

2014 IRWM Drought Grant Solicitation Application Evaluation Summary

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Regional Water Authority</b>
<b>Number of Projects</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Proposal Level Score</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Average Project Level Score</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable)</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>32</b>

Q#	Questions	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Proposal Level
<b>Proposal Level Evaluation</b>				
1	Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	4
2	Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	5
3	Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?	3	1	1
4	Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?	5	1	1
5	Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?	6	1	1
6	Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4, 5, & 6	2	2
7	Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water	7	3	3
<b>Total for Proposal Level</b>			<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>

Project Level Evaluation	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Project Level	Lower American River Pipeline #1	Hazel/50 Intertie Improvements #2	Well #2 Reactivation #3	Nelson Well Improvements #4	PFE and Zone 4 Transfer Pump Stations #5	Phase 2B Well Rehabilitations #6	Sacramento River Pump Station Modifications #7	Lower American River Pump Station Modifications #8	Main Ditch Piping #9	Madison Well Construction #10	American River Pump Station Improvements #11	Agricultural and Rural Residential Drought Response Incentives Program #12	Regional Water Efficiency Drought Measures #13
8	Is a brief description of the project included?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?	3	2	34	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?	3	1	5	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
12	Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?	3	1	7	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
13	Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
14	Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?	3	2	2	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
15	Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?	3	1	9	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
16	Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
17	Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
18	Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
19	If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? (N/A = Yes)	4	1	11	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
20	Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?	4 & 5	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
21	Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?	5	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
22	Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?	4 & 6	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
23	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4 & 6	1	16	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
24	Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?	6	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Total Project Level Score for all projects</b>			<b>19</b>	<b>254</b>	17	14	16	16	14	13	14	14	14	14	16	17

2014 IRWM Drought Grant Solicitation Application Evaluation Summary

Applicant	Regional Water Authority
Number of Projects	17
Proposal Level Score	17
Average Project Level Score	15
Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable)	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>32</b>

Q#	Questions	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Proposal Level
<b>Proposal Level Evaluation</b>				
1	Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	4
2	Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	5
3	Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?	3	1	1
4	Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?	5	1	1
5	Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?	6	1	1
6	Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4, 5, & 6	2	2
7	Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water	7	3	3
<b>Total for Proposal Level</b>			<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>

Project Level Evaluation	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Project Level	Striker Well Upgrades #14	Antelope Booster Pump Station Phase 2 #15	Enterprise Intertie Improvements #16	Barton Road Intertie #17
8	Is a brief description of the project included?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?	3	2	34	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?	3	1	5	No	No	No
12	Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?	3	1	7	No	Yes	No
13	Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?	3	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
14	Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?	3	2	2	No	No	No
15	Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?	3	1	9	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
17	Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
18	Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	4	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
19	If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? (N/A = Yes)	4	1	11	No	Yes	Yes
20	Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?	4 & 5	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
21	Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?	5	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
22	Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?	4 & 6	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
23	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4 & 6	1	16	Yes	Yes	Yes
24	Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?	6	1	17	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Total Project Level Score for all projects</b>			<b>19</b>	<b>254</b>	14	16	15