unit of energy invested due to interference between the wells. Total extraction from wells experiencing interference is less than the sum of the wells' extraction potential when operating independently.

From an energy perspective, it is preferable to operate wells individually or at extraction rates that do not lead to well interference. However, during periods of high demand, it might be necessary to run the wells at high extraction rates with some level of interference. Therefore, the model was run to estimate maximum pumping rates capable from Collectors 3 and 4 without exceeding the minimum submergence criterion. The amount of impact to the drawdown varies

by flow rate and is represented by the cyan line in Figures 12 and 13. The radius of influence and drawdown from the combined pumping from PS-3 and PS-4 can be seen in Figure 15.



Figure 15. Model Results of Existing Groundwater Heads for Combined Pumping at PS-3 and PS-4

7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The long term use, condition and maintenance of the existing Ranney Collectors are of significant importance to the District. Previous work completed has led the District to the conclusion that due to the potential cost to install a new collector, rehabilitation of the existing collectors makes the most sense economically for providing a continued water supply to the District's customers. The collectors are the heart of the District's potable water system and must be maintained and rehabilitated as they age so that they remain operational for another 40 or 50 years. The District has experienced a reasonable and useful life from the collectors and with good planning and maintenance should be able to operate the collectors for an extended time in the future. Installation of new laterals will be required to provide added operational life to the system and may provide additional capacity that would be beneficial. The recommendations contained within this report are intended to provide the District with guidance on when and where to project new laterals within the system specifically with regards to Collectors 3 and 4.

Previous recommendations included in the Pump Station 2 Evaluation Final Report, June 2006 completed by Winzler & Kelly include the recommendation of the identification of one of the collectors for further investigation to get additional aquifer data in order to develop the recommendation on where to project new laterals. An additional boring installed near Pump Station 3 with funds from the Local Groundwater Assistance Grant indicated a favorable zone to place new laterals near the collector. Discussions have been held with District staff and the District Board regarding which collector should be identified as to where to begin the installation of new and replacement laterals. The District has both land based collectors and collectors that are constructed on the river bar. Pump Station 1A and 3 are land based and Pump Stations 1, 2,

and 4 are located on the river bar. The collectors on the river bar are generally only accessible during the summer as allowed by river stage and potentially environmental permits depending on the nature of the work. The focus of this report was Pump Stations 3 and 4 with the intent to identify and make a recommendation where the District should begin collector rehabilitation. Pump Station 3 was identified as a suitable collector to investigate for lateral replacement due to the fact that:

1. The boring installed adjacent to Pump Station 3 indicated a favorable zone to install laterals approximately 10 feet above the existing laterals.
2. Technical data developed indicate the potential for additional flow from Pump Station 3 to meet additional demand.
3. The construction and installation of new laterals will require taking a collector out of service until completion of the construction. Pump Station 3 is land based and accessible all year long so construction can occur during winter months that do not coincide with summer peak demands. The District relies operationally on all four collectors to provide peak flows during the summer peak demand period.

Based on the technical results of this report Pump Station 4 is also suitable for initial lateral installation, however because Pump Station 4 is located on the river bar work would be limited to summer time operations that could potentially coincide with peak summer time demands when all four collectors are required to meet the peak demands. We have recently been in contact with the Sonoma County Water Agency and discussed their construction of a new Ranney Collector complete with new laterals. The project experienced difficulties during the lateral installation that lasted more than a year. If there were construction problems during the installation of new laterals at Pump Station 4 the District could potentially not be able to provide summer time peak flows to its Municipal customers.

As discussed in previously completed reports the laterals have served a useful life but will not last forever. Rehabilitation of the collectors will include replacement of existing laterals to continue to provide for existing demands. It is assumed that to fully rehabilitate the collectors the District will install laterals with similar length as the existing laterals to continue to provide the same capacity for the next 50 years. In order to meet additional demands additional laterals or longer laterals may have to be installed as has been investigated in this study. Installation of the first phase of laterals will provide further information to the District that will help in future planning efforts such as actual yields realized based on the length of laterals installed. In addition advancements in screen type may prove to provide for more flow per lateral length.

Based on the information and conclusions developed as a part of this report we have the following recommendations:

1. Begin planning efforts for lateral installation. From the results of the modeling efforts we have determined that Pump Station 3 has a potential yield of 10 MGD at a drawdown of 30 feet based on installation of 200 feet of additional lateral length as described in Section 6.1. Based on the results of the modeling efforts, boring data collected and physical location we recommend that planning for the installation of new laterals be completed during the 08/09 fiscal year for Pump Station 3. Planning efforts will include

development of costs for installation of laterals, completion of CEQA and permitting requirements and development of specifications for installation. In addition, as a part of the cost analysis it would be prudent to analyze costs associated with full lateral replacement versus installation of only additional laterals with replacement laterals installed at a later date. Regardless of the ultimate capacity realized, the District will be installing laterals that have to be installed as replacement infrastructure so there will not be any wasted dollars spent. Subsequent to installation of new laterals in Pump Station 3 new data will be able to be collected and developed that will provide further information on the capability of the system and sustained yield that will help direct the next phase of lateral replacement.

2. Continue to develop additional information for lateral replacement. The installation of new laterals is a complex issue that will include additional analysis of the Districts infrastructure such as pump capacity, electrical capacity at individual collectors, pipeline condition and capacity and overall collector condition. Based on the results from the modeling efforts we have determined that Pump Station 4 has a potential yield of 8 MGD at a drawdown of 16.25 feet. As reported the results indicate that there is potential for additional yield at Pump Station 4. However due to an increase in velocities we have concern that there is potential for increased turbidity at higher flow rates at all collectors. The Maintenance Report Collector Well Pump Station No. 2 Report, Collector Wells International, Inc., October 2005 summarizes as it relates to Pump Station 2 that it is recommended to install additional and replacement laterals in order to pump at higher rates and to maximize well efficiency. Minimizing the screen entrance and approach velocities would help ensure that water velocity in the aquifer is sufficiently low to prevent movement of particulate matter and reduce the potential for increased turbidity. This concept is applicable to all work related to the collectors and should be considered when installing new laterals. We recommend investigating the possibility of variable speed pumping after installation of new laterals to increase the potential for increased sustained yield while minimizing the chance of increased turbidity due to an increase in yield. By installing variable speed drives the pump station output can be managed to maintain the highest level of sustained pumping while minimizing drawdown and turbidity. These issues should be studied.
3. Continue to investigate the system capacity at a broad level. We recommend to investigate the potential for increased yield in the system due to installation of new laterals with the developed groundwater model and to analyze the feasibility of generating additional capacity by a pump test of Pump Stations 1 and 1A. Pump Station 1A is also a land based collector and could be retrofitted to include additional laterals during winter months. In addition, Pump Station 1 has several closed laterals that could potentially provide additional summer time capacity. However, this option should be studied closely to determine effects of opening the laterals on the system groundwater classification before proceeding. As the District proceeds with lateral replacement new information will be developed that will help planning efforts and data will be developed indicating the true capacity of the collectors. Meeting future demands is a complex issue that could include investigation of alternate methods to meet increased demands such as storage and surface water treatment in addition to lateral replacement and installation of additional laterals.

Appendix A

2006 Winzler & Kelly Groundwater Model

04-1055-03.010

HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
GROUNDWATER STUDY

May 2006

Prepared for:
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District
828 Seventh Street
Eureka, CA 95501
(707) 443-5018

Prepared by:

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
633 Third Street
Eureka, CA 95501
(707) 443-8326

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0 Introduction 1
1.1 Modeling Report Organization 1
2.0 Conceptual Model 3
2.1 Soil Boring, Well, and Geophysical Investigation Information 3
2.2 Conceptual Model Domain 6
2.3 Geologic Setting 6
2.3.1 Groundwater Recharge Due to Precipitation 7
3.0 Model Construction 8
3.1 Numerical Model Domain and Discretization 8
3.1.1 Horizontal Model Discretization 8
3.1.2 Vertical Discretization 9
3.1.2.1 Vertical Discretization for Simulating the Ranney Wells 9
3.2 Boundary Conditions 10
3.3 Aquifer Parameters 10
4.0 Model Calibration 12
4.1 Numeric Calibration 12
4.2 Model Input Parameter Calibration 12
5.0 Using the Groundwater Model as a Management Tool 14
5.1 Impacts on Water Table Drawdown 14
5.1.1 Vertical Velocity Profile 15
5.2 Maximum Pumping Rates 16
5.3 Downstream Impact on the Mad River 16
5.4 Groundwater Velocity and Turbidity 17
6.0 Summary 17

TABLES

Page

Table 1. Source of Information for the Conceptual Model, HBMWD Groundwater Study 3
Table 2. Soil Boring and Well Log Locations, HBMWD Groundwater Study 4
Table 3. Ranney Well Lateral Elevations 9
Table 4. Extraction Well Boundary Conditions and Pumping Rates 10
Table 5. Aquifer Property Model Input Parameters, HBMWD Groundwater Study 11

FIGURES

Follows
Page

Figure 1. Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Location Map, HBMWD Groundwater Study 2
Figure 2. Site Map and Data Locations, HBMWD Groundwater Study 5
Figure 3. Site Conceptual Model Confining Layer, Overburden, and Cross Sections, HBMWD Groundwater Study 7
Figure 4. Model Calibration Drawdown Between PS-1/1A and PS-2, HBMWD Groundwater Study 13
Figure 5. Sample Model Results of Groundwater Heads, HBMWD Groundwater Study 15
Figure 6. Sample Model Results of Groundwater Heads with Groundwater Velocity Vectors, HBMWD Groundwater Study 15
Figure 7. Cross Section of Groundwater Heads with Velocity Vectors of PS-3 Pumping at 4 MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study 16

APPENDICES

Appendix A FIGURES OF MODEL RESULTS
Appendix B SEISMIC REFRACTION STUDY REPORT AND MONITORING WELL BORING LOGS

HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICTGROUNDWATER STUDY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (HBMWD) produces water from four Ranney Wells located near the District's Essex Control Center on the Mad River in Arcata. The location of HBMWD Essex Control Center facility and the Ranney Wells are shown in Figure 1. The active Ranney Wells are labeled Pump Stations 1 through 4. Up to 21 million gallons per day (MGD) is pumped from the Holocene River Deposits that underlie the Mad River channel by the four Ranney Wells. This modeling effort focuses on the hydrologic system that supplies water to the four Ranney Wells. The extent of the study area is focused on the region that is influenced by Ranney Well production and is shown in Figure 1.

1.1 Modeling Report Organization

This report summarizes the modeling study of the groundwater system supplying the HBMWD Ranney Collectors at the Essex Control Center. The report is presented in six sections and appendices. A brief introduction of the model and HBMWD is given in Section 1. Section 2 describes the site conceptual model and identifies the sources of data used in developing the groundwater model. The site geology and lithology is also described in this section. The model construction is outlined in Section 3. The model construction includes a summary of the spatial discretization, boundary conditions, and input parameters. A discussion of the models numeric and parameter calibration is summarized in Section 4. The application of the groundwater model to various scenarios is discussed in Section 5. A brief summary is found in Section 6. Plots of model results for seven different pumping scenarios and each layer of the model are found in Appendix A. Relevant studies, such as the Geophysical Seismic Refraction Study Report and new monitoring well boring Logs are found in Appendix B.



Figure 1. Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District Location Map, HBMWD Groundwater Study

2.0 CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The initial step in development of the groundwater model was to collect and assemble the three-dimensional spatially (geographic) dependant site and hydro geologic information in the region that was simulated in the groundwater model. The collection of the information is called the site hydro geologic conceptual model. The development of the conceptual model included: evaluation of previous studies and data collection, geology and structure, groundwater flow, and groundwater quality. The information used in developing the site conceptual model came from several sources, listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Source of Information for the Conceptual Model, HBMWD Groundwater Study

Previous studies
– **Engineering Report on Mad River Development, Feasibility of Supplying Filter Water to Municipal and Industrial Consumers**, Bechtel Corp, October 1960
- Boring Logs, soil lithography, depth to bedrock, permeability tests, transmissivity tests, pumping tests
– **California's Groundwater Bulletin 118 (2003)**, California Department of Water Resources
– **Geology and Ground-Water Features of the Eureka Area Humboldt County, California**, Geology Survey Water-Supply Paper 1470, California Department of Water Resources
– **Report on Hydrogeological Survey for Bechtel Corporation Consulting Engineers Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District**, September 1960
- Boring Logs, soil lithography, depth to bedrock, permeability tests, transmissivity tests, pumping tests
– **Construction of Pumping Stations, Buildings and Related Facilities for Mad River Project**, Bechtel Corp, December 1961
- Well schematics
– **Previous groundwater studies in the region**
- An Explicit Finite Difference Model for Unconfined Aquifers, Wen-sen Chu and Robert Willis, Groundwater Vol. 22, No 6, 1984
Geophysical Investigations
– Four new monitoring well
– Boring logs, sieve analysis, and pumping tests
– Seismic refraction study
– Depth to bedrock, lithologic stratification, and depth to water
Operational data
– Pumping rate by Ranney Well
– Drawdown and recovery time
– Turbidity at various pumping rates

2.1 Soil Boring, Well, and Geophysical Investigation Information

Lithologic data from wells and soil borings was used to develop the conceptual hydrologic model and are used to show surface elevation, depth to bedrock, soil type, and geologic structure. There are thirteen soil borings, four monitoring wells, and five Ranney Wells with boring logs, which

provide the most comprehensive descriptions of the litho logic structure in the study area, which are used to define the inputs to the groundwater model. The location of each source of information is shown on the site map in Figure 2.

Table 2. Soil Boring and Well Log Locations, HBMWD Groundwater Study

Location	Type	Surface Elevation (ft)	Bedrock Elevation (ft)
CP-1	Soil Boring	25	-5
CP-2	Soil Boring	25	-42
CP-W	Soil Boring	25	-42
AP-1	Soil Boring	31	-68
AP-2	Soil Boring	32	-65
AP-W	Soil Boring	30	-70
AP-3	Soil Boring	33	-68
AR-1	Soil Boring	30	-65
AR-2	Soil Boring	27	-40
AR-3	Soil Boring	25	
BP-1	Soil Boring	29	
BP-2	Soil Boring		
BP-W	Soil Boring	29	-61
DP-1	Soil Boring	37	-32
MW-1	Monitoring Well	36	-62
MW-2	Monitoring Well	37	-56
MW-3	Monitoring Well	38	-62
MW-4	Monitoring Well	38	-42
SRP-1	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-2	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-3	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-4	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-5	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-6	Seismic Refraction Transect		
SRP-7	Seismic Refraction Transect		
Ranney 1	Production Well	23	-42
Ranney 1A	Production Well	40.5	-33
Ranney 2	Production Well	25	-64
Ranney 3	Production Well	30	-33
Ranney 4	Production Well	35	-40



Figure 2. Site Map and Data Locations, HBMWD Groundwater Study

2.2 Conceptual Model Domain

The area of model focused on the regions that influence the production of potable water by the HBMWD at the Essex facility. The study area primarily includes the Holocene River Deposit along the Mad River valley parallel to Highway 299 between the 299 bridge near North Bank Road and Essex Lane. The area is approximately one-half square mile and encompasses the area around the four Ranney Wells. The model domain is 5500 feet long in the east-west direction and 2500 feet wide in the north-south direction. The depth ranges from 300 feet msl to -70 feet msl, however, the primary depths of the groundwater simulations are from the river level of ~35 ft msl to the bedrock at -70 ft msl. The top layer of the system is the ground surface and the bottom is bounded by the impermeable bedrock

2.3 Geologic Setting

The study area along the Mad River is characterized by river cut terraces, channels, and sand/gravel bars. The subsurface consists of fluvial deposits of Holocene River Channel Deposits, which overlay Franciscan bedrock. The Holocene River Channel Deposits consist of clay, silt, sand, gravel and boulders deposited in stream beds terraces, flood plains, and ponds. These sediments consist primarily of gravel with some inter-bedded clay. The clay layers are lenticular in nature and do not represent a retarding layer. At the time of construction of the Ranney Wells, pumping tests were performed at five locations along the study area. These tests showed that the Holocene River Channel Deposits in the study area had transmissibility of 98,000 gallons per day per foot and permeability of 1090 gallons per day per square foot.

The bedrock in the study area is the confining layer. The elevation of bedrock ranges from above the surface at approximately 25 ft mean sea level (msl) along the north bank of the river to 70 ft. below msl. The Franciscan bedrock consists of consolidated sediments of shale, sand stone, greywacke, green stone, and basalt. The river valley above (up river) and below (down river) broadens extensively and due to lower velocities at the time of deposition, the presence of finer material deposits can be expected. However, in the region of the study area the valley is constricted with bedrock rising on both the north and south sides of the river. This constriction would have caused higher velocities at the time of deposition and subsequently more gravels with fewer fines.



Figure 3. Site Conceptual Model Confining Layer, Overburden, and Cross Sections, HBMWD Groundwater Study

2.3.1 Groundwater Recharge Due to Precipitation

Despite the fact that the region has an average rainfall of over 40 inches per year, precipitation is not a large factor in the groundwater model because the recharge to the system is predominantly from the river and laterally from the Holocene River Deposits. The groundwater flows and recharge from the adjacent soils is of a lower magnitude largely because the recharge rates of the

Holocene River Deposits is so much greater than the adjacent soils which are high in silts and clay. In addition, sensitivity analysis showed that when precipitation was applied to the upper (higher elevations) of the model problems were caused with the numerical solution that could not be resolved without a great deal more head data.

3.0 MODEL CONSTRUCTION

A numerical groundwater model approximates groundwater flow conditions for a groundwater system based on conceptual model aquifer parameters, groundwater flow conditions, and stresses (extraction pumping) on the groundwater system. To ensure meaningful results and predictive capability, a MODFLOW-based hydrologic modeling system, MODFLOW-SURFACT, was used. MODFLOW-SURFACT combines fully integrated hydrologic water quality subsurface flow and transport capabilities with GIS capabilities under a graphical user interface, Groundwater Vistas. MODFLOW-SURFACT was specifically designed to accurately simulate the interactions between surface and groundwater systems and achieve mass conservative results where simpler computer codes fail to produce mass conservative results.

MODFLOW uses the block-centered finite-difference approach to simulate groundwater flow. Fully 3-D simulations of confined and unconfined layers may be performed; however, this analysis assumes an unconfined system. MODFLOW-SURFACT provides a rigorous well withdrawal package, unconfined recharge boundary conditions, and seepage face boundary conditions. MODFLOW-SURFACT contains additional capabilities which include rigorous saturated-unsaturated moisture movement simulation capability, air flow simulation capability, and a Newton-Raphson linearization package for improved robustness. These capabilities improve the model accuracy for simulating the groundwater system near the Ranney Wells because of the rapid hydraulic response in gravels and pumping rates from the Ranney Wells.

This section describes the numeric model domain, model layer discretization, aquifer parameters, boundary conditions, and hydrologic stresses.

3.1 Numerical Model Domain and Discretization

The numerical model domain is the same as the conceptual model domain and encompasses the regions around the Ranney Wells that influence or are influenced by the pumping of the Ranney Well.

3.1.1 Horizontal Model Discretization

The horizontal model domain is comprised of a grid of rectangular computational cells. The rectangular cells that are aligned along the principal groundwater flow direction, which is parallel to the Mad River channel. The long direction of the domain is 5800 feet with grid spacing of 100 feet. The grid spacing decreases to 50 and then 25 feet as it get near the Ranney Wells. The finer grid is necessary for the desired resolution around the Ranney Wells. The perpendicular direction of the domain is 2600 feet with 100 foot grid intervals and finer resolution near the Ranney Wells. Cells that are within the model domain but outside or above the river channel are assigned inactive status (no flow) during the model creation. Overall, the model grid is comprised of 52 rows and 87 columns.

3.1.2 Vertical Discretization

The vertical discretization of the numerical model is expressed in layers. The horizontal cells are bounded by the top and bottom of individual layers to create volumetric cells. Each individual volumetric cell may be assigned specific hydraulic parameters. The water bearing unit is comprised of the Holocene River Channel deposits and ranges from the confining bedrock to the surface, as discussed in the of the site conceptual model section. This one hydrologic unit it divided into eight separate layers in the model to accommodate the various elevations of the Ranney Wells and is discussed below. The top elevation of the first layer is defined by the ground surface elevation. The bottom of the last layer is defined bedrock elevation.

3.1.2.1 Vertical Discretization for Simulating the Ranney Wells

The method that extraction wells are simulated in MODFLOW and the configuration of the Ranney Wells requires additional layers in the numerical model to adequately simulate groundwater withdrawals. MODFLOW simulates an extraction well by removing water from an individual volumetric cell. The configuration of Ranney Wells draws groundwater into a caisson from several horizontal lateral well screens that project radially out into the aquifer. The laterals are one foot in diameter and vary in length from less than 50 to 100 feet. To simulate the laterals in the model a one foot thick layer is added at the elevation of each of the Ranney Well laterals. The cells within the radius of the laterals and at the lateral depth are assigned a very high hydraulic conductivity (4.0E5 ft/day). This approach allows flow to be simulated from a larger number of cells and more closely approximates the true system. The Ranney Well lateral elevations are shown in Table 2.

Table 3. Ranney Well Lateral Elevations

Ranney Well	Laterals	Surface Elevation (ft msl)	Lateral Elevation (ft msl)
1	6	23.0	-38.7
1A	6	40.5	-30
2	6	25.0	-62
3	6	30.0	-30.9
4	6	35	-37

The configuration of Ranney Wells 1 and 1A pose a unique computational problem which is solved in a similar manner as the lateral well projections. When Ranney Well 1 was initially installed it did not produce to design specifications. This is largely due to poor projection of the laterals and their proximity to the upward sloping bedrock. To resolve the low production rate an additional caisson and laterals, Ranney Well 1A, was installed near Ranney Well 1 (see Figure 2). The caisson of Ranney Well 1A was plumbed to Ranney Well 1 using a siphon, there is no pumping from wells 1A to 1 with the flow moving by gravity through the siphon. Groundwater from Ranney Wells 1 and 1A are pumped from the caisson of Well 1. Unfortunately, the flow measurements from Wells 1 and 1A are combined and the amount of flow from the individual wells is not known. To simulate the siphon connection between the wells a set of interconnecting cells joining the two wells is assigned a very high conductivity. Both sets of well laterals are simulated with thin zones of higher conductivity, as described above.

The Ranney Collectors are constructed with two tiers of laterals located at different elevations. Some of the laterals are equipped with valves that are close or of unknown operational status.

While it is possible to incorporate multiple tiers of laterals in the same manner as described above, the system was simplified and two discrete tiers were not incorporated in this modeling effort.

3.2 Boundary Conditions

Boundary conditions are used to describe the groundwater flow into or out of the model domain and are necessary to define how the model interacts with the entire flow system. Boundary conditions are source or sink terms that are assigned to computational cells. In addition, at boundary conditions cells there are geologic structures, primarily the underlain basin bedrock, which prevent or greatly limit groundwater flow. These boundaries are addressed by assigning the zone very low hydraulic conductivities and specific storage values; see Table 5 for aquifer parameters.

The primary boundary conditions for the groundwater model exist along the river, the layers within the Holocene River Channel Deposits at the edges of the model domain, and at the extraction wells.

The river boundary condition allows for transient flows to enter or leave the groundwater model based upon the conditions calculated in the model, location of the river boundary cells, and the assigned hydraulic parameters. The boundaries at the edges of the model domain in the Holocene River Channel Deposit are also transient boundaries and are a function of the hydrologic stresses calculated by the model.

Groundwater is pumped from the Holocene River Channel Deposits from the four Ranney Wells. A well boundary condition was assigned to a computational cell at the appropriate depth (see Table 2) for each Ranney Well. During model simulation a pumping (or sink) rate was assigned to each well. A summary of the boundary condition pumping rates for seven different pumping scenarios is shown in Table 3.

Table 4. Extraction Well Boundary Conditions and Pumping Rates

Pumping Scenario	PS-1 and 1A	PS-2	PS-3	PS-4
1	6 MGD			
2		6 MGD		
3			4 MGD	
4				6 MGD
5	4.8 MGD	4.8 MGD		4.8 MGD
6	5.2 MGD		5.2 MGD	5.2 MGD
7	5 MGD	5 MGD	5 MGD	5 MGD

3.3 Aquifer Parameters

Location specific aquifer parameters must be assigned to each of the computational cells for the model to estimate the groundwater flow. The parameters used by MODFLOW are hydraulic conductivity, model specific storage, model specific yield, and effective porosity. The aquifer

parameters used are based upon data compiled during the development of the site conceptual model.

Hydraulic conductivity (K) is a soil property that describes the ease with which the soil pores permit water movement. Its value depends on the type of soil, porosity, and the configuration of the soil pores. Hydraulic conductivity has 3 components Kx, Ky, and Kz. Kx is the hydraulic conductivity in the X-direction or the long axis parallel to the river. Ky is the hydraulic conductivity in the Y-direction or the axis perpendicular to the river, and Kz is the vertical hydraulic conductivity. In some studies (particularly in the earlier studies), transmissivity is reported. Transmissivity is the hydraulic conductivity multiplied by layer thickness for confined layers.

The specific storage (S_s) of a saturated aquifer is defined as the volume of water that a unit volume of aquifer releases from storage under a unit decline in hydraulic head (or pressure). For unconfined aquifers other factors dominate in determining how much water is released and is addressed in the specific yield term. The volume of water released is due to two factors; the compaction of the aquifer caused by removing groundwater and thus increasing the effective stress of the soils (compressibility and subsidence), and the expansion of water caused by decreasing hydraulic pressure. The specific storage of the Holocene River Channel Deposits is very low because of the structure of the deposits, relatively shallow (lower hydraulic pressure) aquifer, and rapid recharge.

The storage term for unconfined aquifers is called specific yield (S_y) and is defined as the volume of water that an unconfined aquifer releases from storage per unit surface area of aquifer per unit decline in the water table. It is the water that is released from the pore space between soil particles from the dewatering of the soil. Values of specific yield are a unit less ratio that ranges from 0.01 to 0.30. The Holocene River Channel Deposits have a relatively higher porosity and low fines content that generally yield a higher specific yield.

The parameter values used in the numerical model can be grouped into three categories by soil type or flow type and they include; Holocene River Channel Deposits (Layers 1-7), Franciscan Bedrock (Layer 8), and well laterals and siphon interconnection. The values used in the model are summarized below in Table 5.

Table 5. Aquifer Property Model Input Parameters, HBMWD Groundwater Study

Hydrologic Unit	Model Hydraulic Conductivity			Specific Storage (1/ft)	Specific Yield	Effective Porosity
	K_X (ft/day)	K_Y (ft/day)	K_Z (ft/day)			
Holocene River Channel Deposits	1400 to 300	1400 to 300	1000 to 50	10E-5	.28	.32
Laterals and Siphon	4.4E5	4.4E5	1000 (laterals) 10E-4 (siphon)	10E-6	.99	.99
Franciscan Bedrock	0.001	0.001	10E-4	10E-6	.01	.01

4.0 MODEL CALIBRATION

To calibrate the model both numeric calibration and parameter calibration were performed. Numeric calibration refers to the ability of the model to accurately solve the system of groundwater equations and is assessed by a cumulative tracking of the volume of groundwater within the system. The tracking of the volume of groundwater in the model is referred to as the mass balance. In an uncelebrated model the change in volume of groundwater in the model domain does not equal the net change in the groundwater flux through the model boundaries (domain boundaries, river, and extraction wells). The numeric calibration standard with regards to mass balance used in this model is 0.001 ft^3 and simulation iterations continue until this standard is achieved.

4.1 Numeric Calibration

Numeric calibration also evaluates the changes in calculated heads between consecutive computation iterations. The cumulative sum of the squared error between consecutive head solutions is calculated and is called the residual. The simulation continues and results are not reported until the residual is less than 0.001 ft.

For groundwater flow simulations performed during model calibration the MODFLOW-Surfact solver (PCG4) was used to calculate the simulation results. The solver employs a Newton Raphson linearization to calculate the series of equations developed during solution of the groundwater model flow simulations. The solver is an iterative, bi-conjugate gradient routine that solves the large system of equations using both inner and outer iterations. The solver also employs a variable saturated soil function to accurately represent the rapid recharge of the river channel deposits present in this system. The rapid recharge rates of the Holocene deposits and the large groundwater pumping rates yielded a system of equations that was not solvable using the standard MODFLOW solver packages. The flow through variable saturated regions caused particular problems in the treatment of cells that were deactivated due to being drained during pumping and then re-wetted due to recharge. Overall, this solver is found to be stable and accurate in its solution of the sets of equations and all results met the required calibration standards.

4.2 Model Input Parameter Calibration

The calibration of the model with respect to input parameters focused on the hydraulic conductivity because it was identified as the most significant input parameter during sensitivity analysis, which was also supported in the literature. The values used to develop the hydraulic conductivity field are based on the review of test results and studies listed in Table 2 and on recent pumping test performed at Pump Station 2. Pump Station 2 was recently rehabilitated and pumping tests were performed post and prior to renovation. During the pumping test Pump Station 2 was pumped at approximately 6 MGD and the drawdown (piezometric pressure) was measured in the four newly installed monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-4). Pump Station 1 was also operating at the time of the test. To calibrate the hydraulic conductivity field the modeled estimate of the hydraulic head at the monitoring wells was compared to the observed hydraulic heads. Localized adjustments to the hydraulic conductivity field were made until the model results matched the drawdown in the monitoring wells. The modification to the hydraulic conductivity field was also made to reflect localized variations shown in the well boring logs and

seismic refraction surveys. There were discrepancies between the various sources of data and more credence was given to the more recently collected data. The calibration results are shown in Figure 4. The monitoring wells are aligned between PS 1/1A and PS-2 with MW- 4 closest to PS-2 and MW-1 closest to PS-1/1A, as shown in Figure 2. The results of the calibration show that the model closely predicts the observed drawdown during the pumping test. The model results diverge from the observed data in that the model consistently predicts that the drawdown occurs slightly earlier than observed and it slightly over predicts the observed drawdown. The simulation was also run until the model reached a steady state drawdown where the pumping test was terminated after two days. Also of interest, this calibration shows the interaction between PS-1/1A and PS-2. As expected, drawdown is first observed in MW-4 and is the greatest, which is closest to PS-2. It is then simultaneously observed in the rest of the monitoring wells. The magnitude of the drawdown in MW-3 and MW-2 is less than MW-4 and is consistent with their respective distance from PS-2. However, the magnitude of the drawdown in MW-1 is almost as great as in MW-4. MW-1 is furthest from PS-2 but is between PS-1 and PS-1A. The variation in arrival times of the start of the drawdown and the magnitude of the drawdown in MW-1 is due to the additional groundwater extraction from PS-1, thus depicting the groundwater interactions between the pump stations.



Figure 4. Model Calibration Drawdown Between PS-1/1A and PS-2, HBMWD Groundwater Study

The very close match between the model results and observed data is due to the very accurate and localized representation of the hydraulic conductivity. The high resolution of the conductivity field is only possible because of the preponderance of data in that area between PS-1/1A and PS-2 and the PS-2 pumping test. The quantity of data in the remainder of the model domain is much less and does not support the higher degree of resolution in the conductivity

field. However, it is reasonable to extrapolate the average hydraulic conductivity to the remainder of the model domain and make localized adjustments as data is collected and becomes available in the future. This means that the high degree of accuracy shown in Figure 4 should be expected in the region of PS-1/1A and PS-2 and a lesser degree of accuracy in the remainder of the model domain.

5.0 USING THE GROUNDWATER MODEL AS A MANAGEMENT TOOL

The purpose of developing the groundwater model was to provide the operators of the HBMWD groundwater pumping facilities with a management tool that would aid the assessment of interactions and impacts of various pumping scenarios within the groundwater system around the Ranney Collectors. Of key interest to the District were to assess the:

- Impacts on the water table near the Ranney wells due to pumping drawdown,
- Maximum pumping rates from system while maintaining water quality objective,
- Down stream impacts on the Mad River due to pumping, and
- Impacts of the interactions between groundwater production well with respect to turbidity.

It should be noted, as stated in Section 4.2 Model Parameter Calibration, that the predictive capabilities of the model are greatest in the region between PS-1/1A and PS-2 due to the development of data in the area. The model uncertainty increases for regions where there is neither soil borings nor monitoring wells to calibrate the model and predictions in these regions are based on extrapolating model parameters from data rich regions to the remainder of the model domain. One outcome of the modeling process is the identification of regions where additional site data would increase the predictive capabilities of the model. As data is collected in the future these regions will be further refined and the model will be made more robust in areas of uncertainty.

5.1 Impacts on Water Table Drawdown

Impacts on water table drawdown due to pumping may be assessed by evaluating the groundwater heads predicted by the model. The model can report the hydraulic head at each of the computational nodes in the model. While the head at any specified location may be evaluated, it is easiest to view these results in a color flooded plan view map. A sample of such a map is shown below in Figure 5. The figure shows the river in dark blue, and roads as black lines. The groundwater heads are shown in color flood and with contour lines of light blue. The warmer orange color flood indicates higher heads and the cooler greens indicate lower heads. This plot is of the first model layer in Scenario 7, listed in Table 4, and has extraction from all four Ranney wells. Results like this can be viewed for each of the seven layers of the model. Results for all seven scenarios listed in Table 4 may be found in the Appendix A. The interactions between the Ranney Wells may also be viewed by plotting the flood plots with vectors indicating the speed and direction of groundwater flow. Figure 6 is the same results as shown in Figure 5 but with the groundwater velocity vectors shown. The velocity vectors clearly depict the regions that are impacted by the pumping at the various pump stations.



Figure 5. Sample Model Results of Groundwater Heads, HBMWD Groundwater Study



Figure 6. Sample Model Results of Groundwater Heads with Groundwater Velocity Vectors, HBMWD Groundwater Study

5.1.1 Vertical Velocity Profile

Interactions at specific collectors may also be depicted by plotting the cross section though the collector of the results with the velocity vectors. Figure 7 depicts the cross section of PS-3 with a pumping rate of 4 MGD. The velocity vectors depict the regions and extents where groundwater is moving laterally and vertically. As is expected, the results show that for this collector the predominance of groundwater entering the pump station is moving laterally through the aquifer with vertical migration limited to the regions directly above the collector.



Figure 7. Cross Section of Groundwater Heads with Velocity Vectors of PS-3 Pumping at 4 MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study

5.2 Maximum Pumping Rates

The question of determining the maximum pumping rates may be determined by estimating travel times of the groundwater recharge. This is done by plotting the capture paths of the recharge groundwater to the extraction wells. The velocity along the path of the recharge water is then used to calculate the travel time. This process was performed with theoretical pumping regimes and water quality standards but is not summarized in the report because model uncertainty needs to be addressed prior to practical application. Future use of the model will include theoretical prediction of maximum pumping rates to determine the ultimate yield to meet future demands.

5.3 Downstream Impact on the Mad River

Assessing the downstream impacts on the Mad River due to various pumping scenarios is evaluated using a mass balance approach. During model simulation all water entering and exiting the system boundaries are accounted for. In this system the boundaries are the eastern constant head boundary, western constant head boundary, river boundary, and the four extraction wells. Water is computationally allowed to enter or leave through the constant head and river boundaries and is a function of hydraulic heads created by stresses on the system when groundwater is extracted from the wells. In the absence of pumping stresses, groundwater tends to enter the system from the east and exit to the west due to topographic relief within the domain. The river tends to be a losing stream with steady recharging of the groundwater system. The model simulates flow from the river into the groundwater system but it is limited in that it does not simulate the hydraulics within the river channel and does not model the induced changes in the river flow. The model holds the level of the river constant with recharge across the river boundaries determined from the hydraulic head gradients and soil properties. The model does report the amount of water that enters the system through recharge from the river and is the change in the flow of the river.

5.4 Groundwater Velocity and Turbidity

The assessment of impacts of the well interactions with respect to turbidity was based on an assumed statistical correlation between groundwater velocity profiles and turbidity in the groundwater produced. However, after analyzing pumping data, production water quality data, river water quality data, and model results no correlation was found relating turbidity in the groundwater to groundwater velocities.

6.0 SUMMARY

The HBMWD groundwater study focused on the groundwater system in the region of the four active Ranney Collectors (PS-1 through PS-4) which supply water to the District. The activities of the study consisted of: collecting existing data (from construction plans, well logs, operational data, and previous studies), collecting new data (from the installation of four new monitoring wells and seismic refraction study), compiling the collected data into a site conceptual model, construction of the three dimensional computational model, numeric and parameter model calibration, and model application. The site conceptual model resulted in a three dimensional representation, shown in Figure 3, of the model domain depicting the confining layer and soil properties of the overlying hydro geologic units. The site conceptual model was used to construct the computational model. The computational model estimates the groundwater flow and head by solving the groundwater flow equations using MODFLOW-SURFACT, a MODFLOW based finite difference model. The modeling performed was particularly challenging due to the rapid recharge of groundwater from the Mad River and MODFLOW-SURFACT was used because it accurately simulates the interactions between surface and groundwater systems where simpler computer codes fail to produce mass conservative results.

The model was applied to seven operational pumping regimes, as listed in Table 4, with the results shown in Appendix A. The model results closely match observed drawdown from pumping tests at the four new monitoring wells between collectors PS-1/1A and PS-2, shown in Figure 2. Observed drawdown at the Ranney collectors is generally less than what was predicted by the model. The quantity of soil data in this region was also greater than other regions of the model domain, which allowed for a higher degree of resolution in the input parameter estimation. The average soil properties from this region were extrapolated to all other regions of the model domain. Model verification in other regions of the model domain was limited due to the lack of monitoring points other than the extraction wells. Additional monitoring wells around the other Ranney Collectors would greatly reduce model uncertainty and increase model accuracy and will be installed in the future as funding becomes available.

The model will be used in the future to help the District with planning for meeting future water supply requirements and in helping assess affects of pumping one Ranney Well with respect to another.

Appendix A
Figures of Model Results



A- 1. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 1 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 2. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 2 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 3. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 3 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 4. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 4 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 5. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 6. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 7. Model Results, Scenario 1, Layer 7 - PS-1/!A, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 8. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 1 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 9. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 2 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 10. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 3 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 11. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 4 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 12. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 5 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 13. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 6 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 14. Model Results, Scenario 2, Layer 7 - PS-2, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 15. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 1 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 16. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 2 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 17. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 3 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 18. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 4 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 19. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 5 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 20. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 6 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 21. Model Results, Scenario 3, Layer 7 - PS-3, 4MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 22. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 1 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 23. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 2 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 24. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 3 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 25. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 4 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 26. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 5 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 27. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 6 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 28. Model Results, Scenario 4, Layer 7 - PS-4, 6MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 29. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 1 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 30. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 2 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 31. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 3 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 32. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 4 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 33. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 34. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 35. Model Results, Scenario 5, Layer 7 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, & PS-4, 4.8MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 36. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 1 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 37. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 2 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 38. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 3 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 39. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 4 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 40. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 41. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 42. Model Results, Scenario 6, Layer 7 - PS-1/1A, PS-3, & PS-4, 5.2MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 43. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 1 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 44. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 2 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 45. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 3 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 46. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 4 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 47. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 5 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 48. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 6 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study



A- 49. Model Results, Scenario 7, Layer 7 - PS-1/1A, PS-2, PS-3, & PS-4, 5MGD, HBMWD Groundwater Study

Appendix B

Seismic Refractions Study Report and Monitoring Well Boring Logs

Appendix B

Seismic Refractions Study Report and Monitoring Well Boring Logs

NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

December 14, 2004

Mr. Kenneth Thiessen
Winzler & Kelley Consulting Engineers
633 Third Street
Eureka, CA 95501-0417

Subject: Seismic Refraction Survey
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, Mad River, Humboldt County, CA
NORCAL Job # 04-319.04

Dear Mr. Thiessen:

This report presents the findings of a seismic refraction (SR) survey performed by NORCAL Geophysical Consultants, Inc. on Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District property along the Mad River in Humboldt County, CA. The survey was performed on December 6 - 8, 2004 by NORCAL geophysicist Donald J. Kirker and geophysical technician Chris Blom. Logistical support was provided by Kenneth Thiessen of Winzler & Kelley Consulting Engineers.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this project consisted of obtaining SR data along seven profiles, as designated by Winzler & Kelley. Our scope of work also included processing and interpreting these data and presenting the results in a written report.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the SR survey was to obtain subsurface information that will aid in determining the depth to bedrock and to assess the thickness of the saturated alluvium overlying bedrock. We understand that this information will be incorporated with geologic and hydrologic data obtained by Winzler & Kelley to evaluate the groundwater-bearing potential of the area.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District properties are located on the south and north banks of the Mad River northeast of Arcata, California. The survey area is characterized by river cut terraces, channels, sand bars, dense vegetation, and level, grass covered parcels. Site elevations range from about 25 feet above mean sea level (msl) at the rivers edge to over 59 feet above msl at the highest point.

Borehole data, from borings drilled by others in the general area, were obtained from Winzler & Kelley. This data indicates that the subsurface consists of fluvial sediments over Franciscan bedrock that was encountered at elevations of 4 to 70 feet below mean sea level (msl). The fluvial sediments

1350 INDUSTRIAL AVENUE, SUITE A • PETALUMA, CA 94952 • TELEPHONE (707) 763-1312 • FAX (707) 762-5587
www.norcalgeophysical.com

consists primarily of gravel with some interbedded clay. These data, however, do not show specific information regarding the bedrock lithology. It has been our experience that Franciscan bedrock in this area typically consists of consolidated sediments (shale, sandstone, etc.) and/or indurated rock such as graywacke, greenstone, or other basalt.

METHODOLOGY

The SR method is used to determine the seismic velocity of subsurface materials. The seismic velocity of fill, sediments, and rock are dependent on physical properties such as compaction, density, hardness, and induration. However, other factors such as bedding, fracturing, and saturation also effect seismic velocity. Typically, low velocities are indicative of loose soil, poorly compacted fill material, and poorly to semi-consolidated sediments. Higher velocities are indicative of consolidated or dense sediments, highly compacted fill, and moderate to highly weathered and fractured bedrock. The highest velocities are measured in unweathered rock with few fractures. A more detailed description of the SR methodology including data acquisition and analysis procedures and limitations is provided in Appendix A.

FIELD INVESTIGATION

Seismic Refraction Geometry

Seismic refraction data were obtained along seven profiles, as shown on Plate 1. The profiles are designated as Lines 1 through 7 and range in length from 360 to 480 feet. The location of each line was determined by Winzler & Kelley prior to the geophysical survey. Lines 1 through 5 were located south of the river, Lines 6 and 7 were located north of the river.

Each line comprised of one seismic spread which consisted of 18 to 24 geophones and three shot points. The length of the spread was dictated by topography. The geophones were coupled to the ground surface at 20-foot intervals in a collinear array. Shot points were located approximately 10 feet beyond each end and in the center of each spread. The location of each shot point was surveyed using a Trimble global positioning system (GPS).

Seismic Source

We produced seismic energy at each shot point using a Digipulse accelerated weight drop (AWD-1). This device consists of a vertically mounted, cylindrically shaped, 100 lb. weight that is accelerated by large elastic bands from a height of approximately 24 inches onto an aluminum plate on the ground surface. An accelerometer attached to the strike plate transmits a triggering pulse to the seismograph each time the weight strikes the plate. The resulting travel time data were recorded on a seismograph and processed to generate seismic velocity cross-sections.

RESULTS

The results of the seismic refraction survey along Lines 1 through 7, are represented by the seismic velocity cross-sections shown on Plates 2 through 8. We have differentiated the subsurface into a surficial layer (V1), an intermediate layer (V2), and a deeper layer (V3). These layers are labeled V1 through V3 in order of increasing velocity and depth, and range from 900 to 10,000 feet per second (ft/s).The direction of each line and the velocity and depth range to the top of the respective velocity layer is presented in Table A, below.

Table A: Seismic Velocity Profile Data, Mad River, Humboldt County, CA

PROFILE	DIRECTION	SEISMIC LAYER	VELOCITY (ft/s)	DEPTH (ft)*	ELEVATION (ft)*
Line 1	SW-NE	V1 V2 V3	1,900 5,800 7,300	0 1-48 25-71	23 to 46 22 to 0 -43 to 0
Line 2	S-N	V1 V2 V3	1,000 6,400 9,100	0 9-20 62-103	23 to 49 12 to 29 -57 to -9
Line 3	S-N	V1 V2 V3	900 4,800 7,000	0 8-17 34-54	36 to 48 23 to 35 -7 to 14
Line 4	S-N	V1 V2 V3	900 5,300 10,000	0 8-22 40-76	39 to 59 29 to 45 -22 to 20
Line 5	S-N	V1 V2 V3	1,000 4,900 9,300	0 3-20 40-60	30 to 49 24 to 36 -17 to 6
Line 6	S-N	V1 V2 V3	1,300 4,700 7,200	0 16-26 40-73	49 to 59 30 to 34 -14 to 10
Line 7	NW-SE	V1 V2 V3	900 5,000 9,400	0 8-18 70-97	33 to 49 21 to 36 -50 to -31

* Depth and elevation to top of layer

Seismic layer V1 (900-1,900 ft/s) has velocities that are consistent with surficial soils and unsaturated alluvium. Velocities near the low end of the range indicate loose (unconsolidated) material. Velocities near the upper end probably indicate material that is more consolidated Seismic layer V2 (4,700-6,400 ft/s) has velocities that are consistent with saturated, unconsolidated alluvium consisting of interbedded silt, sand, and gravel. The range in V2 values is probably indicative of the degree of consolidation of the saturated materials, as well as the variation in lithology.
Seismic Layer V3 (7,000-10,000 ft/s) has velocities that are consistent with Franciscan bedrock that

exhibits varying degrees of weathering. The range in velocities is indirectly proportional to the degree of bedrock weathering. The less weathering, the higher the apparent velocity of the bedrock. Also, the range of velocities may be indicative of varying bedrock lithology. For example, indurated rock (graywacke, greenstone) and volcanic rock (basalt) typically exhibit higher velocities than sedimentary rock (shale, sandstone, etc.).

The seismic refraction profiles (Plates 2-8) show that the V3 surface ranges in elevation from 20 above msl to 57 feet below msl. The down-river profiles, Lines 1, 2, and 7, define bedrock at elevations of 40 to 57 feet below msl. Whereas, the up-river profiles (Lines 3-6) indicate that bedrock is shallower, and is about 20 above to 22 feet below msl.

STANDARD CARE AND WARRANTY

The scope of NORCAL's services for this project consisted of using geophysical methods to characterize the subsurface. The accuracy of our findings is subject to specific site conditions and limitations inherent to the techniques used. We performed our services in a manner consistent with the standard of care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently employing similar methods. No warranty, with respect to the performance of services or products delivered under this agreement, expressed or implied, is made by NORCAL.

We appreciate having the opportunity to provide you with this information.

Respectfully,

NORCAL Geophysical Consultants, Inc.

Donald J. Kirker

Donald J. Kirker
Geophysicist, GP-997

DJK/tt

Enclosures: Plates 1 through 8
Appendix A Seismic Refraction Survey



LEGEND	
———	SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
✦	SHOT POINT LOCATION

SCALE

0 200 400 800

(1 inch = 400 feet)

NORCAL

SITE LOCATION MAP
SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

	LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA		PLATE
	CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY		1
JOB #: 04-319.04	NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.		
DATE: DEC. 2004	DRAWN BY: G.RANDALL	APPROVED BY: DJK	



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 1
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA
CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY
JOB #: 04-319.04
NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.
DATE: DEC. 2004
DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL
APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 2



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 2
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA
CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY
NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.

JOB #: 04-319.04
DATE: DEC. 2004
DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL
APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 3



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 3
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA
CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY
NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.

JOB #: 04-319.04
DATE: DEC. 2004
DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL
APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 4



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 4
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY

JOB #: 04-319.04

NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.

DATE: DEC. 2004

DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL

APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 5



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 5
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY

JOB #: 04-319.04

NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.

DATE: DEC. 2004

DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL

APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 6



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 6
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA

CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY

JOB #: 04-319.04

NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.

DATE: DEC. 2004

DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL

APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 7



LEGEND

SP101	SHOT POINT LOCATION
V1 = 1,000 ft/s	VELOCITY OF LAYER IN FEET PER SECOND
— — —	VELOCITY INTERFACE

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 FEET

NORCAL

SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
LINE 7
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

LOCATION: ARCATA, CALIFORNIA
CLIENT: WINZLER & KELLEY
JOB #: 04-319.04
NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS INC.
DATE: DEC. 2004
DRAWN BY: G. RANDALL
APPROVED BY: DJK

PLATE 8

Appendix A

SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY

Appendix A

SEISMIC REFRACTION (SR)

Methodology

The seismic refraction method provides information regarding the seismic velocity structure of the subsurface. An impulsive (mechanical or explosive) source is used to produce compressional (P) wave seismic energy. The P-waves propagate into the earth and are refracted along interfaces caused by an increase in velocity. A portion of the P-wave energy is refracted back to the surface where it is detected by sensors (geophones) that are coupled to the ground surface in a collinear array (spread). The detected signals are recorded on a multi-channel seismograph and are analyzed to determine the shot point-to-geophone travel times. These data can be used along with the corresponding shot point-to-geophone distances to determine the depth, thickness, and velocity of subsurface seismic layers.

The seismic refraction technique is based on several assumptions. Paramount among these are:

1) that seismic velocity increases with depth, and,
2) that the velocity of each seismic layer is uniform over the length of the given spread.

In cases where these assumptions do not hold, the accuracy of the technique decreases. For example, if a low velocity layer occurs between two layers of higher velocity, the low velocity layer will not be detected and the depth to the underlying high velocity layer will be erroneously large. Also, if the velocity of a seismic layer varies laterally within a spread, those variations will be interpreted as fluctuations in the elevation of the underlying seismic layer.

Instrumentation

Data acquisition is initiated along each SR line by producing seismic energy using mechanical source. Mechanical sources produce energy by impacting a metal strike plate on the ground surface with either a 12-16 pound sledge hammer or an elastic-band driven weight drop. The resulting seismic wave forms are recorded using a Geometrics 24-channel engineering seismograph and Mark Products geophones with a natural frequency of 10 Hz. The data are recorded on hard copy records (seismograms) as well as on computer disks for future processing. The seismograms display the amount of time it takes for a compression (P) wave to travel from a given shot point to each geophone in a spread.

Data Analysis

The seismic data are downloaded to a computer and processed using the program SIPIK by Rimrock Geophysics to determine the shot point to geophone travel times. These values versus the shot point to geophone distances are then plotted to form time versus distance graphs. By fitting straight lines to the data points, the number of seismic velocity layers and the travel times associated with each layer are determined. These values, the travel times, and the location of each shot point and geophone are then entered into the computer program SIPT2 (also by Rimrock Geophysics). When applicable, the elevation of each shot point and geophone is also entered into this program. Specific elevations (above mean sea level) are determined by topography maps that are typically provided by the client. However, if a topography map is not available, the relative elevation of each shot point and geophone is determined by hand-level techniques and/or a global positioning system (GPS).

SIPT2 uses a variation of the time-delay method to compute a preliminary model listing the depth to each seismic layer beneath each shot point and geophone. The program then uses a ray tracing routine to check the validity of this model and adjust it as necessary to fit the observed data. The final output consists of a cross-section showing the surface topography, the elevation and configuration of the seismic layers, the velocity of each layer, and the locations of the shot points.

Limitations

In general, there are limitations unique to the SR method. These limitations are primarily based on assumptions that are made by the data analysis routine. First, the data analysis routine assumes that the velocities along the length of each spread are uniform. If there are localized zones within each layer where the velocities are higher or lower than indicated, the analysis routine will interpret these zones as changes in the surface topography of the underlying layer. A zone of higher velocity material would be interpreted as a low in the surface of the underlying layer. Zones of lower velocity material would be interpreted as a high in the underlying layer.

Second, the data analysis routine assumes that the velocity of subsurface materials increase with depth. Therefore, if a layer exhibits velocities that are slower than those of the material above it, the slower layer will not be resolved. Also, a velocity layer may simply be too thin to be detected. Due to these and other limitations inherent to the SR method, the results of the SR survey should be considered only as approximations of the subsurface conditions. The actual conditions may vary locally.

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

Page ____ of ____

Owner's Well No. COLLECTOR 3, MW-1

Date Work Began 4/11/06, Ended 4/13/06

Local Permit Agency HUMBOLDT CO. DIV. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Permit No. 504-151-010 Permit Date 4/10/06

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. 797306

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) X VERTICAL ____ HORIZONTAL ____ ANGLE ____ (SPECIFY)

DRILLING METHOD SONIC FLUID Ø

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	2	TOP SOIL
2	9	SILTY GRAVEL
9	16	PEA GRAVEL WITH SILT HORIZONS
16	17	CLEAN COARSE GRAVEL
17	18	STIFF CLAYEY SILT
18	38	SILTY GRAVEL
38	58	GRAVEL AND SAND WITH SILT
58	63	VERY COARSE GRAVEL, MINOR SILT
63	68	VERY COARSE SAND
68	75	COARSE GRAVEL
75	77	COARSE SAND AND GRAVEL (NO FINES)
77	78	CLASTS TO 1½"
78	93	NO SAMPLE (PRESUME FLOWING SAND / GRAVEL)
93	95½	COARSE SAND
95½	100	GRAVEL TO ½"
100	108	SAND AND GRAVEL WITH FINES INCREASING WITH DEPTH

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 108 (Feet)

TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 108 (Feet)

WELL OWNER

Name HUMBOLDT BAY MUNI. WATER DISTRICT

Mailing Address 7270 WEST END ROAD

CITY ARCATA STATE CA ZIP 95521

WELL LOCATION

Address GLENDALE ROAD

City ESSER

County HUMBOLDT

APN Book 504 Page 151 Parcel 010

Township 6N Range 1E Section 15

Latitude 40 DEG. 54 MIN. 20 SEC. NORTH Longitude 124 DEG. 02 MIN. 28 SEC. WEST

LOCATION SKETCH



Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. **PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.**

ACTIVITY (✓)

X NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

____ Deepen

____ Other (Specify)

____ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

PLANNED USES (✓)

WATER SUPPLY

____ Domestic ____ Public

____ Irrigation ____ Industrial

MONITORING X

TEST WELL ____

CATHODIC PROTECTION ____

HEAT EXCHANGE ____

DIRECT PUSH ____

INJECTION ____

VAPOR EXTRACTION ____

SPARGING ____

REMEDIATION ____

OTHER (SPECIFY) ____

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER ____ (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 15.2 (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 4/14/06

ESTIMATED YIELD * ____ (GPM) & TEST TYPE ____

TEST LENGTH ____ (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN ____ (Ft.) NOT TESTED

** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
+2	-8	10	X				PVC SCH 40	2	0.218"	Ø
-8	-108	8		X			PVC SCH 40	2	0.218"	0.020

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CE-MENT (✓)	BEN-TONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)
0	2	X			
2	6		X		
6	108				2/12 SAND

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

____ Geologic Log

____ Well Construction Diagram

____ Geophysical Log(s)

____ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses

____ Other ____

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME ____ (PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS ____ CITY ____ STATE ____ ZIP ____

Signed ____ WELL DRILLER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE DATE SIGNED ____ C-57 LICENSE NUMBER ____

IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE NEXT CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED FORM

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT
Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

Page ____ of ____
Owner's Well No. COLLECTOR 3, BORING 1 No. 797307
Date Work Began 4/13/06, Ended 4/15/06
Local Permit Agency HUMBOLDT CO. DIV. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Permit No. 504-151-010 Permit Date 4/12/06

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN
STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.
LATITUDE LONGITUDE
APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) X VERTICAL ___ HORIZONTAL ___ ANGLE ___ (SPECIFY)
DRILLING METHOD SONIC FLUID Ø

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	3½	IMPORTED BASE ROCK
3½	11	SILT WITH SAND
11	20	SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL
20	31	SANDY GRAVEL WITH SILT
31	36½	SILTY SAND
36½	40	SANDY GRAVEL WITH SILT
40	49	GRAVEL WITH MINOR SAND AND SILT
49	69	GRAVEL WITH SAND AND SILT
69	86	SANDY GRAVEL
86	88	GRAVEL WITH SAND AND SILT
		BORING ABANDONED WITH HYDRATED BENTONITE AND GROUT ON 4/15/06 FOLLOWING LITHOLOGIC LOGGING AND AQUIFER TESTING

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 88 (Feet)
TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL Ø (Feet)

WELL OWNER
Name HUMBOLDT BAY MUNI. WATER DIST.
Mailing Address 7270 WEST END ROAD
CITY ARCATA, CA STATE 95521 ZIP

WELL LOCATION
Address GLENDALE ROAD
City ESSER
County HUMBOLDT
APN Book 504 Page 151 Parcel 010
Township 6N Range 1E Section 15
Latitude 40 DEG. 54 MIN. 20 SEC. NORTH Longitude 124 DEG. 02 MIN. 29 SEC. WEST

LOCATION SKETCH
NORTH

WEST EAST
← MAD RIVER
SOUTH
Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. ***PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.***

ACTIVITY (✓)
X NEW WELL
MODIFICATION/REPAIR
___ Deepen
___ Other (Specify)
___ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

PLANNED USES (✓)
WATER SUPPLY
___ Domestic ___ Public
___ Irrigation ___ Industrial
MONITORING
TEST WELL X
CATHODIC PROTECTION ___
HEAT EXCHANGE ___
DIRECT PUSH ___
INJECTION ___
VAPOR EXTRACTION ___
SPARGING ___
REMEDIATION ___
OTHER (SPECIFY) ___

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL
DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 19.8 (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE
DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 18.68 (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 4/14/06
ESTIMATED YIELD * 71+ (GPM) & TEST TYPE STEP DRAWDOWN
TEST LENGTH 2 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN 1.81 (Ft.)
** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
0	88	8	X							N/A

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CE-MENT (✓)	BEN-TONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)

ATTACHMENTS (✓)
___ Geologic Log
___ Well Construction Diagram
___ Geophysical Log(s)
___ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
___ Other ____
ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT
I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.
NAME ____ (PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP
Signed ____ WELL DRILLER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE DATE SIGNED C-57 LICENSE NUMBER

IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE NEXT CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED FORM

TRIPLICATE
Owner's Copy

Page 1 of 1

Owner's Well No. MW-1

Date Work Began 2-24-05, Ended 2-26-05

Local Permit Agency Humboldt County Environmental Health

Permit No. 504-201-011 Permit Date 1-20-05

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. 1087252

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) x VERTICAL ___ HORIZONTAL ___ ANGLE ___ (SPECIFY)

DRILLING METHOD air rotary FLUID water

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	5	Silt and fine sand
5	10	Sand and gravel
10	40	Gravel w/sand, minor fines
40	50	Clayey silty sand w/gravel
50	100	Sand and gravel, minor fines
100	105	Clayey silty sand w/gravel
105	112	Franciscan bedrock
	107	Depth to competent bedrock

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 112 (Feet)

TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 106 (Feet)

WELL OWNER

Name Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Dist.

Mailing Address c/o Winzler & Kelly Engineers

633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501

CITY STATE ZIP

WELL LOCATION

Address 7270 Westend Road, Arcata

City Arcata

County Humboldt

APN Book 504 Page 201 Parcel 011

Township 6N Range 1E Section 15

Lat 40 / 54 / 49 N (DEG. MIN. SEC.) Long 124 / 03 / 12 W (DEG. MIN. SEC.)

LOCATION SKETCH

NORTH

WEST

See attached map

EAST

SOUTH

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. ***PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.***

ACTIVITY (✓)

x NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

___ Deepen

___ Other (Specify)

___ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

USES (✓)

WATER SUPPLY

___ Domestic ___ Public

___ Irrigation ___ Industrial

MONITORING x

TEST WELL ___

CATHODIC PROTECTION ___

HEAT EXCHANGE ___

DIRECT PUSH ___

INJECTION ___

VAPOR EXTRACTION ___

SPARGING ___

REMEDIATION ___

OTHER (SPECIFY) ___

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 35 (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL n/a (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED

ESTIMATED YIELD * 170+ (GPM) & TEST TYPE air lift

TEST LENGTH 1 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN n/a (Ft.)

** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
0	11	11¼	x				Steel	6	.188	
11	20	7¾	x				Steel	6	.188	
20	40	7¾		x			Steel	6	.188	1/8
40	70	7¾	x				Steel	6	.188	
70	100	7¾		x			Steel	6	.188	1/8
100	106	7¾	x				Steel	6	.188	
106	112	6¼					Open hole			

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CE-MENT (✓)	BEN-TONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)
0	2	x			Concrete
2	14		x		Granular
					& Chips

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

___ Geologic Log

___ Well Construction Diagram

___ Geophysical Log(s)

___ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses

x Other site map

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Diamond Core Drilling, Inc.
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS P.O. Box 491925 CITY Redding STATE CA ZIP 96049

Signed [signature] C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR DATE SIGNED 4-27-05 C-57 LICENSE NUMBER 512406

NOT FOR PUBLIC USE
CONFIDENTIAL
WATER CODE 13752

RECEIVED
MAY 10 2005
WK-EUREKA

TRIPLICATE
Owner's Copy

Page 1 of 1
Owner's Well No. MW-2
Date Work Began 2-23-05, Ended 2-24-05
Local Permit Agency Humboldt County Environmental Health
Permit No. 504-201-011 Permit Date 1-20-05

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT
Refer to Instruction Pamphlet
No. 1087253

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN
STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.
LATITUDE LONGITUDE
APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) X VERTICAL ___ HORIZONTAL ___ ANGLE ___ (SPECIFY)
DRILLING METHOD air rotary FLUID water

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	15	Sandy gravel
15	25	Silty sand and gravel
25	35	Sand and gravel
35	40	Silty sand
40	50	Silty sand w/gravel
50	70	Sand w/gravel, minor fines
70	100	Silty sandy gravel
100	104	Clay and sand w/gravel
104	106	Competent Franciscan bedrock

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 106 (Feet)
TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 104 (Feet)

WELL OWNER
Name Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Dist.
Mailing Address c/o Winzler & Kelly Engineers
633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501
CITY STATE ZIP

WELL LOCATION
Address 7270 Westend Road,
City Arcata
County Humboldt
APN Book 504 Page 201 Parcel 011
Township 6N Range 1E Section 15
Lat 40 : 54 : 50 N (DEG. MIN. SEC.) Long 124 : 03 : 06 W (DEG. MIN. SEC.)

LOCATION SKETCH
NORTH
See attached map
WEST EAST
SOUTH
Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. ***PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.***

ACTIVITY (✓)
X NEW WELL
MODIFICATION/REPAIR
___ Deepen
___ Other (Specify)
___ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

USES (✓)
WATER SUPPLY
___ Domestic ___ Public
___ Irrigation ___ Industrial
MONITORING X
TEST WELL ___
CATHODIC PROTECTION ___
HEAT EXCHANGE ___
DIRECT PUSH ___
INJECTION ___
VAPOR EXTRACTION ___
SPARGING ___
REMEDIATION ___
OTHER (SPECIFY) ___

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL
DEPTH TO FIRST WATER n/a (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE
DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL n/a (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED
ESTIMATED YIELD * 150+ (GPM) & TEST TYPE air lift
TEST LENGTH n/a (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN n/a (Ft.)
** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
0	11	11½					Steel	6	.188	
11	18	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	
18	50	7½		X			Steel	6	.188	1/8
50	70	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	
70	95	7½		X			Steel	6	.188	1/8
95	104	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	
104	106	6½					Open hole			

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CEMENT (✓)	BENTONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)
0	2	X			Concrete
2	14		X		Granular
					& Chips

ATTACHMENTS (✓)
___ Geologic Log
___ Well Construction Diagram
___ Geophysical Log(s)
___ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
X Other site map
ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT
I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.
NAME Diamond Core Drilling, Inc.
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)
ADDRESS P.O. Box 491925 CITY Redding STATE CA ZIP 96049
Signed [signature] DATE SIGNED 4-27-05 C-57 LICENSE NUMBER 512406
C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR

COPY FOR PUBLIC HEALTH
COPY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
COPY FOR WATER CODE

TRIPLICATE
Owner's Copy

Page 1 of 1

Owner's Well No. MW-3

Date Work Began 2-22-05, Ended 2-23-05

Local Permit Agency Humboldt County Environmental Health

Permit No. 504-201-011 Permit Date 1-20-05

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. 1087254

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) X VERTICAL ___ HORIZONTAL ___ ANGLE ___ (SPECIFY)

DRILLING METHOD air rotary FLUID water

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	15	Sand and gravel
15	25	Sandy gravel, minor fines
25	70	Sand and gravel w/fines
70	75	Clay w/sand and gravel
75	90	Sandy gravel w/fines
90	100	Sand and gravel w/fines
100	105	Clay w/sand and gravel
105	108	Clay w/sand and gravel
108	110	Competent Franciscan bedrock

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 110 (Feet)

TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 108 (Feet)

WELL OWNER

Name Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Dist.

Mailing Address c/o Winzler & Kelly Engineers

633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501

CITY STATE ZIP

WELL LOCATION

Address 7270 Westend Road

City Arcata

County Humboldt

APN Book 504 Page 201 Parcel 011

Township 6N Range 1E Section 15

Lat 40 ° 54 ' 48 " N (DEG. MIN. SEC.) Long 124 ° 02 ' 07 " W (DEG. MIN. SEC.)

LOCATION SKETCH

NORTH

WEST

See attached map

EAST

SOUTH

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. ***PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.***

ACTIVITY (✓)

X NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

___ Deepen

___ Other (Specify)

___ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

USES (✓)

WATER SUPPLY

___ Domestic ___ Public

___ Irrigation ___ Industrial

MONITORING X

TEST WELL ___

CATHODIC PROTECTION ___

HEAT EXCHANGE ___

DIRECT PUSH ___

INJECTION ___

VAPOR EXTRACTION ___

SPARGING ___

REMEDIATION ___

OTHER (SPECIFY) ___

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER ___ (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE not able to be measured

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL ___ (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED ___

ESTIMATED YIELD * ___ (GPM) & TEST TYPE ___

TEST LENGTH ___ (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN ___ (Ft.)

** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
0	11	11					Steel	6	.188	
11	18	7½	x				Steel	6	.188	
18	70	7½		x			Steel	6	.188	1/8
70	80	7½	x				Steel	6	.188	
80	100	7½		x			Steel	6	.188	1/8
100	108	7½	x				Steel	6	.188	
108	110	6½					Open hole			

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CEMENT (✓)	BENTONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)
0	2	x			Concrete
2	14		x		Granular
					& Chips

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

___ Geologic Log

___ Well Construction Diagram

___ Geophysical Log(s)

___ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses

x Other site map

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Diamond Core Drilling, Inc.
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS P.O. Box 491925 CITY Redding STATE CA ZIP 96049

Signed [signature] C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR DATE SIGNED 4-27-05 C-57 LICENSE NUMBER 512406

COMPUTER SCANNED WATER CODE SECTIONS

TRIPLICATE
Owner's Copy

Page 1 of 1

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT
Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. 1087255

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN
STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.
LATITUDE LONGITUDE
APN/TRS/OTHER

Owner's Well No. MW-4
Date Work Began 2-21-05, Ended 2-22-05
Local Permit Agency Humboldt County Environmental Health
Permit No. 504-201-011 Permit Date 1-20-05

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) X VERTICAL ___ HORIZONTAL ___ ANGLE ___ (SPECIFY)
DRILLING METHOD air rotary FLUID water

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	DESCRIPTION *Describe material, grain size, color, etc.*
0	10	Sandy gravel, minor fines
10	30	Silty sandy gravel
30	40	Silty sand w/gravel
40	55	Sandy gravel w/fines
55	65	Silty sand w/gravel
65	82	Silty sand and gravel
82	93	Franciscan bedrock

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 93 (Feet)
TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 93 (Feet)

WELL OWNER

Name Humboldt Bay Municipal Water Dist
Mailing Address c/o Winzler & Kelly Engineers
633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501
CITY STATE ZIP

WELL LOCATION

Address 7270 Westend Road
City Arcata
County Humboldt
APN Book 504 Page 201 Parcel 011
Township 6N Range 1E Section 15
Lat 40 / 54 / 63 N (DEG. MIN. SEC.) Long 124 / 02 / 70 W (DEG. MIN. SEC.)

LOCATION SKETCH

NORTH
WEST See attached map EAST
SOUTH

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.

ACTIVITY (✓)

X NEW WELL
MODIFICATION/REPAIR
___ Deepen
___ Other (Specify)
___ DESTROY *(Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")*

USES (✓)
WATER SUPPLY
___ Domestic ___ Public
___ Irrigation ___ Industrial
MONITORING X
TEST WELL ___
CATHODIC PROTECTION ___
HEAT EXCHANGE ___
DIRECT PUSH ___
INJECTION ___
VAPOR EXTRACTION ___
SPARGING ___
REMEDIATION ___
OTHER (SPECIFY) ___

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER n/a (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE
DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 14 (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 2-22-05
ESTIMATED YIELD * n/a (GPM) & TEST TYPE ___
TEST LENGTH ___ (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN ___ (Ft.)
** May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.*

CASING (S)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	TYPE (✓) BLANK	SCREEN	CON-DUCTOR	FILL PIPE	MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)
0	11	11½	X				Steel	6	.188	
11	15	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	
15	30	7½		X			Steel	6	.188	1/8
30	45	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	
45	80	7½		X			Steel	6	.188	1/8
80	93	7½	X				Steel	6	.188	

ANNULAR MATERIAL

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft.	to Ft.	TYPE CEMENT (✓)	BENTONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)	FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)
0	2	X			Concrete
2	11½		X		Granular
					& Chips

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

___ Geologic Log
___ Well Construction Diagram
___ Geophysical Log(s)
___ Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
X Other site map

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Diamond Core Drilling, Inc.
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

P.O. Box 491925 Redding CA 96049
ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP

Signed [signature]
C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR
4-27-05 DATE SIGNED
512406 C-57 LICENSE NUMBER

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE WATER CODE

IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE NEXT CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED FORM


Gravel
ARCATA
200
AND
LEGEND
SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILE
SHOT POINT LOCATION
SCALE
(1 inch = 400 feet)
SITE LOCATION MAP
SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
NCPCAL
PLATE
1

Appendix B

Boring Logs for New Monitoring Wells

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 1 of 3
METHOD OF DRILL: SONIC	LOCATION: PUMP STATION #4	
SAMPLER: 20'x 6" ND CORE BARREL OD: 6.0" ID:	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-5
BORING DIAMETER: 8.625"	DATE STARTED: 10/08/07	TIME: 1100
DRILLING CO.: CASCADE DRILLING	DATE COMPLETED: 10/09/07	TIME: 1645
C57 LIC. #: 717510	TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 98.0 ft.	
DRILLER: CARL TREECE	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: 4.0 ft.	
HAMMER WGT.: lbs. / HAMMER DROP: inches	SURFACE CONDITIONS: BARE SILT/SAND, RIVER BANK	

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
1–3												PORTLAND CEMENT 0.0'-42.0'
4–5						FIRST WATER @4.0'.	5Y 4/1 DARK GRAY	MOIST WET	LOOSE SOFT			BLANK ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 0.0'-47.0'.
6–7						GRAVEL & SAND (COARSE TO VERY COARSE), WITH <5% SILT. WELL ROUNDED POORLY SORTED.						
8–12					GW		5Y 3/2 DARK OLIVE GRAY	WET	LOOSE/SOFT			NATIVE GRAVEL AS FILTER PACK (ALLOWED TO CAVE) 42.0'-98.0'
14–15						GRADES AS ABOVE.						0.040 SLOTS "ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 47'-67'.
16–19						GRADES ~50% MED. SAND, 50% GRAVEL >1/2", 8-9% SMALL GRAVEL, 1-2% SILT & CLAY.						
20						CLEANER WITH MORE FINE SAND.	2.5Y 4/3 OLIVE BROWN					
21–22						20' GRADES SILTIER (~5% SILT), AND LESS FINER SAND.						
23–25					ML	SAND IS MOSTLY COARSE TO VERY COARSE WITH AN EVEN RANGE OF GRAIN SIZES FROM GRAVEL TO COARSE SAND.	5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY	WET	SOFT			
26–27					SP	SILT-LITTLE OR NO SAND & CLAY.	5Y 3/2 OK OLIVE GRAY		SOFT			
28–29						SAND, FINE GRAINED, LITTLE OR NO CLAY.						
30–31						GRADES.						
32–35					GW	CLEAN GRAVEL/SAND, SAND IS MOSTLY COARSE TO VERY COARSE. CLAY & SILT <5% BUT COLORS SAMPLE.	5YR 3/2 DARK REDDISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 2 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-5

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
36												
37												
38						GRADES GRAVEL, VERY CLEAN ONLY TRACE OF FINES, MOSTLY SMALLER GRAVEL WITH COARSE SAND. GRAVEL UP TO 1" MOST IS SMALLER.	2.5Y 3/2 DARK OLIVE BROWN					
39												
40												
41												
42												
43												
44												
45						GRADES WITH ~2% SILT, GRAVEL IS LARGER (UP TO 2") AT 45.0'.	2.5Y 4/2 DARK GRAYISH BROWN					
46												
47						GRADES WITH 2% SILT AT 46.0'.						
48						46' GRADES WITH LITTLE TO NO FINES. SAND IS COARSE TO VERY COARSE, GRAVEL UP TO 3".	GRADES 7.5YR 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY					
49												
50												
51					GW							
52												
53												
54												
55												
56						GRADES.	GRADES					
57												
58												
59												
60												
61												
62												
63												
64												
65												
66						GRADES.						
67						67' GRADES SILTY, SANDY GRAVEL WITH <5% CLAY, 10% SILT.	GRADES 2.5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE HARD HARD			
68												
69												
70									LOOSE			
71					GM	GRADES WITH ~10% FINES						
72							5Y 4/2 OLIVE GRAY		LOOSE			
73												
74												
75					GW							

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 3 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-5

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
76					GW	75 GRADES WITH 35% FINES (MOSTLY SILT) CLEAN, FINE TO COARSE SAND & DISTINCT GRAY COLOR CHANGE.	GLEY 2 10BG 3/1 DARK GREENISH GRAY	WET	LOOSE			
77												
78												
79						GRADES.						
80												
81												
82												
83												
84						GRADES MOSTLY GRAVEL ONLY ~20% SAND 83'-85'.	GLEY 2 10BG 3/1 DARK GREENISH GRAY					
85												
86												
87												
88					GM	SILTY SANDY GRAVEL, AVE ~30% SILT (20%) & CLAY (10%), 55% GRAVEL, 15% SAND.		WET	VERY SOFT			
89												
90												
91												
92						GRADES ~ 70%, SILT & CLAY IN ~4" LENSES.	GLEY 2 5B 4/1 DARK BLUISH GRAY					
93												
94												
95												
96					ROCK	96' VERY HARD & SLOW DRILLING.						
97						NO RECOVERY 96'-98', DRILLED THROUGH ROCK.						
98												

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 1 of 3
METHOD OF DRILL: SONIC	LOCATION: PUMP STATION #4	
SAMPLER: 20'x 6" ND CORE BARREL OD: 6.0" ID:	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-6
BORING DIAMETER: 8.625"	DATE STARTED: 10/10/07	TIME: 0745
DRILLING CO.: CASCADE DRILLING	DATE COMPLETED: 10/11/07	TIME: 1000
C57 LIC. #: 717510	TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 95.0 ft.	
DRILLER: CARL TREECE	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: 30.0 ft.	
HAMMER WGT.: lbs. / HAMMER DROP: inches	SURFACE CONDITIONS: GRASS ON SHOULDER OF ACCESS ROAD	

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
1–7						GRAVEL, SANDY WITH <1% SILT & CLAY, SAND MED TO COARSE.	2.5Y 3/1 V. DARK GRAY	MOIST	LOOSE			PORTLAND CEMENT 0.0'-70.0'
8–14						SANDY GRAVEL GRADES WITH SAND, VERY FINE TO V. COARSE, ~ 2% SILT.	GRADES	MOIST				BLANK ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 0.0'-75.0'. NATIVE GRAVEL AS FILTER PACK (ALLOWED TO CAVE) 70.0'-95.0'
15–21					GW	GRADES WITH 3% SILT & CLAY.	2.5Y 4/2 DARK GRAYISH BROWN	MOIST				0.040 SLOTS "ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 75'-85'.
22–27						GRADES <1% FINES. GRADES.	2.5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY	MOIST				
28–29						GRADES.	GRADES	MOIST				
30–33					SP	CLEAN SAND, MED. TO FINE GRAINED, WELL SORTED, WITH <1% SILT & CLAY.	2.5Y 4/2 DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			
34–35					SW	CLEAN GRAVELLY SAND, POORLY SORTED, <1% FINES, ~30% GRAVELS, FINE TO VERY COARSE.	GRADES	WET	LOOSE			

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 2 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-6

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
36, 37, 38, 39					SW	GRADES ~50% GRAVEL & SAND IS MED TO VERY COARSE.						
40, 41, 42						GRADES W/ 10% GRAVEL/SAND, 5% FINES.	GRADES	WET	LOOSE			
43, 44					GM	43' SILTY, SANDY GRAVEL, ~10% SILT & CLAY, ~60% GRAVEL, 30% SAND.	GRADES	WET	LOOSE			
45, 46, 47					ML	SILT WITH <5% CLAY & <5 % SAND.	5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY	WET	SOFT			
48, 49, 50, 51, 52						GRADES.						
53, 54, 55, 56, 57					SM	48'-58' NO RECOVERY, SAMPLE SLID OUT OF CORE BARREL. WHEN CASING CLEANED OUT WITH MUD SHOE, DISTURBED & MIXED MATERIAL CONSISTED OF SILTY SAND & SILT, MINOR CLAY.						
58						FINE TO MED SILTY SAND W/ MINOR CLAY.	GLEY 1 N 3/0 VERY DARK GRAY					
59, 60, 61, 62					SP	CLEAN SAND, WELL SORTED, <1% FINES.		WET	SOFT			
63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69						SAMPLE 58'-68' SLID OUT OF CORE BARREL-BAGGED SAMPLE COLLECTED ~68' REMAINDER OF SAMPLE OBSERVED IN CUTTINGS SIMILAR TO SAMPLE.	GRADES	WET	LOOSE			
70						GRADES.						
71, 72, 73					GW	GRADES WITH ~10% GRAVEL, MED TO VERY COARSE.	2.5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY	WET				
74					SW	SANDY GRAVEL, ~2% SILT & CLAY, SAND ~30%.		WET	M STIFF			
75					GW	CLAYEY SILTY SAND, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, ~5% CLAY, ~5% SILT.			LOOSE			

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #4	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 3 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-6

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
76						CLEAN SANDY GRAVEL, ~2% FINES, SAND MED TO VERY COARSE.						
77												
78												
79												
80					GW	GRADES.	2.5Y 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	STIFF			
81												
82												
83												
84												
85						SAND. ~5% FINES, FINE TO VERY FINE GRAINED, WELL SORTED.	GLEY 1 N 3/0 VERY DARK GRAY	WET	STIFF			
86					SP							
87												
88						GRADES.						
89												
90						88'-100' CORE BARREL PACKED WITH MUD (FLOWED IN) SO ONLY RECOVERED 93'-95'. DRILLING VERY SLOW AT 95' DUE TO PACKED BARREL.						
91					GW							
92												
93												
94							2.5Y 3/1 VERY DARK GRAY	WET	LOOSE			
95						SANDY SILTY GRAVEL, CLAY & SILT ~5%, MINOR CLAY.						

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #3	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 1 of 3
METHOD OF DRILL: SONIC	LOCATION: PUMP STATION #3	
SAMPLER: 20'x 6" ND CORE BARREL OD: 6.0" ID:	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-7
BORING DIAMETER: 8.625"	DATE STARTED: 10/11/07	TIME: 1215
DRILLING CO.: CASCADE DRILLING	DATE COMPLETED: 10/12/07	TIME: 1400
C57 LIC. #: 717510	TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 96.0 ft.	
DRILLER: CARL TREECE	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: 28.0 ft.	
HAMMER WGT.: lbs. / HAMMER DROP: inches	SURFACE CONDITIONS: GRASS ON GRAVEL	

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
1–3												PORTLAND CEMENT 0.0'-50.0'
4–6					GW	SANDY GRAVEL, ~5% SILT, MINOR CLAY, ~60% GRAVEL.	2.5Y 4/1 DARK GRAY	SL MOIST	LOOSE			BLANK ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 0.0'-55.0'.
7–9					GW	GRADES, ~70% GRAVEL.	GRADES	SL MOIST				NATIVE GRAVEL AS FILTER PACK (ALLOWED TO CAVE) 50.0'-96.0'
10–13					GW	GRADES ~80% GRAVEL, <2% FINES.	GRADES	SL MOIST	LOOSE			
14–17					GW							0.040 SLOTS "ASTM A53B ERW BPE ND 6" X .250" HEAT NO. 1-9850" 55'-75'.
18–19					GW	GRADES.	GRADES	SL MOIST	LOOSE			
20–23					GM	SILTY CLAYEY SANDY GRAVEL, ~15% FINES.	10YR 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	MOIST	STIFF			
24–26					GM	GRADES.		MOIST	STIFF			
27–32					SC	CLAYEY SILTY SAND, ~70% SAND, 20% SILT, 10% CLAY.	2.5Y 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	SOFT			
33–35					GM	SILTY CLAYEY SANDY GRAVEL, ~15% FINES, ~5% CLAY, ~ 50% GRAVEL.	2.5Y 4/2 DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #3	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 2 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-7

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
36					GM	GRAVEL, ~10% SAND, ~3% FINES, SAND IS MOSTLY COARSE TO VERY COARSE.	10YR 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			
37												
38												
39												
40						GRADES.						
41												
42												
43												
44						GRADES.						
45												
46												
47												
48						GRADES, GRAVEL WITH SOME COARSE YO VERY COARSE SAND (<5%), & ~2% FINES.	2.5Y 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			
49												
50												
51												
52						GRADES, SANDY GRAVEL, ~40% SAND (MED TO VERY COARSE), ~2% FINES.	2.5Y 3/2 VERY DARK GRAYISH BROWN	WET	LOOSE			
53												
54												
55												
56					GW	GRADES.	GRADES					
57												
58												
59												
60												
61												
62												
63												
64												
65												
66												
67												
68						GRADES.						
69												
70												
71												
72						GRADES.						
73												
74												
75												

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

BORING LOG

PROJ. NAME: HBMWD PS #3	PROJECT NO.: 0105507003.11010	Sheet 3 of 3
	LOGGED BY: P. JONES	BORING #: MW-7

DEPTH	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	RECOVERY	BLOWS	SAMPLE NO.	USCS SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	COLOR	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY	PID (ppm)	WELL CONSTR.	WELL DESCRIPTION
76					GW	GRADES WITH <2% FINES.						
77									V STIFF			
78	10				GM	77.5' GRADES 78' SILTY CLAYEY SANDY GRAVEL, ~10% FINES.						
79												
80						GRADES AS ABOVE.						
81												
82					GW	GRADES TO 87'.						
83												
84												
85												
86												
87					SC	CLAYEY SILTY SAND, FINE TO VERY FINE GRAINED, ~70% SAND, ~5% SILT, 10% CLAY.	GLEY 2 5B 3/0 DARK BLUISH GRAY	WET	SLIGHT PLASTICITY			
88												
89												
90						78'-96' GRAVELLY, SANDY, SILTY CLAY, MED. PLASTICITY, POSSIBLE WEATHERED BEDROCK.	GLEY 2 10BG 3/0 DARK BLUISH GRAY	MOIST	STIFF			
91												
92					CL							
93												
94												
95												
96												

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS



LATERAL PROJECTION DIAGRAM
(Scale: 1" = 40')

Total length of laterals = 430 feet (Effective = 430 feet)

—— Tier B

- - - - Tier A

ELEVATIONS

Top of caisson	- 11.00
Ground surface	+ 46.0
℄ of laterals - Tier B	- 26.9
℄ of laterals - Tier A	- 27.9
Top of plug	- 30.9
Cutting shoe	- 37.4

RANNEY METHOD
WESTERN OF CALIF., INC.
SACRAMENTO, CALIF

BECHTEL CORPORATION
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
COLLECTOR NO. 3

DRAWN J.S.	APPROVED [illegible]
DATE 5/22/62	FIGURE JW-17-04

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
636 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501-0417
(707) 443-8326 / FAX (707) 444-8330

By TNES Date 4/13/6 Client NBMWD Sheet No. ____ of ____

Subject B-1 MK II BORING LOG Job No. ____

DEPTH FEET	% FINES	% SAND	% GRAVEL	TEXTURE	MOISTURE	NOTES	USCS
0-3½	40	40	20	LOOSE	DRY	BASE ROCK	
3½-7½	70	30	0	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	MOIST	OVERBANK SILT	
7½-9	20	60	20	LOOSE	MOIST		
9-11	60	30	10	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	MOIST		
11-18	20	60	20	LOOSE	LOW MOISTURE	COBBLES TO 4"	
18-20	40	40	20	LOOSE	MOIST		
20-30	20	30	50	LOOSE	MOIST	CLAST-SUPPORTED CLASTS TO 4"	
30-31	40	20	40	PARTLY CONSOLIDATED	WET	TRANSITION ZONE	
31-36½	20	80	0	LOOSE TO PARTIALLY COHESIVE	WET	MINOR ORGANICS	
36½-40	20	30	50	LOOSE	MOIST		
41-49	10	10	80	LOOSE	WET		
49-60	20	20	60	PARTIALLY COHESIVE	WET	MAINLY PEA GRAVEL	
60-63	5	25	70	LOOSE	WET		
63-~69	20	20	60	PARTLY COHESIVE	WET		
69-86	0	30	70	LOOSE	WET	PEA-2" CLEAN GRAVEL	
86-88	20	20	60	LOOSE	WET		

END OF HOLE 88'

NOTE- EXISTING CATRACKS ~ 74 FT BGS IN CLEAN GRAVELS

WINZLER & KELLY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
633 Third Street, Eureka, CA 95501-0417
(707) 443-8326 / FAX (707) 444-8330

By THIESSEN Date 4/13/06 Client HUMBOLDT BAY MUN. WATER DIST. Sheet No. ____ of ____

Subject SONIC BORING LOG @ RANNEY COLLECTOR #3 Job No. ____



Appendix C
Cooper-Jacob Results

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-1

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 10:17

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	5.21	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	3947	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 32 Feet

Slope = 1.5744939 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	1500	Feet/Day
T =	88000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 pumping & drawdown in MW-1

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-4

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 10:17

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	5.21	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	3947	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 58 Feet

Slope = 1.0392985 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	2300	Feet/Day
T =	130000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS#3 & MW-4

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-7

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 10:17

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	5.21	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	3947	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 58 Feet

Slope = 1.3835661 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	1700	Feet/Day
T =	100000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-7

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-1 Test 2

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 4:57

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	4.835	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	6409	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 58 Feet

Slope = 1.1827768 Feet/log10

K= 3300 is greater than extreme maximum of 3000 for Gr

K =	Error	Feet/Day
T =	Error	Feet²/Day

K= 3300 is greater than likely maximum of 3000 for Gravel



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-1 Test 2

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-4 Test 2

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 4:57

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	4.835	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	6409	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 58 Feet

Slope = 2.6034985 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K = 1500 Feet/Day
T = 87000 Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-4 Test 2

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-7 Test 2

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 4:57

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	4.835	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	6409	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 58 Feet

Slope = 0.9133072 Feet/log10

K= 4300 is greater than extreme maximum of 3000 for Gr

K =	Error	Feet/Day
T =	Error	Feet²/Day

K= 4300 is greater than likely maximum of 3000 for Gravel



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-7 Test 2

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-1 Test 3

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 20:21

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	2.438	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	2834	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 61 Feet

Slope = 1.01481 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K = 1600 Feet/Day
T = 98000 Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-1 Test 3

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-4 Test 3

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 20:21

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	2.438	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	2834	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 61 Feet

Slope = 1.1800895 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	1400	Feet/Day
T =	85000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-4 Test 3

WELL ID: PS #3 & MW-7 Test 3

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 20:21

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	2.438	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	63	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	2834	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 61 Feet

Slope = 1.6847237 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	980	Feet/Day
T =	59000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #3 & MW-7 Test 3

WELL ID: PS #4 & MW-5

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 13:47

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	13.401	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	75	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	4350.4	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 62 Feet

Slope = 1.3066583 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	1900	Feet/Day
T =	120000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #4 & MW-5

WELL ID: PS #4 & MW-6

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 13:47

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	13.401	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	75	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	4350.4	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 62 Feet

Slope = 0.9534229 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	2600	Feet/Day
T =	160000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #4 & MW-6

WELL ID: PS #4 & MW-5 Test 2

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 15:26

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	10.038	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	75	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	2806	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 65 Feet

Slope = 1.0617551 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K =	1400	Feet/Day
T =	93000	Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #4 & MW-5 Test 2

WELL ID: PS #4 & MW-6 Test 2

Local ID: HML-Augmentation
Date: 4/19/2000
Time: 15:26

INPUT

Construction:		
Casing dia. (d_c)	6	Inch
Annulus dia. (d_w)	6	Inch
Screen Length (L)	21	Feet
Depths to:		
water level (DTW)	10.038	Feet
Top of Aquifer	0	Feet
Base of Aquifer	75	Feet
Annular Fill:		
across screen --	Open Hole	
above screen --	Cement	
Aquifer Material --	Gravel	
FLOW RATE	2806	GPM

COMPUTED

Aquifer thickness = 65 Feet

Slope = 0.915493 Feet/log10

Input is consistent.

K = 1700 Feet/Day
T = 110000 Feet²/Day



REMARKS: Cooper-Jacob analysis of single-well aquifer test

HBMWD PS #4 & MW-6 Test 2

AQUA VIDEO ENGINEERING

Underwater Video
Water Tanks • Marinas • Etc.
Tank Cleaning & Inspection

ENGINEERING
INSPECTIONS

INSPECTION REPORT
FOR
HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
COLLECTOR #1 RAINEY COLLECTOR
INSPECTED ON SEPTEMBER 10, 1996
AQUA VIDEO ENGINEERING

LICENSED ARCHITECT
JAY V. HYDE
C11529
REN 8/31/97
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

P.O. Box 86 • Carmichael, California 95609 • (916) 483-9066
FAX (916) 483-9075

AQUA VIDEO ENGINEERING
Underwater Video
Water Tanks • Marinas • Etc.
Tank Cleaning & Inspection

ENGINEERING
INSPECTIONS

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District
P.O.Box 95
Eureka, California 95501

ATTN: Dale Stoveland

On September 10, 1996 Aqua Video Engineering conducted a visual inspection of concrete lined Rainey Collector interior.

Name:	Collector #1
Dimensions:	Diameter: 12', Depth: 78'
Construction:	Cast-in-place concrete
Date Constructed:	1966
Last Inspection:	

PART 1. CONDITION OF COLLECTOR STRUCTURE

1.1 **SIDEWALL:** The uncoated concrete side wall is in good condition. There are approximately two dozen spalled areas (apparently caused by internal impacts to the side walls, not from expansion within the concrete structure). In addition two dozen 1" diameter corrosion cells were observed at the exposed ends of form ties near the 30' depth; there are a dozen 12" long hairline cracks leaching white mineral salts adjacent to these form tie holes and the siphon. One wood block insert was observed. [Photographs #1 through 4,19 through 36, 39 through 42, 51]

1.2 **BOTTOM**: approximately 60% of the bottom of this reservoir was covered with rock, gravel, concrete chips, and construction refuse. The debris did not allow for complete inspection of the bottom.

The portions of the bottom which could be inspected revealed that the rough finished concrete is in good condition: no cracks, spalls, rust spots, or other imperfections were observed. [Photographs #5 through 7, 18, 52]

1.3 **ROOF STRUCTURE:** The roof structure appeared to be structurally sound.

The uncoated concrete is in good condition: no cracks, spalls, rust spots, or other imperfections were observed. [Photographs #8, 9]

P.O. Box 86 • Carmichael, California 95609 • (916) 483-9066
FAX (916) 483-9075

PART 2: ACCESS

2.1 **ACCESS HATCH:** The 60" diameter Access Hatch with galvanized steel curb and cover is structurally sound and in good condition. Small amounts of corrosion were observed on the bolts, washers, and nuts. [Photographs #10, 11]

2.2 **INTERIOR LADDER**: the galvanized steel Interior Ladder is structurally sound and in good condition. It is equipped with a safety climb device. The upper 20% of the ladder was above the normal water line and had a few patches of corrosion, below the water line the ladder was heavily encrusted with corrosion products. The degree of corrosion could not be determined from this visual inspection.
[Photographs #11 through 18]

PART 3: APPURTENANCES

3.1 **COLLECTOR LATERALS:** The main collector is fitted with 12 collector laterals (identified clockwise from the interior ladder as "A" through "L"). Each lateral is fitted with a gate valve that is operated from the top via valve extension lines attached to the side of the main collector. The valves appeared functional at the time of inspection. The ends of the collectors, the valves, and the metal hydraulic lines were all encrusted with corrosion products and nodules.
[Photographs #4, 19 through 40]

3.2 **SIPHON:** A 36" diameter siphon pipe sends water to Pump Station #1. It exits through the side wall and elbows down to a flared bell. The coating on the exterior of the pipe and the end of the bell has failed and there are numerous 1" to 2" individual rust nodules on the pipe and bell. As far as it could be observed, the coating on the interior of the pipe is in good condition with a few small (1/4" diameter) corrosion cells observed at holidays and other coating imperfections. [Photographs #41 through 46]

3.3 **OVERFLOW:** The 6" diameter overflow is structurally sound and in good condition. The pipe is wrapped with an asphalt saturated paper and fabric. The paper has been damaged and is peeling off at the top and bottom flange allowing surface corrosion to occur. The diver/Inspector was informed that this structure may not be operational. [Photographs #47 through 50]

PART 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

4.1 **CONCRETE CONDITION:** The concrete side wall is in good condition and no corrective action is required.

4.2 **METAL COMPONENTS:** The metal components below the water line that have not been recoated are heavily encrusted with corrosion products. The degree of corrosion could not be determined from this visual inspection but it is obvious that there is some metal loss occurring. At this point the structural integrity is not compromised and these features should be monitored if not scheduled to be recoated.

Submitted,

Jay V. Hyde

Jay V. Hyde

JVH/ms



HUMBOLDT BAY
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
COLLECTOR #1

NOT TO SCALE



PHOTOGRAPH #1: SIDE WALL



PHOTOGRAPH #2: SIDE WALL NEAR OVERFLOW

PHOTOGRAPH #3: SIDE WALL - FORM TIE HOLE



PHOTOGRAPH #4: SIDE WALL - VALVE OPERATOR SHAFTS



PHOTOGRAPH #5: BOTTOM DEBRIS, LADDER

PHOTOGRAPH #6: BOTTOM DEBRIS

PHOTOGRAPH #7: BOTTOM CONDITION AND DEBRIS



PHOTOGRAPH #8: ROOF STRUCTURE, VALVE LINES

PHOTOGRAPH #9: ROOF STRUCTURE, VALVE LINES



PHOTOGRAPH #10: ACCESS HATCH, INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #11: ACCESS HATCH, INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #12: INTERIOR LADDER. OVERFLOW PIPE



PHOTOGRAPH #13: INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #14: INTERIOR LADDER, VALVE STEM BRACES

PHOTOGRAPH #15: INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #16: INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #17: INTERIOR LADDER

PHOTOGRAPH #18: BASE OF INTERIOR LADDER



PHOTOGRAPH #19: LATERAL COLLECTOR A - TOP OF VALVE



PHOTOGRAPH #20: LATERAL COLLECTOR A

PHOTOGRAPH #21: LATERAL COLLECTOR B

PHOTOGRAPH #22: LATERAL COLLECTOR B

PHOTOGRAPH #23: LATERAL COLLECTOR C

PHOTOGRAPH #24: LATERAL COLLECTOR D

PHOTOGRAPH #25: LATERAL COLLECTOR E

PHOTOGRAPH #26: LATERAL COLLECTOR F

PHOTOGRAPH #27: LATERAL COLLECTOR F

PHOTOGRAPH #28: LATERAL COLLECTOR G

PHOTOGRAPH #29: LATERAL COLLECTOR H

PHOTOGRAPH #30: LATERAL COLLECTOR H

PHOTOGRAPH #31: LATERAL COLLECTOR I

PHOTOGRAPH #32: LATERAL COLLECTOR J

PHOTOGRAPH #33: LATERAL COLLECTOR J



PHOTOGRAPH #34: LATERAL COLLECTOR K

PHOTOGRAPH #35: VALVE AT LATERAL COLLECTOR L

PHOTOGRAPH #36: LATERAL COLLECTOR L

PHOTOGRAPH #37: INTERIOR OF TYPICAL LATERAL COLLECTOR

PHOTOGRAPH #38: INTERIOR OF TYPICAL LATERAL COLLECTOR

PHOTOGRAPH #39: VALVE STEM AND BRACE - TYPICAL CONDITION



PHOTOGRAPH #40: TOP OF VALVE - TYPICAL CONDITION

PHOTOGRAPH #41: SIPHON TO SIDE WALL

PHOTOGRAPH #42: SIPHON TO SIDE WALL



PHOTOGRAPH #43: TOP OF SIPHON PIPE

PHOTOGRAPH #44: SIPHON PIPE



PHOTOGRAPH #45: BELL AT END OF SIPHON



PHOTOGRAPH #46: INTERIOR OF SIPHON

PHOTOGRAPH #47: OVERFLOW



PHOTOGRAPH #48: TOP OF OVERFLOW



PHOTOGRAPH #49: OVERFLOW PIPE

PHOTOGRAPH #50: OVERFLOW PIPE TO SIDE WALL

PHOTOGRAPH #51: WOOD BLOCK EMBEDDED IN SIDE WALL

PHOTOGRAPH #52: CONCRETE OVERPOUR ON BOTTOM